

Comparison of two school-based programmes for health behaviour change: the Belo Horizonte Heart Study randomized trial

Robespierre QC Ribeiro^{1,*} and Luciana Alves²

¹Minas Gerais State Secretariat for Health/Non-communicable Disease, Surveillance Sector, Rua Santa Helena 75, 30.220-240 Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil: ²Department of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil

Submitted 7 July 2012: Final revision received 9 November 2012: Accepted 2 January 2013: First published online 26 February 2013

Abstract

Objective: To assess the efficacy of two school-based programmes to promote students' willingness to engage in lifestyle changes related to eating habits and physical activity behaviours.

Design: Elementary school-based health promotion intervention, designed as a multicomponent experimental study, based on a behavioural epidemiological model.

Setting: Nine intervention and eight comparative public and private elementary schools.

Subjects: The goal was to determine the impact on the longitudinally assessed outcomes of two programmes that addressed healthy nutrition and active living in a cohort of 2038 children. The evaluations used pre-intervention and follow-up student surveys that were based on the Transtheoretical Model of the stages of behaviour change.

Results: In the intervention group, there were significant ($P < 0.001$) differences between the pre- and post-intervention times in the stages of change, with a reduction in the percentage of children at the pre-contemplation and contemplation stages and increased percentages at the preparation, action and maintenance stages, leading to healthier behaviours in fatty food consumption, fruit and vegetable consumption, physical activity and time spent in sedentary activities. The determinants of the behaviour stage were the intervention programme, the type of school and the presence of motivated teachers. The comparison group did not show significant differences between the pre- and post-intervention times for any of the stages of behaviour.

Conclusions: The intervention programme encouraged the students to make healthy lifestyle choices related to eating habits and physical activity behaviours.

Keywords
Healthy lifestyle
Overweight
Obesity
School
Children
Prevention

Overweight and obesity (excess body weight) has increased dramatically in southern Latin America⁽¹⁾. In south-eastern Brazil 40.3% of boys and 38.0% of girls are overweight or obese⁽²⁾. There has been a threefold increase in the incidence of excess body weight (8.9% to 26.5%) from 1974–75 to 2008–09⁽²⁾. This increase in childhood overweight and obesity has been attributed to behavioural factors that cause a long-term imbalance between energy intake and energy expenditure. Behavioural problems require behavioural solutions^(3,4) and therefore prevention of excess body weight through targeted behavioural change has become a public health priority^(5,6).

In Brazil, consumption of energy-dense, high-fat foods has increased to above recommended levels, while physical activity (PA) and consumption of fruit and vegetables (F&V) have fallen well below the recommended levels⁽⁷⁾.

These behaviours have resulted in an increase in obesity-related co-morbidities such as IHD, stroke and diabetes, which account for a high percentage of total disability-adjusted life years lost⁽⁸⁾. Sedentary lifestyles account for 69% of the cardiovascular risk factor prevalence in Brazil⁽⁹⁾.

Suggested behavioural solutions include increased moderate-to-vigorous PA among school-aged children and reduced sedentary time. One report notes that a minimum of 30 min of moderate-to-vigorous PA should be accomplished during the school day. This school-based PA should be linked with school health curricula that provide adequate attention to nutrition education, PA promotion and decreasing sedentary activities (such as leisure screen time) and that include a behavioural skills focus⁽¹⁰⁾.

A recent one-year multicomponent PA intervention for schoolchildren successfully increased PA levels and

improved a cardiovascular risk score that included all components of the metabolic syndrome⁽¹¹⁾. Systematic reviews of school-based interventions reveal that combining diet and PA may help prevent children from becoming overweight^(12,13). Leisure-time media use (television, DVD, video games and computers) is the most important contributor to sedentary behaviour and is also related to energy intake and unhealthy dietary behaviours^(14–18).

There is not enough evidence to draw firm conclusions regarding the behavioural benefits of programmes addressing childhood obesity in a school setting due to the limited number of published studies, methodological concerns that limit the validity and comparability of programme evaluations and the relatively poor efficacies of a number of major interventions^(12,19,20). A recent systematic review demonstrated that the role of psychological theories and behavioural or cognitive mediators was rarely investigated⁽²¹⁾.

The objective of the present study was to compare the impact of two school-based intervention programmes on students' readiness to engage in health behaviour with a focus on students' movement through stages of change.

Materials and methods

Design

The current cluster randomized, controlled, multi-component school-based health-promotion trial was conducted in Belo Horizonte, south-east Brazil, where the incidence of excess body weight in children is relatively high (39.7%)⁽²²⁾.

Sample

A two-stage, randomized cluster sampling plan identified eighteen elementary schools located in administratively divided city regions that were comparable in socio-economic status and randomly assigned them to either the experimental (n 9) or a matched comparison (n 9) group. Both public and private schools participated in the study. From a list provided by the each of the randomly selected schools, five elementary-school classes (units of study) were randomized to include all students (in the selected five classes) in the 1st to 5th grades, 6 to 11 years of age.

Given an α of 0.05, a sample size of 403 children in each group corresponded to a β of 0.20 for detecting a 12% reduction in the incidence of a sedentary lifestyle, which was previously estimated at 28.1%⁽²³⁾. To avoid intra-class correlation⁽²⁴⁾, a design effect was calculated to be 2.069 for the sedentary lifestyle variable in a previous study⁽²³⁾. Therefore, each sample group needed to have $403 \times 2.069 = 834$ children. Assuming an estimated 30% attrition rate, the final target sample size was determined to be $1668 + 500 = 2168$ students, or approximately 2200 students.

Interventions

Two programmes addressing healthy nutrition, active living and healthy lifestyle choices were provided by the regular classroom teachers, who received training from the study staff in the programme's operational standards. Five behaviours were targeted for change: (i) decreased consumption of fatty foods; (ii) increased F&V intake; (iii) increased PA; and decreased time spent in two sub-groups of sedentary activity (iv) watching television/DVD and (v) video games/computer use. In the intervention group, a modified version of the US-based 'TAKE 10!'^(®) programme was implemented⁽²⁵⁾. TAKE 10! was modified to reflect Brazilian education standards, content requirements, culture and language. The result, the 'TIRE 10!' programme, maintains the core purpose of TAKE 10!, which is to reduce sedentary behaviour during the school day by enabling teachers to deliver classroom-based PA and health promotion content. It integrates grade-specific academic learning objectives in mathematics, science, social studies (history and geography) and language arts with age-appropriate PA, nutrition and health content^(26–28). In the comparison group, the 'Agita Galera' ('Shake it up Kids') programme model was implemented. Agita Galera was developed by CELAFISCS (Centro de Estudos do Laboratório de Aptidão Física de São Caetano do Sul) and is recommended by the WHO as a model for developing countries. Agita Galera encourages children to participate in sports, walking, running, cycling, skating and other moderate-to-vigorous activities for at least 30 min/d, continuously or in intervals, on most days of the week. It also incorporates strategies from the 'Five-a-Day' programme to increase F&V consumption^(29,30).

The study protocol did not include a 'no treatment' control group because we believed would be unethical to have the benefits of a healthy lifestyle intervention withheld from the group of comparison schoolchildren since there is already a usual Brazilian health promotion programme (Agita Galera) directed to schoolchildren incorporated by the Brazilian State of Health Secretariats^(29–31). Instead, we chose a design similar to that of other clinical trials, in which a novel treatment with structured in-classroom PA (Tire 10) is compared with a usual programme with general advice about diet and exercise but no structured PA (Agita Galera).

Outcomes

For interventions designed to address excess body weight in children, it is recommended that the focus be on improvements in healthy behaviours and well-being rather than on BMI reduction or weight loss. Therefore, a health-centred, rather than a weight-centred, approach directed the study outcomes⁽³²⁾. The main outcomes were sedentary activity reduction, PA increase and healthy eating habits adoption, which were evaluated as stages within the Transtheoretical Model (TTM) of behaviour change. The TTM is conceptualized in several major

dimensions. The core constructs, around which the other dimensions are organized, are the stages of change. These represent ordered categories along a continuum of motivational readiness to change a problem behaviour^(33,34). The success of the programmes was evaluated by evidence of the children moving forward through the five stages of the process of behaviour change, since those who are ready to make a lifestyle change are most likely to do so⁽³⁵⁾. This movement was assessed through specific questions that were derived and adapted from validated questionnaires evaluating the stages of behaviour change (SBC) relating to excess body weight^(36,37). The SBC theory was represented by four questions, each in a five-stage algorithm format, that asked participants about five behaviours: (i) fatty food consumption; (ii) consumption of five or more F&V portions daily; (iii) 30–60 min of moderate-to-vigorous PA daily; and engaging in sedentary activity (iv) television/DVD and (v) video games/computer use for ≥ 2 h/d. Each of the five algorithms assessed one of the five behaviours. This five-stage format included yes-or-no response options that indicated whether or not the participants had the behaviour. If participants responded 'no' to F&V consumption or PA or responded 'yes' to fatty food consumption or sedentary activity, they were asked to choose three additional responses which were used to categorize them into one of the first three TTM behaviour change stages: not thinking of changing behaviour (pre-contemplation); thinking of changing (contemplation); or not changing yet but planning to (preparation). If participants responded 'no' to the unhealthy behaviours or 'yes' to the healthy behaviours, they were asked to choose between two additional responses (two TTM behaviour change stages): 'yes, have been for less than 6 months' (action); or 'yes, have been for more than 6 months' (maintenance). The outcomes were assessed longitudinally through pre-intervention (time 1, May 2009) and follow-up (post-intervention, time 2, December 2009) student surveys. A previously trained teacher administered the surveys to students as classroom questionnaires on normal weekdays.

Studies on school-based programmes promoting healthy behaviour have demonstrated that the impact of these programmes is often attenuated by inadequate teacher implementation, which is determined by many factors such as competing job demands, insufficient time, teacher health practices and values^(38–40). We also adapted a validated five-point Likert scale questionnaire to evaluate the teachers' motivation levels in implementing the interventions based on their opinion on utility values related to their participation in this additional school task⁽³⁷⁾. All scales were scored with items ranging from 1 to 5. The scores were averaged and higher scores on the resulting five-issue scale represent better outcomes. To compare the scales in the two stages of the research, we used the non-parametric Wilcoxon test.

Despite evidence in the TTM literature showing a situation of some confusion and entrenched disputes, interventions

based on health promotion/TTM constructs are reported to be effective in PA and healthy eating promotion^(41–46).

Analyses

All tests used a 0.05 level for significance. The analyses were performed using the STATA statistical software package release 10.0. The marginal homogeneity test was used to compare the ordinal SBC variables at pre-intervention and post-intervention. The major outcome analyses were controlled for gender and age of the students and type of school. A multivariate analysis by the Poisson model with generalized estimating equations⁽⁴⁷⁾, which consider intra-cluster correlation of the studied outcomes, was used to determine which variables predicted the change stage for the behaviours studied. In the continuum of TTM stages of behaviour, four movements along the stage spectrum were defined. These movements could be to the right (positively or towards better behaviours = +1 to +4), to the left (negatively or towards worse behaviours = -1 to -4) or towards relatively better behaviours = -4 to -1). The movements were categorized into three categories: (i) improved behaviour; (ii) behaviour that stayed constant; and (iii) behaviour that became worse. The scores after the intervention were compared with those before the intervention and the differences were categorized as improved (a difference greater than zero), remaining constant (a difference of zero) or worsened (a difference of less than zero).

The relative risk (RR) for children grouped in the best category (+1 to +4) *v.* children grouped in the worst category (-1 to -4) or who stayed constant (difference = 0) was estimated for each covariate.

In these analyses, we used the population-attributable risk (PAR) as an estimate of the excess fraction, the proportion of cases (eating and/or PA behaviours improvement) that would not have occurred if the exposure of interest (Tire 10 programme) had not been present.

We also calculated the number needed to treat (NNT) as the number of participants who needed to receive an intervention to achieve change in one individual. NNT was calculated as the inverse of absolute risk reduction.

Ethics

The study was approved by the local research ethics committee (Comitê de Ética em Pesquisa da Fundação Hospitalar do Estado de Minas Gerais – Minas Gerais State Hospital Foundation Ethics Committee) and the schools' governing bodies. Parental consent was also obtained.

Results

One of the eighteen schools discontinued its participation in the study due to the cumulative extracurricular activities demanded by the state educational authority. Of the 2200 students in the sample, 2038 were assessed at the

pre-intervention evaluation, 847 (41.6%) in the comparison group and 1191 (58.4%) in the intervention group, and 1677 (82.3%) were assessed at the post-intervention evaluation. Overall, there was a total attrition of 17.7% in number of students. With the exception of male gender, which had a significantly higher ($P < 0.001$) rate of missing data, the loss of data was not selective for age ($P = 0.825$), intervention group ($P = 0.238$) or type of school ($P = 0.195$). Except for the video games/computer use behaviour-stage variable, which had a significantly ($P = 0.014$) higher rate of missing data in the comparison group, the rate of missing data at the post-intervention evaluation was not significantly different between the intervention and comparison groups for any of the behaviour-stage

variables: $P = 0.135$ for fatty food consumption, $P = 0.083$ for F&V consumption, $P = 0.678$ for PA and $P = 0.445$ for television/DVD use.

The sex distribution was fairly homogeneous, with 50.4% of male participants. The average age was 9 (SD 2) years, with a minimum age of 5 years and a maximum of 15 years. Race, parental education and socio-economic status variables were not analysed because of the large amount of data missing (68.6% of the total sample) from questionnaires sent home for the parents to complete. However, most of the children in public schools came from low social classes and many of these schools were located in slum areas.

Except for the type of school and the stages of behaviours, the randomization scheme resulted in comparable

Table 1 Frequency distributions of the baseline covariates in the intervention and comparison groups: 2038 children from nine intervention and eight comparative public and private elementary schools, Belo Horizonte, south-east Brazil

	Intervention (n 1191)	Comparison (n 847)	P value
Gender (%)			
Girls	50.4	48.4	0.378*
Boys	49.6	51.6	
Age (years)			
Mean	9.4	1.5	0.09†
SD	9.3	1.6	
School type (%)			
Private	42.4	26.1	<0.001*
Public	57.6	73.9	
Weight (%)			
Excess body weight	25.2	25.9	0.809*
Normal weight	74.8	74.1	
'Pre-contemplation' (behaviour stage) (%)			
Fatty food consumption	31.9	16.4	<0.001*
F&V consumption	21.7	11.0	
PA	9.7	5.8	
Sedentary activity (television/DVD)	42.3	27.4	
Sedentary activity (video games/computers)	28.2	21.3	
'Contemplation' (behaviour stage) (%)			
Fatty food consumption	19.8	11.9	<0.001*
F&V consumption	25.8	14.1	
PA	15.4	6.3	
Sedentary activity (television/DVD)	12.1	9.7	
Sedentary activity (video games/computers)	10.2	8.0	
'Preparation' (behaviour stage) (%)			
Fatty food consumption	19.0	26.9	<0.001*
F&V consumption	25.1	28.7	
PA	19.9	13.2	
Sedentary activity (television/DVD)	16.9	28.2	
Sedentary activity (video games/computers)	12.0	12.2	
'Action' (behaviour stage) (%)			
Fatty food consumption	14.8	14.9	<0.001*
F&V consumption	6.9	9.3	
PA	12.7	15.2	
Sedentary activity (television/DVD)	10.4	11.7	
Sedentary activity (video games/computers)	14.9	20.3	
'Maintenance' (behaviour stage) (%)			
Fatty food consumption	14.5	30.0	<0.001*
F&V consumption	20.6	36.9	
PA	42.3	59.5	
Sedentary activity (television/DVD)	18.3	22.9	
Sedentary activity (video games/computers)	34.7	38.2	
Motivational level (teachers) (%)			
Motivated	58.5	41.7	0.140*
Not motivated	41.5	58.3	

F&V, fruit and vegetables; PA, physical activity.

*Pearson's χ^2 test.

†Student's *t* test.

covariates in each group (Table 1). There was a statistically significant difference between the control and intervention groups for all stages of behaviour at baseline. Except for PA, the control group started at a healthier stage than the intervention group for all stages and studied behaviours (Table 1).

In children in the intervention group, there were significant changes between the two evaluations in all of the behaviour-stage variables (P values <0.001). Overall, there were significant reductions in the percentages of children from the intervention group in the pre-contemplation and contemplation stages and significant increases in those in the preparation, action and maintenance stages for all of the behaviour-stage variables except PA, which did not show an increase in the number of children in the preparation stage only (Table 2).

Children from the comparison group did not show any significant differences (P values = 0.055 to 0.745) between the two evaluations for any of the stages of behaviour, except for the fatty foods variable, which showed significant ($P=0.045$) increases in the percentages of children in the pre-contemplation, preparation and maintenance stages (Table 2).

When we analysed only the subgroup of children whose teachers were motivated, the same relationships ($P<0.001$) found in the intervention group as a whole were observed. For this subgroup of children from the comparison group with motivated teachers, there was a borderline difference for fatty food consumption and PA ($P=0.050$); however, there was a shift away from healthy change in consumption of fatty foods and a slight improvement in PA behaviour ($P=0.043$; Table 3).

The group status (intervention or comparison) was the strongest predictor of the children's change stages for PA and sedentary activity video games/computer use and the second strongest predictor for eating behaviours (fatty food and F&V consumption) and sedentary activity television/DVD use. Except for PA and sedentary video games/computer use, having motivated teachers was the strongest predictor of the change stage for the studied behaviours. Public school status was the third strongest predictor for change in these behaviours. Children from motivated teachers' classrooms had 62% to 96% increased risk of changing their stage of behaviours towards healthier ones. Children from the intervention group had 67%, 75%, 78% and 79% increased risk of increasing PA, reducing

Table 2 Stages of behaviour change among children in the intervention and comparison groups at the pre-intervention (time 1) and post-intervention (time 2) evaluations: 2038 children from nine intervention and eight comparative public and private elementary schools, Belo Horizonte, south-east Brazil

Stage of behaviour change	Intervention (TIRE 10!)					Comparison (Agita Galera)				
	Time 1		Time 2		P value*	Time 1		Time 2		P value*
	n	%	n	%		n	%	n	%	
Fatty food consumption										
Pre-contemplation	350	31.9	92	9.6	<0.001	120	16.4	165	25.0	0.045
Contemplation	217	19.8	154	16.1	<0.001	87	11.9	73	11.1	0.045
Preparation	208	19.0	321	33.5	<0.001	197	26.9	125	19.0	0.045
Action	162	14.8	203	21.2	<0.001	109	14.9	103	15.6	0.045
Maintenance	159	14.5	189	19.7	<0.001	220	30.0	193	29.3	0.045
F&V consumption										
Pre-contemplation	237	21.7	67	7.0	<0.001	81	11.0	86	13.1	0.582
Contemplation	282	25.8	133	13.8	<0.001	104	14.1	65	9.9	0.582
Preparation	274	25.1	321	33.3	<0.001	212	28.7	191	29.0	0.582
Action	75	6.9	185	19.2	<0.001	69	9.3	61	9.3	0.582
Maintenance	225	20.6	257	26.7	<0.001	272	36.9	256	38.8	0.582
PA										
Pre-contemplation	104	9.7	23	2.4	<0.001	42	5.8	50	7.4	0.745
Contemplation	166	15.4	47	4.9	<0.001	46	6.3	45	6.7	0.745
Preparation	214	19.9	164	17.1	<0.001	96	13.2	86	12.7	0.745
Action	137	12.7	263	27.5	<0.001	111	15.2	93	13.8	0.745
Maintenance	455	42.3	460	48.1	<0.001	434	59.5	401	59.4	0.745
Sedentary activity (television/DVD)										
Pre-contemplation	455	42.3	183	19.2	<0.001	201	27.4	245	36.8	0.055
Contemplation	130	12.1	150	15.7	<0.001	71	9.7	50	7.5	0.055
Preparation	182	16.9	235	24.7	<0.001	207	28.2	138	20.7	0.055
Action	112	10.4	169	17.7	<0.001	86	11.7	82	12.3	0.055
Maintenance	197	18.3	216	22.7	<0.001	168	22.9	151	22.7	0.055
Sedentary activity (video games/computer)										
Pre-contemplation	303	28.2	140	15.0	<0.001	149	21.3	168	26.9	0.740
Contemplation	109	10.2	96	10.3	<0.001	56	8.0	31	5.0	0.740
Preparation	129	12.0	135	14.5	<0.001	85	12.2	80	12.8	0.740
Action	160	14.9	183	19.6	<0.001	142	20.3	93	14.9	0.740
Maintenance	372	34.7	379	40.6	<0.001	267	38.2	252	40.4	0.740

F&V, fruit and vegetables; PA, physical activity.

*Marginal homogeneity test.

Table 3 Stages of behaviour change among children in the intervention and comparison groups at the pre-intervention (time 1) and post-intervention (time 2) evaluations whose teachers were motivated: 2038 children from nine intervention and eight comparative public and private elementary schools, Belo Horizonte, south-east Brazil

Stage of behaviour change	Intervention (TIRE 10!)					Comparison (Agita Galera)				
	Time 1		Time 2		P value*	Time 1		Time 2		P value*
	n	%	n	%		n	%	n	%	
Fatty food consumption										
Pre-contemplation	263	41.5	32	5.5	<0.001	49	15.8	50	19.0	0.050
Contemplation	176	27.8	96	16.4	<0.001	35	11.3	34	12.9	0.050
Preparation	106	16.7	250	42.6	<0.001	65	21.0	61	23.2	0.050
Action	61	9.6	141	24.0	<0.001	48	15.5	46	17.5	0.050
Maintenance	27	4.3	68	11.6	<0.001	113	36.5	72	27.4	0.050
F&V consumption										
Pre-contemplation	180	28.5	24	4.1	<0.001	23	7.4	24	8.8	0.730
Contemplation	205	32.4	77	13.2	<0.001	45	14.6	29	10.7	0.730
Preparation	140	22.2	230	39.4	<0.001	89	28.8	90	33.1	0.730
Action	37	5.9	145	24.8	<0.001	32	10.4	31	11.4	0.730
Maintenance	70	11.1	108	18.5	<0.001	120	38.8	98	36.0	0.730
PA										
Pre-contemplation	90	14.3	11	1.9	<0.001	9	2.9	3	1.1	0.043
Contemplation	142	22.6	26	4.4	<0.001	13	4.2	15	5.5	0.043
Preparation	152	24.2	132	22.4	<0.001	38	12.2	37	13.6	0.043
Action	79	12.6	189	32.1	<0.001	50	16.0	27	9.9	0.043
Maintenance	166	26.4	230	39.1	<0.001	202	64.7	191	70.0	0.043
Sedentary activity (television/DVD)										
Pre-contemplation	318	50.2	70	12.0	<0.001	87	27.9	105	38.6	0.178
Contemplation	98	15.5	121	20.8	<0.001	25	8.0	13	4.8	0.178
Preparation	97	15.3	176	30.2	<0.001	77	24.7	43	15.8	0.178
Action	54	8.5	104	17.8	<0.001	35	11.2	35	12.9	0.178
Maintenance	66	10.4	112	19.2	<0.001	88	28.2	76	27.9	0.178
Sedentary activity (video games/computer)										
Pre-contemplation	221	34.9	67	11.6	<0.001	75	24.0	74	28.7	0.464
Contemplation	88	13.9	75	13.0	<0.001	35	11.2	13	5.0	0.464
Preparation	70	11.0	101	17.5	<0.001	28	9.0	27	10.5	0.464
Action	72	11.4	95	16.4	<0.001	59	18.9	30	11.6	0.464
Maintenance	183	28.9	240	41.5	<0.001	115	36.9	114	44.2	0.464

F&V, fruit and vegetables; PA, physical activity.

*Marginal homogeneity test.

television/DVD screen time, increasing F&V consumption and reducing fatty food consumption, respectively, and were two times more likely to reduce video games/computer screen time (Table 4).

In order to achieve the behaviour change benefits, except for sedentary activity video games/computer use, we needed only about three children participating in the intervention group. For example, we needed only three children (NNT = 3.21) participating in the intervention group in order to have the benefit of 79% (RR = 1.79) in risk of improvement in their behaviour related to fatty food consumption; that is, to reduce its consumption (Table 5).

The intervention programme, implemented by motivated teachers, accounted for more than half the schoolchildren who changed their unhealthy eating and PA behaviours, and for an overwhelmingly large proportion (PAR = 99.4%) of those who improved all five studied behaviours (Table 6).

Discussion

According to the TTM, also known as the Stages of Change Model, those who are ready to make a lifestyle

change are most likely to do so^(33,34). Therefore the study results are interpreted as the 'likelihood' to change behaviours. Similar to Frenn *et al.*'s study⁽⁴⁸⁾, the present intervention programme has the potential of moving high-risk individuals closer to adopting healthy behaviours and therefore has the potential to decrease the prevalence of excess body weight that is related to unhealthy behaviours in this population. A review of sixteen school-based cardiovascular risk factor prevention intervention studies found that short-term interventions were most effective in changing cognitive variables, but least effective in changing physiological variables such as excess body weight⁽⁴⁹⁾.

Support for the efficacy of the programme is provided by the greater progression and lower regression in change stages in the intervention group than in the comparison group. Most of the children from the intervention group moved from the first two stages (pre-contemplation and contemplation) to the preparation and action stages (and to the maintenance stage, to a lesser degree), indicating a tendency towards healthy change in all five behaviours. This movement was more prominent for eating behaviours than for PA and sedentary activities,

Table 4 Results of multivariate analyses by the Poisson model with generalized estimating equations of the factors associated with improvements in the stages of behaviour change: 2038 children from nine intervention and eight comparative public and private elementary schools, Belo Horizonte, south-east Brazil

	RR	95% CI	
		Lower	Higher
Fatty food consumption (reduction)			
Intervention groups			
Comparison (Agita Galera)	1.00	–	–
Intervention (TIRE 10!)	1.79	1.61	2.02
Motivational level*			
Not motivated	1.00	–	–
Motivated	1.81	1.70	1.93
Age-related (years)†	1.10	1.02	1.20
Type of school			
Private	1.00	–	–
Public	1.22	1.06	1.41
F&V consumption (≥5 portions/d)			
Intervention groups			
Comparison (Agita Galera)	1.00	–	–
Intervention (TIRE 10!)	1.78	1.58	2.07
Motivational level*			
Not motivated	1.00	–	–
Motivated	1.88	1.64	2.24
Type of school			
Private	1.00	–	–
Public	1.28	1.10	1.48
PA (moderate-to-vigorous ≥ 30 min/d)			
Intervention groups			
Comparison (Agita Galera)	1.00	–	–
Intervention (TIRE 10!)	1.67	1.43	2.11
Motivational level*			
Not motivated	1.00	–	–
Motivated	1.62	1.43	1.91
Type of school			
Private	1.00	–	–
Public	1.16	1.00	1.35
Sedentary activity (television/DVD ≥ 2 h/d)			
Intervention groups			
Comparison (Agita Galera)	1.00	–	–
Intervention (TIRE 10!)	1.75	1.57	2.01
Motivational level*			
Not motivated	1.00	–	–
Motivated	1.86	1.66	2.13
Type of school			
Private	1.00	–	–
Public	1.20	1.02	1.43
Sedentary activity (video games/computer ≥ 2 h/d)			
Intervention groups			
Comparison (Agita Galera)	1.00	–	–
Intervention (TIRE 10!)	2.08	1.86	2.36
Motivational level*			
Not motivated	1.00	–	–
Motivated	1.96	1.66	2.45

RR, relative risk; F&V, fruit and vegetables; PA, physical activity.

*Teachers' motivation to implement the interventions.

†Each 1 year of increasing age, there was a 10% greater risk of behaviour improvement (reduction of fatty food consumption).

except for the pre-contemplation stage of sedentary activities. We think that the smaller number of children in the maintenance stage, rather than the action stage, may have been due to the short duration of the intervention.

Basic research has determined that 40% of at-risk populations are in the pre-contemplation stage, 40% are in contemplation and 20% are in preparation⁽³⁵⁾. Except for the PA and video games/computer use behaviours, this pattern was similar to that of our baseline results. The increased number of children in the maintenance stage

for PA (43.6%) was probably due to the children not fully understanding the PA question. Although we explained to the teachers that PA during school break times should not be included, they probably forgot this instruction and PA at those times was included in the children's questionnaire responses. There were not as many children (31.4%) in the maintenance stage for video games/computer use in the pre-intervention evaluation because most of the children did not have computers at home due to their families' low socio-economic status.

Table 5 Clinical significance of the association between the intervention programme and behaviour improvement at post-intervention time 2: 2038 children from nine intervention and eight comparative public and private elementary schools, Belo Horizonte, south-east Brazil

Behaviour	Behaviour improvement				ARR	NNT
	Intervention (TIRE 10!)		Comparison (Agita Galera)			
	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%		
Fatty food consumption	580	63.4	195	32.3	0.311	3.21
F&V consumption	546	59.7	172	28.3	0.314	3.18
PA	459	50.9	135	22.2	0.287	3.48
Sedentary activity (television/DVD)	516	57.7	168	28.2	0.295	3.39
Sedentary activity (video games/computer)	400	45.4	163	29.5	0.159	6.29

ARR, absolute risk reduction, NNT, number needed to treat; F&V, fruit and vegetables; PA, physical activity.

Table 6 Population-attributable risk (PAR) percentage of the intervention programme in changing children's unhealthy behaviours, Belo Horizonte, south-east Brazil

Behaviour change	PAR (%)
Children improving at least one behaviour	
Reduced fatty food consumption	66.4
Increased F&V consumption	64.7
Increased PA	60.1
Reduced sedentary activity (television/DVD screen time)	66.5
Reduced sedentary activity (video games/computer screen time)	48.9
Children improving all five behaviours	
All five behaviours improved	99.4

F&V, fruit and vegetables; PA, physical activity.

Our study could estimate the importance of the intervention programme on the PAR for changing unhealthy lifestyle related to eating and PA behaviours, suggesting that the intervention accounted for more than half of each specific behaviour change and most of the change in the collective five behaviours in the intervention group.

In a recent large review of TAKE 10! programme study results, Kibbe *et al.*⁽²⁵⁾ showed higher PA levels, reduced time off task, improved reading, maths, spelling and composite scores, moderate energy expenditure levels (6.16 to 6.42 MET (metabolic equivalents)) and suggested that BMI decreased over 2 years in participating children. However, none of the cited studies evaluated change in eating and PA behaviours. Most PA intervention studies have not been done in countries with low and middle incomes and have not addressed the question of the extent to which findings can be applied to other populations, settings and times⁽⁵⁰⁾.

For all five of the behaviours, consistent with other studies^(38,40), we found a significant association between the teachers' motivation to implement the intervention programme and the children's improvements in the behaviour change stages⁽³⁸⁻⁴⁰⁾.

A recent study evaluating computer-tailored advice in improving diet, PA and other lifestyle behaviours demonstrated greater values of NNT ranging between

15 and 58⁽⁵¹⁾. That study found that for every fifteen people who received the intervention, one would adopt sufficient change to achieve guideline recommended fish intake.

Our study's strengths are the use of a cluster randomized controlled study design, which compensated for the pairing, the lack of independence among the children within a school and the study size. A matched distribution of the important covariates and the consequent comparison of homogeneous groups reduced the likelihood of selection bias. Schools were matched in terms of socio-economic status, as they were located in administrative divisions of the city that were comparable in socio-economic status. Except for school status and stages of behaviours, we found no significant differences between the students assigned to the comparison and intervention groups in gender, age, excess body weight or teachers' motivational levels (P values > 0.05). A person's stage predicts his or her readiness to change over time and the preparation stage is the crucial stage for progressing to action⁽⁵²⁾. Comparing the baseline preparation stage between the two groups, except for PA, there were more children at the preparation stage in the comparison group than in the intervention group for the other studied behaviours. This unbalanced distribution favoured movement towards the action stage in the comparison group.

Except for gender, there were no selective missing data by study group ($P = 0.238$). Except for video games/computer time ($P = 0.014$), there were no selective missing data at the post-intervention evaluation (selective attrition bias) for fatty food consumption ($P = 0.135$), F&V consumption ($P = 0.083$), PA ($P = 0.678$) or television/DVD time ($P = 0.445$). The overall attrition rate of 17.7% reflected the appropriate inclusion and exclusion criteria and careful field work that included systematic visits to schools and the provision of alternative means of contact for the educators, children and parents.

The early exit of an entire school from the intervention group led to a higher proportion of public school students in the comparison group. We believe that this imbalance had no impact on the final results because

studying at a public school was a determinant of better performance in the TIRE 10! intervention group (the group that lost the public school); nevertheless, this programme was shown to be effective.

Having the teachers help the children to understand and complete the questionnaires contributed to improved confidence in many of the answers. Other strategies for reaching quality standards were the intensive teacher training on the programmes' implementation techniques and questionnaire use and the intensive training of the study staff on the TAKE 10! and Agita Galera programmes, which was provided by the respective programme developers.

Studies have demonstrated that lifestyle changes deteriorate over time after participation in a programme is completed⁽³⁶⁾. The lack of long-term follow-up prevented us from assessing the sustainability of the behavioural changes from the TIRE 10! programme, which was a potential limitation of the study. Because of time and funding limitations, the intervention was designed for one academic year only. Additional research is needed on the long-term influences of programme participation.

Conclusion

The TIRE 10! intervention programme was highly effective in moving children closer to modifying their eating habits, PA and time spent in sedentary pursuits. Therefore, it promotes healthy behavioural changes and has great potential for reducing the incidence and prevalence of excess body weight in children and its future co-morbidities.

Acknowledgements

Sources of funding: The study was funded through the International Life Sciences Institute (ILSI) Research Foundation as part of the Healthy Lifestyles, Healthy People (HLHP) Project and granted through the Conselho Nacional de Pesquisa (National Research Board), Brazil and the Research Assistance Foundation of the State of Minas Gerais (FAPEMIG). *Conflict of interest:* The authors declare no conflicts of interest. The neutrality of the study was ensured by no study data being transmitted to ILSI, one of the sponsors of the study (from the global selection grant) and the owner of the TAKE 10! programme; moreover, the funder was not involved in the interpretation of the study results. *Authors' contributions:* R.Q.C.R. was responsible for the study concept, design and the acquisition of data. He also participated in data analysis and interpretation, and wrote the manuscript. L.A. coordinated the data collection process. *Acknowledgements:* The authors would like to thank all children and teachers who took part in the study. They also thank Debra L. Kibbe for TAKE 10! programme implementation training, Dr Victor K.R. Matsudo for Agita Galera programme implementation

training and Mery S. Abreu who performed the statistical analysis of the collected data.

References

1. Finucane MM, Stevens GA, Cowan MJ *et al.* (2011) National, regional, and global trends in body-mass index since 1980: systematic analysis of health examination surveys and epidemiological studies with 960 country-years and 9.1 million participants. *Lancet* **377**, 557–567.
2. Radominski RB (2011) Aspectos epidemiológicos da obesidade infantil. *Rev ABESO* **49**, fevereiro/2001; available at <http://www.abeso.org.br/pagina/337/aspectos-epidemiologicos-da-obesidade-infantil.shtml>
3. Baranowski T, Cullen KW, Nicklas T *et al.* (2003) Are current health behavioral change models helpful in guiding prevention of weight gain efforts? *Obes Res* **11**, Suppl., 23S–43S.
4. Brug J, van Lenthe FJ & Kremers SPJ (2006) Revisiting Kurt Lewin: how to gain insight into environmental correlates of obesogenic behaviors. *Am J Prev Med* **31**, 525–529.
5. Lobstein T, Baur L & Uauy R (2004) Obesity in children and young people: a crisis in public health. *Obes Rev* **5**, Suppl. 1, 4–104.
6. Summerbell CD, Waters E, Edmunds L *et al.* (2005) Interventions for preventing obesity in children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* issue 3, CD001871.
7. Andrade SC, Barros MBA, Carandina L *et al.* (2010) Dietary quality index and associated factors among adolescents of the State of Sao Paulo, Brazil. *J Pediatr* **156**, 456–460.
8. Schramm JMA, Oliveira AFO, Leite IC *et al.* (2004) Epidemiological transition and the study of burden of disease in Brazil. *Cienc Saude Coletiva* **9**, 897–908.
9. Taylor J (2010) Cardiology in Brazil: a country in development. *Eur Heart J* **31**, 1541–1547.
10. Institute of Medicine (2005) *Preventing Childhood Obesity: Health in the Balance*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
11. Kriemler S, Zahner L, Braun-Fahrlander C *et al.* (2010) Effect of school based physical activity programme (KISS) on fitness and adiposity in primary schoolchildren: cluster randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* **340**, c785.
12. Brown T & Summerbell C (2009) Systematic review of school-based interventions that focus on changing dietary intake and PA levels to prevent childhood obesity: an update to the obesity guidance produced by the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. *Obes Rev* **10**, 110–141.
13. Flynn MA, McNeil DA, Maloff B *et al.* (2006) Reducing obesity and related chronic disease risk in children and youth: a synthesis of evidence with 'best practice' recommendations. *Obes Rev* **7**, Suppl. 1, 7–66.
14. Robinson TN (1999) Reducing children's television viewing to prevent obesity: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* **282**, 1561–1567.
15. Rey-López JP, Vicente-Rodríguez G, Répásy J *et al.* (2011) Food and drink intake during television viewing in adolescents: the Healthy Lifestyle in Europe by Nutrition in Adolescence (HELENA) study. *Public Health Nutr* **14**, 1563–1569.
16. Sturm R (2005) Childhood obesity – what we can learn from existing data on societal trends, part 1. *Prev Chronic Dis* **2**, issue 1, A12.
17. Pearson N & Biddle SJ (2011) Sedentary behavior and dietary intake in children, adolescents, and adults. A systematic review. *Am J Prev Med* **41**, 178–188.
18. Sisson SB, Shay CM, Broyles ST *et al.* (2012) Television-viewing time and dietary quality among US children and adults. *Am J Prev Med* **43**, 196–200.

19. Kropski JA, Keckley PH & Jensen GL (2008) School-based obesity prevention programs: an evidence-based review. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* **16**, 1009–1018.
20. Committee on Preventing the Global Epidemic of Cardiovascular Disease: Meeting the Challenges in Developing Countries, Institute of Medicine (2010) *Promoting Cardiovascular Health in the Developing World. A Critical Challenge to Achieve Global Health* [V Fuster and BB Kelly, editors]. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; available at http://www.nap.edu/openbook.php?record_id=12815
21. Saffrona M, Cislak A, Gaspar T *et al.* (2011) Effects of school-based interventions targeting obesity-related behaviors and body weight change: a systematic umbrella review. *Behav Med* **37**, 15–25.
22. Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística, Diretoria de Pesquisas, Coordenação de Trabalho e Rendimento (2010) Pesquisa de Orçamentos Familiares. Antropometria e Estado Nutricional de Crianças, Adolescentes e Adultos no Brasil 2008–2009. http://www.ibge.gov.br/home/estatistica/populacao/condicaoedevida/pof/2008_2009/POFpublicacao.pdf (accessed August 2011).
23. Ribeiro RQ, Lotufo PA, Lamounier JA *et al.* (2006) Additional cardiovascular risk factors associated with excess in children and adolescents. The Belo Horizonte heart study. *Arq Bras Cardiol* **86**, 406–415.
24. Kish L (1965) *Survey Sampling*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
25. Kibbe DL, Hackett J, Hurley M *et al.* (2011) Ten years of TAKE 10![®]: integrating physical activity with academic concepts in elementary school classrooms. *Prev Med* **52**, Suppl. 1, S43–S50.
26. International Life Sciences Institute (2000) Take 10![®]!. <http://www.ilsa.org> (accessed June 2010).
27. Stuart JA, Dennison DA, Kohl HW *et al.* (2004) Exercise level and energy expenditure in Take 10![®]! in-class physical activity program. *J Sch Health* **74**, 397–400.
28. Lloyd LK, Cook CL & Kohl HW (2005) A pilot study of teachers' acceptance of a classroom-based physical activity curriculum tool: TAKE 10![®]!. *TAPHERED J* **73**, 8–11.
29. Matsudo S, Matsudo V, Araujo T *et al.* (2003) The Agita São Paulo Program as a model for using physical activity to promote health. *Rev Panam Salud Publica/Pan Am J Public Health* **14**, 265–272.
30. Matsudo V, Andrade D, Matsudo S *et al.* (2005) Promoting physical activity among young people – the experience of Agita Galera. <http://www.rafargentina.com.ar/articulos/Libro%20Best%20Practice/AGITA%20GALERA%20EXPERIENCE%20-%20WHO%202005%20-PDF.pdf> (accessed July 2011).
31. Brasil Ministério da Saúde (2002) Physical activity and life quality contribution in order to obtain a better healthy lifestyle. *Rev Saude Publica* **36**, 254–256.
32. Berg F, Buechner J & Parham E, Weight Realities Division of the Society for Nutrition Education (2003) Guidelines for childhood obesity prevention programs: promoting healthy weight in children. *J Nutr Educ Behav* **35**, 1–4.
33. Prochaska JO, DiClemente CC & Norcross JC (1992) In search of how people change. Applications to addictive behaviors. *Am Psychol* **47**, 1102–1114.
34. Kristal AR, Glanz K, Curry SJ *et al.* (1999) How can stages of change be best used in dietary interventions? *J Am Diet Assoc* **99**, 679–684.
35. Prochaska JO & Velicer WF (1997) The transtheoretical model of health behavior change. *Am J Health Promot* **12**, 38–48.
36. Baranowski T, Perry CL & Parcel GS (1997) How individuals, environments and health behavior interact: Social Cognitive Theory. In *Health Behavior and Health Education: Theory, Research, and Practice*, 2nd ed., pp. 153–178 [K Glanz, FM Lewis and BD Rimer, editors]. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass Publishers.
37. Baranowski T (2008) Teach Well-Atlanta (1993–95) Documents. [http://www.bcm.edu/cnrc/faculty/Survey_documents/TeachWellAtlant\(93-95\).htm](http://www.bcm.edu/cnrc/faculty/Survey_documents/TeachWellAtlant(93-95).htm) (accessed August 2008).
38. Taggart V, Bush P, Zuckerman A *et al.* (1990) A process of evaluation of the District of Columbia 'Know Your Body' project. *J Sch Health* **60**, 60–66.
39. Resnicow K, Cohn L, Reinhardt J *et al.* (1992) A three-year evaluation of the Know Your Body program in minority schoolchildren. *Health Educ Q* **19**, 463–480.
40. Resnicow K, Davis M, Smith M *et al.* (1998) Results of the TeachWell website wellness program. *Am J Public Health* **88**, 250–257.
41. Spencer L, Pagell F, Hallien M *et al.* (2002) Applying the transtheoretical model to tobacco cessation and prevention. A review of the literature. *Am J Health Promot* **17**, 7–71.
42. Adams J & White M (2003) Are activity promotion interventions based on the transtheoretical model effective? A critical review. *Br J Sports Med* **37**, 106–114.
43. Frenn M, Malin S & Bansal NK (2003) Stage based interventions for low fat diet with middle school students. *J Pediatr Nurs* **18**, 1–10.
44. Di Noia J, Contento IR & Prochaska JO (2008) Computer-mediated intervention tailored on transtheoretical model stages and processes of change increases fruit and vegetable consumption among urban African-American adolescents. *Am J Health Promot* **22**, 336–341.
45. Haas S & Nigg CR (2009) Construct validation of the stages of change with strenuous, moderate, and mild physical activity and sedentary behaviour among children. *J Sci Med Sport* **12**, 586–591.
46. Wright JA, Velicer WF & Prochaska JO (2009) Testing the predictive power of the transtheoretical model of behavior change applied to dietary fat intake. *Health Educ Res* **24**, 224–236.
47. Hanley JA, Negassa A, Edwardes MDB *et al.* (2003) Statistical analysis of correlated data using generalized estimating equations: an orientation. *Am J Epidemiol* **157**, 364–375.
48. Frenn M, Malin S, Roger L *et al.* (2005) Changing the tide: an Internet/video exercise and low-fat diet intervention with middle-school students. *Appl Nurs Res* **18**, 13–21.
49. Resnicow K & Robinson TN (1997) School-based cardiovascular disease prevention studies: review and synthesis. *Ann Epidemiol* **7**, Suppl. 7, S14–S31.
50. Pratt M, Sarmiento OL, Montes F *et al.* (2012) The implications of megatrends in information and communication technology and transportation for changes in global physical activity. *Lancet* **380**, 282–293.
51. Parekh S, Vandelanotte C, King D *et al.* (2012) Improving diet, physical activity and other lifestyle behaviours using computer-tailored advice in general practice: a randomised controlled trial. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* **9**, 108.
52. Greene GW & Rossi SR (1998) Stages of change for reducing dietary fat intake over 18 months. *J Am Diet Assoc* **98**, 529–534.