

COMPLETE DECOMPOSABILITY IN THE EXTERIOR ALGEBRA OF A FREE MODULE

M. BORATYŃSKI, E. D. DAVIS AND A. V. GERAMITA

Recall the classical criterion for the complete decomposability of exterior vectors: the completely decomposable vectors in $\Lambda^p R^n$, R a field, are precisely the “Plücker vectors,” i.e. those whose coordinates (relative to the standard bases for $\Lambda^p R^n$) satisfy the Plücker equations. For R an arbitrary commutative ring, completely decomposable exterior vectors are still Plücker vectors, but the converse is not generally true. Rings for which the converse is true (for all $1 \leq p \leq n$) are called *Towber rings*. Noetherian Towber rings are regular and, in fact, are characterized by the property that every Plücker vector in $\Lambda^2 R^4$ is completely decomposable. (See [10] for these two results as well as for the above mentioned facts.) The present note develops a new characterization of Towber rings, combining it with results of Kleiner [9] and Estes-Matijević [5] in (1) below.

Notation. In the sequel R is always a noetherian ring, all modules are finitely generated and all projective modules are of constant rank. Recall that

$$SK_0(R) = \text{Ker}(\tilde{K}_0(R) \rightarrow \text{Pic } R) \quad \text{induced by } [P] \rightarrow [\Lambda^{\text{rk } P} P].$$

A projective module P is *oriented* provided that $\Lambda^{\text{rk } P} P \simeq R$. The condition “every Plücker vector in $\Lambda^p R^n$ is completely decomposable” is abbreviated T_p^n . Given a module M , $v(M)$ is defined by: M is generated by $v(M)$ elements, but not by fewer.

1. Implications of T_2^3 .

(1) THEOREM. For regular R , the following are equivalent:

- (a) R is a Towber ring.
- (b) For all n , every vector in $\Lambda^n R^{n+1}$ is completely decomposable.
- (c) Every vector in $\Lambda^2 R^3$ is completely decomposable.
- (d) Every maximal ideal of R is generated by two elements, and stably-free projective R -modules are free.
- (e) $\dim(R) \leq 2$, every projective R -module is stably isomorphic to the direct sum of a free module and an invertible ideal (i.e. $SK_0(R) = 0$) and stably-free projective R -modules are free.
- (f) $\dim(R) \leq 2$ and all oriented projective R -modules are free.

Received November 9, 1977 and in revised form July 23, 1979. The work of the second author was supported in part by an NSF grant, while that of the third author was supported in part by NRC grant A-8488.

Remarks. (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) was conjectured in [11] and proved in [9]; thus (a) \Leftrightarrow (c) affirms an even stronger result, obtained independently in [5]. We'll see how the Estes-Matijevic result gives a proof of (c) \Rightarrow (a) independent of the Kleiner result; but, we'll also see—(8) below—how to prove (c) \Rightarrow (a) by using the Kleiner result and [10].

The proof of (1) is contained in (2)–(7) as follows: Since every exterior vector in $\Lambda^n R^{n+1}$ is a Plücker vector (see e.g. [10]) we have (a) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c) immediately. (c) \Rightarrow (d) is contained in (3) and (4); (d) \Rightarrow (e) is contained in (5), an unpublished result of Murthy. (e) \Rightarrow (a) is proved in [5] and (e) \Leftrightarrow (f) is contained in (6) and (7).

(2) LEMMA. $T_2^3 \Rightarrow v(mR_m) \leq 2$ for all maximal ideals m of R . (Hence $T_2^3 \Rightarrow \dim R \leq 2$ and R_m is regular if $\text{ht}(m) = 2$.)

Proof. See proof of [17, 2.4].

Remark. From (2) it follows that if R has no maximal ideals of $\text{ht} < 2$ —e.g. if R is a 2-dimensional affine domain over a field—then R is regular; hence, given (a) \Leftrightarrow (c) of (1), it follows that (a) \Leftrightarrow (c) holds for such an R . This was observed in [7] for the special case of R the polynomial ring in two variables over a field.

(3) LEMMA. $T_2^3 \Rightarrow$ every stably-free projective R -module is free.

Proof. Let P be a stably-free projective R -module. Then $P \oplus R^s \simeq R^{\text{rk } P+s}$ for some s . If $\text{rk } P = 1$ P must be free (take the $(s+1)$ st exterior power). By (2), $\dim R \leq 2$ and so by Bass' Cancellation Theorem if $\text{rk } P > 2$ then P is free. Hence to prove the lemma we need only consider P projective, $\text{rk } P = 2$ and $P \oplus R \simeq R^3$. Thus P is defined by the unimodular row $[\alpha \beta \gamma]$ which we must show can be completed to a 3×3 matrix, with entries in R , having determinant 1. Now $\alpha a + \beta b + \gamma c = 1$ for some $a, b, c \in R$, so consider the exterior vector

$$v = ae_2 \wedge e_3 - be_1 \wedge e_3 + ce_1 \wedge e_2 \in \Lambda^2 R^3$$

where e_1, e_2, e_3 are a basis for R^3 . By property T_2^3 , $v = v_1 \wedge v_2$ and the coordinates of v_1 and v_2 provide us with the two rows needed to complete $[\alpha\beta\gamma]$.

(4) PROPOSITION. Assume either T_2^3 or that R is regular satisfying hypothesis (f) of (1). Then $v(m) \leq 2$ for every maximal ideal m of R .

Proof. In general, $v(m) \leq v(mR_m) + 1$ and $v(m) = v(mR_m)$ if R_m is not regular ([2], Theorem 1). Thus in view of (2), it suffices to consider the case where R_m is 2-dimensional and regular.

In this case mR_m is generated by a regular sequence of length 2, and $mR_p = R_p$ if $p \neq m$. Thus, $\text{pd}(m) = 1$. Furthermore, $\text{Ext}_R^1(m, R)$ is locally cyclic with 0-dimensional support (see [15]) and hence cyclic. By Serre's

Lemma [15, Proposition 1] then, there is a projective resolution:

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow P \rightarrow m \rightarrow 0$$

Now, since m is locally generated by a regular sequence, the Koszul complex

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda^2 P \rightarrow P \rightarrow m \rightarrow 0$$

over the map $P \rightarrow m$ is locally exact and hence exact. (See [13], proof of Lemma 4.4 for more details.) Thus $\Lambda^2 P \simeq R$. Hence under hypothesis (f), $P \simeq R^2$ and so $v(m) = 2$.

We finish the proof by showing that also under the hypothesis T_2^3 we have $P \simeq R^2$.

Since $v(mR_m) = 2$ we have $v(m) \leq 3$. Let x_1, x_2, x_3 be three generators for m and let e_1, e_2, e_3 be the standard bases for R^3 . Let

$$v \in \Lambda^2 R^3, \quad v = x_1 e_2 \wedge e_3 + x_2 e_1 \wedge e_3 + x_3 e_1 \wedge e_2.$$

By T_2^3 we have $v = v_1 \wedge v_2$ where

$$v_i = a_i e_1 + b_i e_2 + c_i e_3, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Consider the sequence

$$(**) \quad 0 \rightarrow R^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ b_1 & b_2 \\ c_1 & c_2 \end{pmatrix}} R^3 \xrightarrow{(x_1, -x_2, x_3)} m \rightarrow 0$$

By the usual facts about cofactors we see that this is a complex. The last map is onto by the choice of generators for m . The Buchsbaum-Eisenbud criterion [1] then applies, as $\text{depth}(m, R) = 2$, to assert that this complex is exact.

By Schanuel's Lemma applied to (*) and (**) we see that P is a stably free projective R -module. By (3) then, P is free.

Remark. Independently and by entirely different methods, this proposition was also proved by Estes and Matijevic in [5].

(5) PROPOSITION (Murthy). *Let $\dim R \leq 2$ and suppose $v(m) = 2$ for all maximal ideals of height 2. Then $SK_0(R) = 0$.*

Proof (Murthy). Since $\dim R \leq 2$ it will be enough to show that if P is projective, $\text{rk } P = 2$, then $P \oplus R \simeq R^2 \oplus K$.

By a theorem of Bass [16, 3.3], since $\text{rk } P = 2$, there is a surjective homomorphism $f : P^* \rightarrow q$ where q is an ideal of R and $\text{ht } q \geq 2$. If $q = R$ then P^* (and hence P) has a free summand, so we may assume $\text{ht } q = 2$. Since $v(m) = 2$ for any maximal ideal of height 2 we have q is contained only in maximal ideals m for which R_m is 2-dimensional and regular. Thus, $\text{pd } q = 1$.

Let $m \supseteq q$ be maximal. Since $v(m) = 2$, m is generated by a regular sequence and so the Koszul complex based on these generators gives a free resolution of m . Hence $[m] = [R]$, in $G_0(R)$.

Since R/q has finite length as an R -module we have that R/q has a finite filtration by modules of the form R/m where m is maximal and $m \supseteq q$. Thus, we also obtain $[q] = [R]$ in $G_0(R)$.

Now, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow P^* \xrightarrow{f} q \rightarrow 0,$$

where $L = \text{Ker } f$. Since $\text{pd } q = 1$, L is projective. Hence

$$[P^*] = [L] + [q] = [L] + [R] \text{ in } K_0(R),$$

i.e. P^* and $L \oplus R$ are stably isomorphic. Since $\dim R = 2$, $P^* \oplus R \simeq (L \oplus R) \oplus R$ and so $P \oplus R \simeq R^2 \oplus K$, where $K = (L)^*$, as was to be shown.

(6) LEMMA. *If $SK_0(R) = 0$ then the hypotheses imposed on the projective modules of R by (1d) and (1f) are equivalent.*

Proof. Observe that if $SK_0(R) = 0$ then any projective module P is stably isomorphic to $\Lambda^{\text{rk } P} P \oplus R^{\text{rk } P - 1}$.

If $\Lambda^{\text{rk } P} P \simeq R$ then P is stably-free and hence free if the (1d) hypothesis holds. Conversely, if P is stably free then so is $\Lambda^{\text{rk } P} P$ and hence $\Lambda^{\text{rk } P} P \simeq R$. Since the (1f) hypothesis holds, P is then free.

(7) COROLLARY. *Assume either T_2^3 or that R is regular satisfying hypothesis (f) of (1). Then $SK_0(R) = 0$, all oriented projective R -modules are free, and stably free projective R -modules are free.*

Proof. $SK_0(R) = 0$ by (4) and (5). The rest follows from (3) and (6).

Remarks. 1) (7) should be compared with ([10], 6.4) where the conclusion is deduced from the stronger hypothesis T_2^5 .

2) Note that Theorem (1) is now proved. See Remarks after Theorem (1).

(8) COROLLARY. *If R is normal then $T_2^3 \Rightarrow R$ is a Towber ring.*

Proof. Given a maximal ideal m of R , R_m is regular; by (2), if $\text{ht}(m) = 2$, or by the hypothesis ‘‘normal’’ if $\text{ht } m < 2$. (The corollary now follows from (c) \Rightarrow (a) of (1). We continue with an alternate argument for the reason explained in the Remarks following the statement of Theorem (1).) Thus R is a direct sum of regular domains of dimension at most 2. Since the properties T_p^n get along with direct sums, we may assume R is a domain. By (7) and Bass cancellation, every projective R -module of rank > 2 is of the form ‘‘free \oplus ideal.’’ Hence by ([10], 3.4) we have T_p^n for all $2 < p \leq n$. In particular then, we have T_n^{n+1} for all n . Then R is a Towber ring by ([9], Theorem 1).

2. Towber rings and certain theorems and conjectures of Eisenbud-Evans. Consider the following statements concerning a d -dimensional noetherian ring R .

i) Every ideal of R is generated, up to radical, by d elements.

ii) For a finitely generated R -module M ,

$$\nu(M) \leq \delta(M) = \max\{\nu(M_p) + \dim(R/p) \mid p \in \text{Spec } R, \dim R/p < d\}.$$

iii) If P is a projective R -module of rank d , then P has a free summand.

All these statements are false in general. However, if $R = S[X]$, then

i) is true [4].

ii) is conjectured in ([3], Conjecture 3) and proved if S is a domain in [14] and [12].

iii) is conjectured in ([3], Conjecture 1) and is proved there if S is local. One can then use Qullen's Localization Theorem to show that iii) is true if S is regular.

In this section we prove i) and ii) for Towber rings. These results lend further support to the notion expressed in [10] that Towber rings of dimension two behave, in certain respects, as if they were one dimensional. (Perhaps one should say: as if they were of the form $S[X]$, where S is one dimensional.)

The validity of iii) for Towber rings would prove that every projective module over a Towber ring is of the form "free \oplus rank 1" and that Towber rings enjoy the cancellation property for all projective modules (not just the stably-free ones). If one really expects a Towber domain of dimension two to behave as if it were $S[X]$, S a Dedekind domain, then one should conjecture the "free \oplus rank 1" result for arbitrary Towber domains. By [13], iii) is valid for a Towber ring which is a finitely generated affine algebra over an algebraically closed field. We do not know if iii) is valid in general, however, for a two dimensional Towber domain.

We now proceed to a proof of i) and ii) for Towber domains.

(9) PROPOSITION. *Let R be a Towber ring. Then*

a) $\nu(M) \leq \delta(M)$ for any finitely generated R -module M .

b) Every radical ideal of R is generated by 2 elements.

Remark. For the case $R = S[X]$, S a Dedekind domain, (9) a) and (9) b) are unpublished results of M. P. Murthy and R. Gilmer respectively. (9) b) is observed, independently, in [5].

Proof. With no loss of generality, we assume R is a domain, since a Towber ring, being regular, is a direct sum of Towber domains.

We first show that b) follows from a).

Let $0 \neq I = \text{rad}(I)$; we will show that $\delta(I) \leq 2$. Note that $\nu(IR_p) + \dim(R/p) \leq 2$ for any prime p of height one, since R_p is a discrete valuation

ring. Now let m be a height two maximal ideal such that $m \supset I$. If m is minimal over I then $IR_m = mR_m$ and so $v(IR_m) = 2$. If m is not minimal over I then IR_m is pure height one and so $v(IR_m) = 1$ since R_m is factorial. Thus $\delta(I) \leq 2$ and b) follows from a).

We now turn to the proof of a). Since R is a domain it suffices, by an observation of Sathaye [14], to prove a) only for ideals of R . Furthermore, if $\delta(I) > 2$ then $\delta(I)$ is the ‘‘Forster bound’’ and $v(I) \leq \delta(I)$ by ([6], Satz 1). Since $\delta(I) < 2$ only in the trivial cases of $I = (0)$ or $\dim R < 2$, it remains to prove that $v(I) \leq 2$ in case R is a 2-dimensional Towber domain and $v(IR_m) \leq 2$ for all maximal ideals m of R . Hence a) follows from the following proposition, which proves that a) holds for a (possibly) larger class than Towber rings.

(10) PROPOSITION. *Let R be a 2-dimensional locally factorial domain such that all oriented projective R -modules are free. Let I be an ideal of R such that $v(IR_m) \leq 2$ for all maximal ideals m of R . Then $v(I) \leq 2$.*

Proof. We may assume $I \neq 0$. By ‘‘locally factorial’’ we have for any prime p , if $\text{ht } p < 2$, $I_p \simeq R_p$ and if $\text{ht } p = 2$, either $I_p \simeq R_p$ or I_p is isomorphic to an R_p -ideal generated by a regular sequence of length 2. Thus, $\text{Ext}_R^1(I, R)$ is locally cyclic with 0-dimensional support, and so cyclic. Moreover $\text{pd}(I) \leq 1$. By Serre’s lemma again, there is a projective resolution

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow P \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0.$$

Claim. $\Lambda^2 P \simeq J = (I^{-1})^{-1}$.

Conclusion of proof assuming the claim. Since J is an invertible ideal, IJ is locally isomorphic to I and so Serre’s lemma, applied to IJ , gives a projective resolution

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow Q \rightarrow IJ \rightarrow 0.$$

By the claim we have $\Lambda^2 Q \simeq J^2$. Identify Q with a sub-module of K^2 ($K =$ quotient field of R). Tensoring this exact sequence with J^{-1} (observe that given our identification, this amounts to multiplication by J^{-1}) we obtain $QJ^{-1} \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0$ exact. We see that $\Lambda^2(QJ^{-1}) = (\Lambda^2 Q)J^{-2}$. Since $(\Lambda^2 Q)J^{-2} \simeq R$ and, by hypothesis, $QJ^{-1} \simeq R^2$, we obtain $v(I) \leq 2$.

Proof of claim. We consider three cases:

(i) I is unmixed of ht 1. In this case the hypothesis ‘‘locally factorial’’ implies I is invertible and hence $P \simeq R \oplus I$. Then $\Lambda^2 P \simeq I = (I^{-1})^{-1}$.

(ii) I is unmixed of ht 2. In this case I is not contained in an associated prime of a principal ideal, since the hypothesis implies that R is Cohen-Macaulay. Thus $I^{-1} = R$, and so $J = R$. Now, since I is locally generated by a regular sequence, $(*)$ is a Koszul complex (see proof of (4)) and hence $\Lambda^2 P \simeq R$. Notice also, then, that in this case $P \simeq R^2$.

(iii) I is of mixed height. In this case $I = JH$ where $H = II^{-1}$. (*Proof.* By ‘‘locally factorial,’’ $I_m = J_m H_m$ for every maximal ideal m of R .)

Observe that for any prime p , $I_p \simeq H_p$ since J is invertible whence J_p is principle. Moreover, $H_p = R_p$ if $\text{ht } p = 1$. So, H is a locally 2-generated ideal to which case (ii) applies. Thus, by the remark at the end of (ii) we have an exact sequence: $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow R^2 \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$. Tensoring with J gives an exact sequence:

$$(**) \quad 0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow J \oplus J \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0.$$

Schanuel's lemma, applied to (*) and (**) gives:

$$J \oplus J \oplus R \simeq P \oplus J.$$

Applying Λ^3 to this "equation" gives $J^2 \simeq (\Lambda^2 P)J$. So $\Lambda^2 P \simeq J$ as was to be shown.

REFERENCES

1. D. A. Buchsbaum and D. Eisenbud, *What makes a complex exact?*, J. of Alg. *25*, No. 2 (1973), 259–268.
2. E. D. Davis, and A. V. Geramita, *Efficient generation of maximal ideals in polynomial rings*, T.A.M.S. *231* (1977), 497–505.
3. D. Eisenbud, and E. G. Evans, *Three conjectures about modules over polynomial rings*, Conference on Comm. Alg. Lecture Notes in Math. *311* (Springer-Verlag, 1973), 78–89.
4. ——— *Every algebraic set in n -space is the intersection of n hypersurfaces*, Invent. Math. *19* (1973), 107–112.
5. D. Estes and J. Matijevic, *Matrix factorization over commutative rings*, to appear, in J. Alg.
6. O. Forster, *Über die Anzahl der Erzeugenden eines Ideals in einem Noetherschen Ring*, Math. Z. *84* (1964), 80–87.
7. A. V. Geramita, *Decomposability in the exterior algebra of a free module*, Thesis, Syracuse Univ., 1968.
8. I. Kaplansky, *Commutative rings* (Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1970).
9. G. B. Kleiner, *Krull OP-rings are Plücker rings*, Math. U.S.S.R.—Ivestija *7* (1973), No. 2.
10. D. Lissner and A. V. Geramita, *Towber rings*, J. of Alg. *15*, No. 1 (1970), 13–40.
11. ——— *Remarks on OP and Towber rings*, Can. J. Math. *22* (1970), 1109–1117.
12. N. Mohan Kumar, *On two conjectures about polynomial rings*, Inv. Math. *46* (1978), 225–236.
13. M. P. Murthy and R. G. Swan, *Vector bundles over affine surfaces*, Inv. Math. *36* (1976), 125–165.
14. A. Sathaye, *On the Forster-Eisenbud-Evans conjecture*, Inv. Math. *46* (1978), 211–224.
15. J-P. Serre, *Sur les modules projectif*, Sem. Dubreil-Pisot (1960/61), No. 2.
16. R. G. Swan, Conference on Commutative Algebra—1975, Queen's Papers in Pure and Applied Math. No. *42*, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario.
17. J. Towber, *Complete reducibility in exterior algebras over free modules*, J. Alg. *10* (1968), 299–309.

Polish Academy of Sciences,
ul. Sniadeckich,
Warsaw, Poland;
State University of New York at Albany,
Albany, New York;
Queen's University,
Kingston, Ontario