

## CORRIGENDUM

# Is it necessary to split nitrogen fertilization for winter wheat? On-farm research on Luvisols in South-West Germany – CORRIGENDUM

R. SCHULZ<sup>1</sup>, T. MAKARY<sup>1</sup>, S. HUBERT<sup>2</sup>, K. HARTUNG<sup>3</sup>, S. GRUBER<sup>4</sup>, S. DONATH<sup>1</sup>, J. DÖHLER<sup>1</sup>,  
K. WEIß<sup>5</sup>, E. EHRHART<sup>6</sup>, W. CLAUPEIN<sup>4</sup>, H.-P. PIEPHO<sup>3</sup>, C. PEKRUN<sup>2</sup> AND T. MÜLLER<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Crop Science: Fertilization and Soil Matter Dynamics (340i), University of Hohenheim, D-70593 Stuttgart, Germany

<sup>2</sup> Nuertingen-Geislingen University, Agronomy, D-72622 Nürtingen, Germany

<sup>3</sup> Institute of Crop Science: Bioinformatics (340c), University of Hohenheim, D-70593 Stuttgart, Germany

<sup>4</sup> Institute of Crop Science: Agronomy (340a), University of Hohenheim, D-70593 Stuttgart, Germany

<sup>5</sup> Landratsamt Tübingen, 72072 Tübingen, Germany

<sup>6</sup> Regierungspräsidium Tübingen, 72072 Tübingen, Germany

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0021859614000288>, published by Cambridge University Press, 30 April 2014

It has come to our attention that Table 5 of the above paper contained errors. The correct version of the Table is shown below.

Table 5. Adjusted means, mean grouping by letters ( $\pm$ S.E.M.) for the five fertilizer treatments for yield and crude protein for analyses across years and per year

	Fertilizer	No. of Appl.	Mean				
			2007–2010	2007	2008	2009	2010
Yield	CAN	1	79.2 ± 5.47	85.2 ± 3.89	92.8 ± 4.35	68.6 ± 4.67	71.6 ± 3.00
	CAN	2	79.5 ± 5.55	–	–	67.7 ± 4.99	72.7 ± 3.10
	CAN	3	80.3 ± 5.47	83.7 ± 3.70	95.6 ± 3.93	70.0 ± 4.67	73.3 ± 3.00
	Urea	1	79.6 ± 5.50	84.9 ± 3.63	91.6 ± 3.64	69.6 ± 4.84	68.3 ± 4.95
	UAN	1	80.8 ± 5.48	84.9 ± 3.63	95.5 ± 3.46	69.3 ± 4.81	73.7 ± 3.21
Crude protein	CAN	1	13.0 ± 0.62a*	14.2 ± 0.39a	11.1 ± 0.63a	12.5 ± 0.35ab	14.1 ± 0.36a
	CAN	2	13.3 ± 0.66a	–	–	12.9 ± 0.47ab	14.5 ± 0.38ab
	CAN	3	13.6 ± 0.61a	13.8 ± 0.36ab	12.5 ± 0.51a	13.2 ± 0.35a	14.9 ± 0.36b
	Urea	1	12.9 ± 0.62a	13.1 ± 0.35b	11.8 ± 0.51a	11.9 ± 0.42b	15.7 ± 0.72ab
	UAN	1	13.3 ± 0.61a	13.8 ± 0.35a	12.1 ± 0.46a	12.6 ± 0.41ab	14.9 ± 0.40ab

\* Means within a column and trait showing a common letter are not significantly different according to a Tukey test. No significant differences were found for yield.

## REFERENCE

- SCHULZ, R., MAKARY, T., HUBERT, S., HARTUNG, K., GRUBER, S., DONATH, S., DÖHLER, J., WEIß, K., EHRHART, E., CLAUPEIN, W., PIEPHO, H.-P., PEKRUN, C. & MÜLLER, T. (2015). Is it necessary to split nitrogen fertilization for winter wheat? On-farm research on Luvisols in South-West Germany. *Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge* 153, 575–587. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0021859614000288>.