

# MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS WITH ONE DEFICIENT VALUE

S. M. SHAH<sup>1</sup>

(Received 14 February 1968, revised 25 July 1968)

## 1. Introduction

Let  $f(z)$  be a meromorphic function and write

$$\delta(a, f) = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, a)}{T(r, f)}, \quad \Delta(a, f) = 1 - \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, a)}{T(r, f)}.$$

Here  $N(r, a)$  and  $T(r, f)$  have their usual meanings (see [4], [5]) and  $0 \leq |a| \leq \infty$ . If  $\delta(a, f) > 0$  then  $a$  is said to be an exceptional (or deficient) value in the sense of Nevanlinna (N.e.v.), and if  $\Delta(a, f) > 0$  then  $a$  is said to be an exceptional value in the sense of Valiron (V.e.v.). The Weierstrass  $p(z)$  function has no exceptional value  $N$  or  $V$ . Functions of zero order can have at most one N.e.v. [4, p. 114], but may have more than one V.e.v. (see [6], [8]). In this note we consider functions satisfying some regularity conditions and having one and only one exceptional value  $V$ .

## 2. Functions of zero order

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f(z)$  be a meromorphic function such that, as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$(1.1) \quad T(r, f) = O((\log r)^2)$$

*and*

$$(1.2) \quad N(r, a) = o(T(r, f))$$

*for some  $a$ , finite or infinite.*

*Then*

$$(1.3) \quad N(r, b) \sim T(r, f)$$

*for every  $b \neq a$ .*

**PROOF.** If (1.1) holds then [9, p. 30] for  $a \neq b$

$$(1.4) \quad \max_r \{N(r, a), N(r, b)\} = (1 + o(1))T(r, f).$$

<sup>1</sup> Research supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant GP-7544.

But the left hand expression

$$\leq N(r, a) + N(r, b) \leq N(r, b) + o(T(r, f))$$

and (1.3) follows.

For functions  $f$  not satisfying (1.1) but the condition (1.5) below, Theorem 2 below gives the same conclusion. The proof of Theorem 2 is similar to that of Theorem 1 of [7] and will be omitted.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $f(z)$  be a non-constant meromorphic function. Let  $\Psi(r)$  and  $\theta(r)$  be two functions tending to  $\infty$  with  $r$ , and let  $\phi(r)$  be any function tending to  $\infty$ , however slowly, with  $r$ . Let  $\Psi(r\theta(r)) = O(\Psi(r))$  ( $r \rightarrow \infty$ ), and suppose that for all large  $r$ ,  $\Psi(r)$  and  $\theta(r)$  are non-decreasing functions of  $r$ .*

*If ultimately*

$$(1.5) \quad \frac{\Psi(r)\phi(r)}{\log \theta(r)} \leq T(r, f) \leq \Psi(r),$$

*and if*

$$(1.6) \quad N(r, a) = o(T(r, f))$$

*for some  $a$  finite or infinite, then*

$$(1.7) \quad N(r, b) \sim T(r, f)$$

*for every  $b \neq a$ , and*

$$(1.8) \quad n(r, b) = o(T(r, f))$$

*for every  $b$ .*

### 3. Functions with no finite deficient value

The results given in theorem 1 of [7] and in the above theorems cannot in general be extended to functions of positive order (cf.: [7]). However it can be proved that there exists an entire function with asymptotically prescribed growth and having no finite deficient value. If for any entire function  $f$ ,  $\log M(r, f) \sim T(r, f)$  and  $T(r, f)$  satisfies a growth regularity condition, then also  $f$  has no finite deficient value. More precisely we have

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\Lambda(r)$  be an increasing function of  $r$  and a convex function of  $\log r$  with  $\Lambda(r) \neq O(\log r)$ . Assume further that*

$$(3.1) \quad \Lambda(r) = O(r^k) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty)$$

*for some  $k > 0$ . Then there exists an entire function  $f(z)$  of finite order such that*

$$(3.2) \quad \log M(r, f) \sim \Lambda(r) \sim T(r, f) \sim N(r, a) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty)$$

*for every finite  $a$ .*

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $f(z) \not\equiv 0$  be entire and let there exist constants  $\sigma > 1$ ,  $B > 1$  such that*

$$(3.3) \quad T(\sigma r, f) < BT(r, f) \quad (r \geq r_0).$$

*Suppose also that*

$$(3.4) \quad \log M(r, f) \sim T(r, f).$$

*Then  $\log M(r, f) \sim N(r, a)$  for every finite  $a$ .*

These two theorems 3 and 4 are due to Professor Albert Edrei. If we do not assume (3.1), then  $f$ , in theorem 3, may not be of finite order. (See [1], [2].)

**PROOF OF THEOREM 3.** Let  $F(z)$  be an entire function such that  $T(r, F) \sim \lambda(r) \sim \log M(r, F)$ . (See theorem 1 of [3].) Let  $f(z) = F(z) - \alpha z$  and select the constant  $\alpha$  so that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} N(r, 1/(f - \tau)) / T(r, f) = 1$$

for every finite fixed  $\tau$ . This is possible by the proposition on p. 386 of [3]. Since  $f$  and  $F$  are not polynomials,

$$\log M(r, f) \sim \log M(r, F), \quad T(r, f) \sim T(r, F),$$

and the theorem is proved.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 4.** It is known [3; pp. 393–4] that if  $f(z)$  be entire and  $c$  any complex number, then for  $1 < r < R$ ,

$$m\left(r, \frac{1}{f-c}\right) \leq \frac{11R}{R-r} T\left(R, \frac{1}{f-c}\right) \mu(r) \left\{1 + \log^+ \frac{1}{\mu(r)}\right\}.$$

Here  $\mu(r)$  is the measure of  $\theta$  for which  $|f(re^{i\theta}) - c| < 1$ . Hence

$$(3.5) \quad m\left(r, \frac{1}{f-c}\right) \leq \frac{12R}{R-r} T(R, f) \mu(r) \left(1 + \log^+ \frac{1}{\mu(r)}\right) \quad (r_0 < r < R).$$

Now

$$2\pi T(r, f) \leq \mu(r) \log(1 + |c|) + (2\pi - \mu(r)) \log M(r, f)$$

and so

$$\mu(r) \left\{1 - \frac{\log(1 + |c|)}{\log M(r)}\right\} \leq 2\pi \left\{1 - \frac{T(r, f)}{\log M(r)}\right\}.$$

Hence by (3.4),  $\mu(r) = o(1)$ . Choose in (3.5),  $R = \sigma r$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} m\left(r, \frac{1}{f-c}\right) &\leq \frac{12\sigma}{|1-\sigma|} T(\sigma r, f) \mu(r) \left(1 + \log^+ \frac{1}{\mu(r)}\right) \\ &< \frac{12\sigma B}{|1-\sigma|} T(r, f) o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$m\left(r, \frac{1}{f-c}\right) \leq o(T(r, f))$$

and the result follows.

In conclusion I must thank Professor A. Edrei for allowing me to include theorems 3 and 4.

### References

- [1] J. Clunie, 'On integral functions having prescribed asymptotic growth', *Canadian J. Math.* 17 (1965), 396—404.
- [2] J. Clunie and T. Kovari, 'On integral functions having prescribed asymptotic growth II', *Canadian J. Math.* 20 (1968), 7—20.
- [3] A. Edrei and W. H. J. Fuchs, 'Entire and meromorphic functions with asymptotically prescribed characteristic', *Canadian J. Math.* 17 (1965), 383—395.
- [4] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions* (Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1964).
- [5] R. Nevanlinna, *Le théorème de Picard-Borel et la théorie des fonctions méromorphes* Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1930).
- [6] S. M. Shah, 'Note on a theorem of Valiron and Collingwood', *Proc. National Acad. of Sci. (India)* 12 (1942), 9—12.
- [7] S. M. Shah, 'Entire functions with no finite deficient value', *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis* 26 (1967), 179—187.
- [8] D. F. Shea, 'On the Valiron deficiencies of meromorphic functions of finite order', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 124 (1966), 201—227.
- [9] Georges Valiron, 'Sur les valeurs déficientes des fonctions algébroides méromorphes d'ordre nul', *J. d'Analyse Math.*, 1 (1951), 28—42.

University of Kentucky  
Lexington, Kentucky, U.S.A.