

Four decades of winter mass balance of Vernagtferner and Hintereisferner, Austria: methodology and results

Heidi ESCHER-VETTER,¹ Michael KUHN,^{2,3} Markus WEBER^{1,2}

¹*Commission for Glaciology, Bavarian Academy of Sciences and Humanities, Alfons-Goppel-Strasse 11, D-80539 Munich, Germany*

E-mail: Heidi.Escher@kfg.badw.de

²*Institute of Meteorology and Geophysics, University of Innsbruck, Innrain 52, A-6020 Innsbruck, Austria*

³*Commission for Geophysical Research, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Dr Ignaz Seipel-Platz 2, A-1010 Vienna, Austria*

ABSTRACT. In this study, long-term series of winter mass balances from two neighbouring glaciers in the southern Oetztal Alps, Austria, i.e. Hintereisferner and Vernagtferner, are analyzed with respect to the methods used in their determination. For this purpose, (1) some basic data of field surveys are presented, (2) the influence of different temporal systems is discussed, and (3) the profile, contour and a 'model' method based on energy-balance ablation modelling and measured net mass balance are discussed with respect to the reliability of the resulting series. The main findings of the investigations are: (1) The winter mass-balance series for Hintereisferner and Vernagtferner as determined with all applied methods result in a reliable climatologic average of 1000 ± 100 mm w.e. (2) When using the profile method, different spatial integration approaches are quite sensitive to the altitudinal coverage and the spatial pattern of observations. (3) The error of the model method occurs randomly, whereas contour as well as profile-method errors are more systematic. (4) Filtered time series from the two glaciers show similar tendencies for the last three decades.

INTRODUCTION

In the present discussion on climate change (Solomon and others, 2007), the emphasis is placed more on air temperature than on precipitation scenarios. This choice recognizes the fact that the temporal and spatial distribution of precipitation is difficult to record, and consequently much more difficult to model than the distribution of air temperature, especially on heterogeneous, alpine terrain. In order to obtain a better picture of the temporal and spatial distribution of this hydrologic quantity, winter mass-balance series of glaciers can be used. In spite of the poor temporal resolution of these data which provide a temporal integral over the accumulation period, they improve the data basis somewhat as they represent areal averages up to a few square kilometres in rather remote regions where the density of precipitation-gauging stations is low. When using these data, it has to be considered, however, that winter mass balance differs from precipitation due mainly to melt losses and wind blowing snow from the glacier.

Worldwide, summer and winter mass-balance data have been determined on more than 150 glaciers (Dyurgerov, 2002), but continuous series of more than 10 years are available only for about 50 of them. Many of these long series are from Scandinavia where Storglaciären, Sweden, has the longest dataset for a complete glacier, starting in 1945/46 (Holmlund and Jansson, 1999). For the Alps, datasets for entire glacier surfaces are available for about ten glaciers, of which Glacier de Sarennes, France, is the most westerly (Vincent and others, 2004) and Wurtenees in the Hohe Tauern, Austria, the most easterly (Auer and others, 2002). The longest series, though it is not for a total glacier, is from Claridenfirn, Switzerland, where the measurements at two stakes span more than 90 years (Kappenberger, 1995).

For Hintereisferner and Kesselwandferner, two glaciers in the southern Oetztal, Austria, the annual determination of net

mass balances was started in 1952 (Kuhn and others, 1999), and for Vernagtferner, which is located about 10 km northeast of Hintereisferner, in 1964 (Moser and others, 1986). These series or parts of them have been analyzed by, for example, Kuhn and others (1985) and Weber (2005). They are characterized by markedly different trends, as Hintereisferner displays the highest net mass losses, and Kesselwandferner the smallest, while the losses of Vernagtferner lie between these two. This individual behaviour is dominated by quite different summer mass balances, which are mainly caused by the different topographic features of the glaciers (Kuhn and others, 1985; Reinwarth and Escher-Vetter, 1999). Nevertheless, the question arises whether differences which are also found between the winter mass-balance series of these two glaciers are due to climatic or topographic effects or result from the different methods used, as the net mass balance was determined with the same method for Hintereisferner and Vernagtferner, but not winter mass balance.

Up to now, the Vernagtferner total winter mass-balance series has been published by Escher-Vetter and others (2005), Haeberli and others (2005) and Escher-Vetter (2007). Altitudinal mass-balance gradients for selected winter seasons were shown by Moser and others (1986) and Escher-Vetter (2000). All results were determined with the direct glaciological method, using the polynomial profile method for spatial integration as described below.

The Hintereisferner series up to 1996 was presented by Kuhn and others (1999) based on energy-balance ablation modelling and measured net mass losses. The direct glaciological method has been applied by Bortenschlager (2006) since 1992/93. Series for both glaciers are included by Dyurgerov (2002).

The main purpose of this paper is to provide detailed survey data for both glaciers in order to discuss the impact of various analytical methods on winter mass-balance determination with respect to the reliability of the resulting series.

Table 1. Basic data for determining Vernagtferner winter mass balance. T_1 : day on which spring surveys were completed; N_d : number of snow-density measurements; N_s : number of snow-depth soundings; rho (std dev.): average density and corresponding standard deviation; A : total glacier area; AZ: altitudinal zone where N_d and N_s were taken; S_a : snowfall amounts between T_1 and 1 June based on the records at the Vernagtbach gauging station and determined according to Escher-Vetter and Siebers (2007)

Year	T_1	N_d	N_s	rho (std dev.) kg m ⁻³	A km ²	AZ m a.s.l.	S_a mm w.e.
1966	19 April	7	–	*	9.52	2850–3300	–
1967	9 May	5	–	*	9.52	2800–3215	–
1968	28 April	10	–	*	9.52	2890–3300	–
1969	29 May	8	–	*	9.46	2890–3240	–
1970	13 May	12	5	*	9.46	2830–3325	–
1971	28 April	10	10	388 (33)	9.46	2830–3325	60
1972	18 May	5	5	375 (10)	9.46	2900–3350	–
1973	18 April	–	8	–	9.31	2840–3320	–
1974	10 April	5	4	400 (1)	9.30	3025–3350	85
1975	†	†	†	–	9.30	2950–3170	–
1976	25 May	2	4	382 (32)	9.30	2830–3180	18
1977	8 May	5	32	379 (22)	9.30	2835–3170	69
1978	6 May	4	2	401 (15)	9.55	2980–3160	80
1979	26 May	6	1	465 (23)	9.55	2950–3180	5
1980	8 May	5	–	423 (25)	9.55	3000–3270	52
1981	26 April	5	–	458 (35)	9.55	3060–3300	70
1982	30 April	6	–	455 (15)	9.35	2965–3170	34
1983	6 May	3	–	438 (13)	9.35	2950–3160	80
1984	5 May	2	2	377 (4)	9.35	2900–3170	73
1985	22 May	3	7	480 (10)	9.35	2930–3160	3
1986	3 May	2	1 [‡]	378 (65)	9.35	2680–3070	28
1987	12 May	2	4	407 (13)	9.31	2750–3170	52
1988	4 May	4	1	403 (39)	9.09	2955–3460	42
1989	18 May	3	4	403 (17)	9.09	2960–3260	0
1990	9 May	7	8	427 (39)	9.09	2830–3295	40
1991	24 May	5	3	370 (20)	9.09	2910–3270	8
1992	12 May	6	6	407 (19)	9.09	2860–3305	0
1993	5 May	5	28	397 (16)	9.09	2820–3485	24
1994	4 May	5	12	424 (28)	9.09	2895–3320	30
1995	4 May	5	>1000	392 (19)	9.09	2775–3575	45
1996	28 April	7	11	359 (35)	9.09	2850–3350	68
1997	1 May	5	16	339 (14)	9.07	2820–3280	45
1998	8 May	6	22	356 (32)	9.07	2800–3270	31
1999	29 April	4	13	397 (18)	8.68	2800–3280	68
2000	5 May	5	25	410 (20)	8.67	2750–3500	33
2001	29 April	5	50	375 (16)	8.67	2750–3480	15
2002	1 May	11	47	360 (8)	8.67	2773–3250	85
2003	30 April	4	21	418 (37)	8.54	2781–3138	30
2004	2 May	5	165	375 (18)	8.36	2752–3399	52
2005	30 April	6	63	327 (17)	8.36	2809–3406	32

Note: –: no records available.

*No snow-depth data available at the snow-pit sites, therefore no density values determined.

†Only analyzed altitudinal profile in mm w.e. for 1975 as no original data available.

‡One snow-depth observation included below the glacier's altitudinal range.

DATA BASIS

Since annual fieldwork on Vernagtferner started in 1964, 1 : 10 000 scaled topographic maps from 1969, 1979, 1982, 1990 and 1999 and additional adjustments in 1974, 1994, 2002 and 2003 form the basis for determining mass balance. The size, shape and topography of this glacier has changed considerably in this period, as positive net mass balances in the 1960s and 1970s resulted in an advance of the glacier in the late 1970s and early 1980s (Table 1). After a major reduction of ice volume due to the consistently negative net mass balances since 1984/85, the glacier topography changed once more in the 1990s and 2000s.

In Figure 1, the locations of snow-density measurements and snow-depth soundings for the 2002 and 2004 spring

surveys are shown on the 1999 map. As the Vernagtferner falls roughly into three sections (Reinwarth and Escher-Vetter, 1999), the snow-depth soundings are made on the three tongues and accumulation basins since the early 1990s, but they do not follow a strict spatial pattern in every year. The spatial distribution of the density sites as shown in Figure 1, however, is typical for the surveys in most of the years, whereas the number of snow-depth soundings and snow pits varies to a larger extent. With the exception of 1995, when more than 1000 snow-depth soundings were performed but could not be located precisely as no global positioning system (GPS) was available, 2004 represents the year with the greatest data coverage, and all positions since then are determined with GPS.




Fig. 1. 1999 map of Vernagtferner, including locations of snow-density measurements (filled circles) and snow-depth soundings for the 2002 (crosses) and 2004 (triangles) winter mass-balance surveys.

In Table 1, basic data related to winter mass-balance determination are summarized in columns 2–7 for the Vernagtferner. The data as given in the last column are discussed later.

Significantly fewer observations were made before the mid-1990s than since. The share of the glacier area covered by the observations remained fairly constant, amounting to an average of 80% for all seasons other than 1978, 1979, 1982, 1986 and 2003, when AZ, the altitudinal zone in which the observations were made, covered less than 60% of the total glacier area.

For Hintereisferner, Figure 2 shows the locations of snow-depth soundings and snow pits for the 2004 and 1994 winter seasons, and the latter sampling-site distribution is typical for most of the surveys on this glacier. In contrast to Vernagtferner, the observation sites are mainly located along a line in the centre of the tongue, and only for 2004 and 2005 are observations available in the upper part of the glacier. The date of survey, number of soundings, total glacier area and altitudinal zone as covered by the observations are summarized in Table 2 for the period 1993–2005, when the direct glaciological method was applied. The scatter in T_1 for the 12 years is somewhat larger than for Vernagtferner, and in most of the years the available snow-depth sounding sites cover not more than 60% of the total glacier area, which lost 1.3 km² or 15% of its initial size during this period.

METHODOLOGY

In this section, we concentrate on the analytical methods applied to the field data, as Jansson (1999) and Østrem and Haakensen (1999) give ample evidence of the problems associated with field measurements.

Snow-density and water equivalent determination

For Vernagtferner, snow density is determined gravimetrically for each pit by weighing a core through the full column

down to the summer horizon of the previous year and averaging over the whole depth. The average snow density for the entire glacier area is then calculated as the arithmetic mean from all the snow pits, and all snow-depth soundings of the respective surveys are converted to water equivalents on the basis of these annual densities. The density values vary between 327 and 480 kg m⁻³ from year to year. The small standard variations of most years show that the averaging error is small. For 1973, when no density measurements were available for Vernagtferner, a value of 380 kg m⁻³ was used.

On Hintereisferner, snow density was only measured during the spring surveys 2004 (eight snow pits, mean value 380 kg m⁻³; cf. Fig. 2) and 2005 (three snow pits, mean value 350 kg m⁻³), with the same method as on Vernagtferner. The values of the other years were interpolated from density data observed in early spring and early summer (Matzi, 2004), resulting in 350 kg m⁻³ for 1994, 1995, 1996 and 2001; 380 kg m⁻³ for 1998, 1999, 2002 and 2005; and 420 kg m⁻³ for 1993, 1997, 2000 and 2004.

Profile method

For Vernagtferner, the determination of the mean winter mass balance b_w at a given date is based on the assumption that a function $f(z)$ exists which delivers the local accumulation values within the glacier range as a sole function of altitude, and b_w is the result of the integration of this function over the total glacier area. Assuming $f(z) = \text{const.}$ within each 50 m altitudinal belt of the glacier area–altitude distribution $A(z_i)$ leads to

$$b_w = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N f(z_i)A(z_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^N A(z_i)}. \quad (1)$$

The area–altitude distribution is derived from the geodetic maps and the function $f(z)$ is determined by a regression




Fig. 2. 1994 map of Hintereisferner, including the locations of sample sites for the spring surveys of 1994 (only snow depths (crosses)) and 2004 (snow-density measurements (filled circles) and snow-depth soundings (triangles)) (Fischer and Markl, in press).

analysis of the water equivalent samples. This approach is called the ‘profile method’. On a regional scale, one would expect a monotonic increase of $f(z)$ with altitude within the mixing layer (Lang, 1985; Auer and others, 2002). Impacts of local snow redistribution, however, may be superposed on these basic trends, suggesting the application of a more sophisticated function type, such as a second-degree polynomial, which was applied to the

Vernagtferner winter mass-balance series from 1966 to 1985 by Moser and others (1986). In this study, winter mass balance for regions without observations is assumed constant above (below) the altitude of the highest (lowest) measurement of each year for the polynomial function, whereas the linear regression is used throughout the whole altitudinal range. A great advantage of the profile method is that it can be applied to any glacier where an area–altitude

Table 2. Basic data and results from various determinations of Hintereisferner winter mass balance for the 1992/93 to 2004/05 winter seasons. Abbreviations in columns 2–5 same as in Table 1. In the bottom two rows, the averages $\langle b_w \rangle$ and standard deviations of the four winter mass-balance series are included

Year	T_1	N_d/N_s	A	AZ	Profile $b_w \text{ poly}(T_1)$	Contour $b_w(T_1)$	Contour $b_w(31 \text{ May})$	Model $b_w(31 \text{ May})$
(1)	(2)	(3)	km ²	m a.s.l.	mm w.e.	mm w.e.	mm w.e.	mm w.e.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
1993	31 May	0/52	8.75	2675–3065	940	860	860	886
1994	30 March	0/20	8.74	2500–2955	1000	840	1100	983
1995	3 April	0/25	8.73	2495–3065	900	780	1040	909
1996	10 April	0/50	8.72	2505–3065	670	670	1090	1004
1997	31 May	0/32	8.70	2540–3065	1130	1050	1050	–
1998	7 May	0/44	8.30	2510–3065	1070	990	1050	–
1999	7 May	0/25	8.22	2510–3065	1220	1040	1320	–
2000	31 May	0/4	8.11	2610–3065	1000	770	770	–
2001	2 April	0/23	7.96	2530–2945	1230	1090	1340	–
2002	28 April	0/29	7.91	2695–3105	940	910	1300	–
2003	*	0/0	7.82	–	–	–	1090	–
2004	18 May	8/17	7.56	2585–3450	1500	1210	1330	–
2005	29 April	3/53	7.47	2601–3415	1120	910	1050	–
$\langle b_w \rangle$					1060	930	1110*	945†
Std dev.					210	155	185	57

*As no observational data were available for 2003, b_w was indirectly determined and is not included in the average.

distribution and local measurements of the water equivalent are available.

Contour method

Another method to determine winter mass balance is based on the assumption that the glacier surface can be divided into subareas of constant water equivalent, which in turn allows the analysis of the winter mass-balance dependency on elevation and areal variation (Kaser and others, 2003). Ideally, this would represent the best analytical method for winter mass-balance determination, provided that the grid resolution of the analysis matches the scale of the local accumulation and ablation pattern. This 'contour method' approach is applied to Hintereisferner by manually drawn isopleths of water equivalent for the winter mass balance. Thus $f(z_i)$ results as the spatial mean for each altitudinal belt. The extrapolation of water equivalents to altitudes without observations, i.e. for the 1992/93 to 2002/03 winter seasons, is performed by adopting the accumulation pattern of the 2004 and 2005 spring surveys (Bortenschlager, 2006; Fischer and Markl, in press).

Model method

A third possibility to determine the total winter mass balance is provided by modelling total summer mass balance b_s and calculating b_w as the difference between modelled b_s and measured total net mass balance, i.e., $b_w = b_n - b_s$. Values of b_s can be modelled, for example, with an energy-balance approach. For the Hintereisferner period 1952–96, the model of Hofinger and Kuhn (1996) was applied. Although this approach avoids, at least in part, the lack of data and the uncertainties of the direct glaciological method, it includes all the errors of the parameterizations and approximations of the energy-balance modelling for b_s . In addition, the errors of the net mass balance can compensate each other, but in the worst case lead to more than a doubling of the error of the winter mass balance.

Temporal system

As winter mass balance is analyzed as the integral over the period between the first snowfall in autumn and the day with maximum snow accumulation (Dyurgerov, 2002), all data denoted by T_1 are valid for the period between the end of September and this spring survey date, which is given in Table 1 (Table 2) for Vernagtferner (Hintereisferner). For the 'Model method' period 1952–96, the fixed-date system was applied to Hintereisferner with the seasons' separation set on 31 May. In order to combine this series with the directly determined data since 1992/93, precipitation records at the Vent climate station were used to supplement the observed Hintereisferner data until 31 May (Bortenschlager, 2006) by calibrating the precipitation amount from this valley station with observed water equivalent of the snow cover from 3000 m a.s.l. A similar procedure was applied to the Vernagtferner data on the basis of the precipitation records from the Vernagtbach gauging station. The resulting data represent an upper bound for the winter mass balance, as melting processes were neglected during this period.

RESULTS

In Figure 3, the polynomial and linear regression curves of the altitudinal distributions of winter mass balance from three spring surveys are displayed for Vernagtferner, and




Fig. 3. Altitudinal distributions of the Vernagtferner winter mass balance for the days T_1 of 1996 (a), 2002 (b) and 2004 (c). The empty circles show the observed field data; the area–altitude distribution is given by horizontal bars (scale on top left). Thick lines represent the linear function, thin lines the polynomial function.

Figure 4 shows the Hintereisferner distributions for the same years. The respective area–elevation distributions $A(z_i)$ of each year are included in the figures.

All graphs in Figures 3 and 4 show that winter mass balance increases with altitude, and for the majority the pattern of the observations suggests the applicability of a linear regression to determine $f(z)$. For both glaciers the profiles show some similar characteristics: (1) if sample points follow a central longitudinal track as, for example, on Hintereisferner in 1996 (Fig. 4a), the correlation between winter mass balance and altitude is closer; (2) if the sample points are distributed over a larger part of the glacier area, the standard deviations increase, as for example in 2004 (Figs 3c and 4c; cf. Tables 3 and 4).

For the Figure 3a dataset, the polynomial function shows a closer relation to the basic data than the linear function ($r^2_{\text{lin}} = 0.68$ mm w.e.; $r^2_{\text{poly}} = 0.71$ mm w.e.). In applying the polynomial function, however, one assumes a deviation




Fig. 4. Same as Figure 3, but for the Hintereisferner winter mass balance.

from the linear relationship, which is caused by additional deterministic processes such as snow redistribution by wind. These processes were analyzed by Hoinkes (1955), modelled by Kuhn (2003) and discussed by Plattner and others (2006). The areal distribution of accumulation is the result of a combination of two effects: (1) the existence of a fairly constant areal accumulation pattern, and (2) deviations induced by the individual meteorological conditions. The scatter of observations in 2004 (Figs 3c and 4c) implies that the two processes contribute to the same order of magnitude.

The winter mass balances of the three years as calculated with Equation (1) for the linear (b_w lin) and polynomial (b_w poly) approach are given in Table 3 (Table 4) for Vernagtferner (Hintereisferner), together with the respective linear regression coefficients (slope and offset) and standard deviations. Slope and offset show a wide variation in time and space, but no interrelation. For Vernagtferner (Table 3), the difference between the linear and the polynomial profile approach is small in most of the years, hence the polynomial approach cannot be considered as a marked improvement of the profile method. On the other hand, its application to the

Table 3. Selected data of three winter seasons for Vernagtferner: slope and offset of the linear relationship between winter mass balance and altitude; standard deviation between measured data; b_w lin, the winter mass balance calculated for day T_1 (cf. Table 1) with Equation (1) and a linear function; and b_w poly, the same but with a polynomial function

	1996	2002	2004
Slope (mm w.e. m ⁻¹)	0.58	1.07	0.24
Offset (mm w.e.)	-1330	-2370	130
Std dev. (mm w.e.)	60	130	170
b_w lin (mm w.e.)	480	980	890
b_w poly (mm w.e.)	490	1010	890

Vernagtferner data for the results published previously (cf. Introduction) does not lead to significant deviations, a fact also demonstrated by the scatter plot in Figure 5. The close correlation for all winter seasons is obvious; it must be mentioned, however, that the regions where the polynomial function assumes a constant water equivalent lie in those altitudinal belts which do not contribute significantly to the total glacier area. This circumstance reduces the difference between the polynomial and linear profile approaches to some degree.

Plattner and others (2006) applied an alternative version of the contour method to the Vernagtferner data for the 2003/04 winter season, based on 165 sample sites. They use a generalized-least-squares linear regression model in conjunction with an exponential model of spatial autocorrelation which delivers the frequency distribution from a more objective point of view. Their estimate of the 2003/04 winter balance is 3.4% greater than that obtained with the profile method, which is somewhat smaller than the averaged 14% for the 12 years on Hintereisferner (see below).

The application of the profile method to the Hintereisferner data, as performed in this study, leads to quite different results, and large differences between the linear and polynomial approaches are found in the b_w results if significant portions of the glacier area are not covered by observations. This is clearly visible from Table 4 and Figure 4a and b for the 1996 and 2002 winter seasons. The 1996 dataset (Fig. 4a) covers an altitudinal range from the end of the tongue up to 3065 m a.s.l., and in 2002 the soundings start only at 2695 m a.s.l. (Fig. 4b). For 2004, a lower number of samples cover a larger portion of the glacier area (cf. Fig. 2), and winter mass balances using both approaches amount to 1500 mm w.e. As in the case of Vernagtferner, the standard deviation increases if the samples cover a larger area (Tables 3 and 4, winter 2004). On the whole, the determination of b_w using the polynomial profile method (Table 2, column 6) leads to systematically higher values than those of the contour method (Table 2, column 7), the average difference amounting to 130 mm w.e. or 14% (std dev. 84 mm w.e.), which is somewhat smaller than the subjectively expected accuracy.

These analyses show that winter mass balance varies not only with altitude, but also in relation to the position on the glacier surface. A high correlation of the regression function $f(z)$ indicates that the available observations only consider the altitudinal dependency of the winter mass balance. In


Table 4. For Hintereisferner, same data as in Table 3. b_w poly relates to the profile method for T_1 (cf. Table 2, column 6)

	1996	2002	2004
Slope (mm w.e. m^{-1})	1.09	1.09	0.71
Offset (mm w.e.)	-2560	-2190	-660
Std dev. (mm w.e.)	50	170	260
b_w lin (mm w.e.)	740	1120	1500
b_w poly (mm w.e.)	670	940	1500

contrast, mechanically induced snow redistribution causes a larger standard deviation and increases the uncertainty of the final result. Thus, a high correlation may be spurious if observations are only available along a central longitudinal section.


SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Figure 6 summarizes the previous analyses and shows the resulting winter mass-balance time series for Hintereisferner and Vernagtferner for the period 1975/76 to 2004/05, as precipitation records for the Vernagtbach catchment began only in 1975. For Vernagtferner, the total series is based on the linear profile method with the extension to 31 May. For Hintereisferner, the b_w values of the model approach are used until 1991/92 and the contour method for the winter seasons until 2004/05, including the extension until 31 May (cf. Table 2, column 8). Hence, the Hintereisferner series is more heterogeneous than the Vernagtferner series, a fact illustrated by the different standard deviations of the various methods (Hintereisferner 1975–92: 340 mm w.e.; Hintereisferner 1993–2005: 180 mm w.e.; Vernagtferner 1975–92: 195 mm w.e.; and Vernagtferner 1993–2005: 180 mm w.e.). These standard deviations show that the variability is largest for the model period on Hintereisferner, but that the various methods applied to the data of the direct glaciological approach have quite similar variability.

**Fig. 5.** Scatter plot of Vernagtferner winter mass balance for the period 1966–2005, determined with the polynomial and the linear regression.

A 9 year running-mean filter was applied to the winter mass-balance data in order to reduce uncorrelated noise and interannual variability. Both filtered series display a similar wave-like structure with a minimum around 1990. Differences seem to be more systematic for the ‘contour line’ period since 1993. The error of the period with model results occurs randomly, whereas during the second period it is more systematic due to the superposition of the bias from the presumptions in the precipitation extension and contour method.

Assuming that there are no large differences in the mean winter balance within an altitudinal belt in closely neighbouring regions, a close agreement of the filtered series can be expected. From this point of view, Figure 6 reveals with a high confidence level that winter mass balances of about 1000 ± 100 mm w.e. are typical for glaciers situated in the southern Oetztal.

**Fig. 6.** Time series of Hintereisferner (HF) and Vernagtferner (VF) winter mass balance b_w for the winter seasons 1975/76 to 2004/05 determined in the fixed date system (1 October to 31 May). For Hintereisferner, modelled winter mass balance is used until 1991/92, and directly determined values integrated with the contour method afterwards. Nine-year running means (9y-filter) are included for both series.

The modelling of glacial processes in high alpine areas relies on this information resulting in realistic amounts of precipitation input. Only recently did the scale used for snow and ice models ($1 \times 1 \text{ km}^2$) reach that of the redistribution processes, so that an areal average of the local snow accumulation is sufficient for most purposes. Modelling the water balance of the upper Danube catchment in the framework of the GLOWA-Danube project (Mauser and Ludwig, 2002), for example, includes the evolution of the glacierized area on a scale of $1 \times 1 \text{ km}^2$, where operational climate data are not available. Long-term series of total winter mass balance as well as their altitudinal distributions could help to close this gap in remote regions with few observation sites. Our study shows that data published until now are suitable for this purpose, in spite of the inherent uncertainties.

To reduce the remaining uncertainties and learn about the interannual variability including the regional differences, further investigations must be accomplished which cannot be specified here in detail. The results of this study indicate that more observation points are only useful when they statistically represent the total areal variation of winter mass balance. Much better results can be expected by applying laser altimetry in spring and autumn, which delivers high-resolution data for the entire glacier area by remote sensing.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Countless colleagues have contributed to the compilation of the data used in this study. O. Reinwarth, H. Rentsch, E. Heucke, L. Braun and C. Mayer supervised most of the fieldwork. J. Jaenicke, C. Rosset, T. Plattner, M. Schulz and T. Rödder helped with the numerical processing. Valuable information on the Hintereisferner data was provided by A. Fischer. We are grateful to the two anonymous referees for constructive and extensive recommendations. Funding from the German Research Foundation (DFG), the Federal Ministry of Education and Research, Germany (BMBF), the Thiemig Foundation, the Hydrographic Office Tyrol, the GLOWA-Danube project, the Academy Research Programme III.B.1 of the Federal Republic of Germany and the State of Bavaria, and the Commission for Geophysical Research, Austrian Academy of Sciences, is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- Auer, I., R. Böhm, W. Schöner, and M. Leymüller. 2002. Das Klima des Sonnblicks: Klimaatlas und Klimatographie der GAW-Station Sonnblick einschließlich der umgebenden Gebirgsregion. *Österreich. Beitr. Meteorol. Geophys.* 28.
- Bortenschlager, S. 2006. Bestimmung der Winterbilanz des Hintereisferners von 1993 bis 2005. (Diplomarbeit, Universität Innsbruck.)
- Dyurgerov, M. 2002. *Glacier mass balance and regime: data of measurements and analysis*. Boulder, CO, University of Colorado. Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research. (INSTAAR Occasional Paper 55.)
- Escher-Vetter, H. 2000. Modelling meltwater production with a distributed energy balance method and runoff using a linear reservoir approach: results from Vernagtferner, Oetztal Alps, for the ablation seasons 1992 to 1995. *Z. Gletscherkd. Glazialgeol.*, **36**, 119–150.
- Escher-Vetter, H. 2007. Climate change information as derived from long-term measurements of winter and summer mass balance. *In Proceedings of the 29th International Conference on Alpine Meteorology, Chambéry, France. Extended abstracts. Vol. 2.* Toulouse, Météo-France, 465–468.
- Escher-Vetter, H. and M. Siebers. 2007. Sensitivity of glacier runoff to summer snowfall events. *Ann. Glaciol.*, **46**, 309–315.
- Escher-Vetter, H., L.N. Braun, M. Siebers and M. Weber. 2005. Water balance of the Vernagtferner high alpine basin based on long-term measurements and modelling. *Landschaftsökol. Umweltforsch. Tech. Univ. Braunschweig*, **48**, 19–32.
- Fischer, A. and G. Markl. In press. Mass balance measurements on Hintereisferner, Kesselwandferner and Jamtalferner 2003 to 2006: data base and results. *Z. Gletscherkd. Glazialgeol.*
- Haerberli, W., M. Zemp, R. Frauenfelder, M. Hoelzle and A. Kääh, eds. 2005. *Fluctuations of glaciers 1995–2000 (Vol. VIII)*. Zürich, World Glacier Monitoring Service.
- Hofinger, S. and M. Kuhn. 1996. Reconstruction of the summer mass balance of Hintereisferner since 1953. *Z. Gletscherkd. Glazialgeol.*, **32**, 137–149.
- Hoinkes, H. 1955. Beiträge zur Kenntnis des Gletscherwinds. *Arch. Meteorol. Geophys. Bioklimatol., Ser. B.*, **6**, 36–53.
- Holmlund, P. and P. Jansson. 1999. The Tarfala mass balance programme. *Geogr. Ann.*, **81A**(4), 621–631.
- Jansson, P. 1999. Effect of uncertainties in measured variables on the calculated mass balance of Storglaciären. *Geogr. Ann.*, **81A**(4), 633–642.
- Kappenberger, G. 1995. Misurazioni del nevato sul Ghiacciaio del Clariden (Canton Glarona) dal 1914. *In Gletscher im Ständigen Wandel. Jubiläums-Symposium der Schweizerischen Gletscherkommission, 1993 Verbier (Valais), Schweiz.* Zürich, Hochschulverlag AG/ETH-Zürich, 147–152.
- Kaser, G., A. Fountain and P. Jansson. 2003. *A manual for monitoring the mass balance of mountain glaciers with particular attention to low latitude characteristics*. Paris, Unesco. International Hydrological Programme. (Technical Documents in Hydrology 59.)
- Kuhn, M. 2003. Redistribution of snow and glacier mass balance from a hydrometeorological model. *J. Hydrol.*, **282**(1–4), 95–103.
- Kuhn, M., G. Markl, G. Kaser, U. Nickus, F. Obleitner and H. Schneider. 1985. Fluctuations of climate and mass balance: different responses of two adjacent glaciers. *Z. Gletscherkd. Glazialgeol.*, **21**, 409–416.
- Kuhn, M., E. Dreiseitl, S. Hofinger, G. Markl, N. Span and G. Kaser. 1999. Measurements and models of the mass balance of Hintereisferner. *Geogr. Ann.*, **81A**(4), 659–670.
- Lang, H. 1985. Höhenabhängigkeit der Niederschläge. *Beitr. Geol. Schweiz*, **31**, 149–157.
- Matzi, E. 2004. Zeitreihen der Dichteentwicklung am Hintereisferner von 1964 bis 2002. (Diplomarbeit, Universität Innsbruck.)
- Mauser, W. and R. Ludwig. 2002. GLOWA-Danube – a research concept to develop integrative techniques, scenarios and strategies regarding global changes of the water cycle. *In Beniston, M., ed. Climatic change: implications for the hydrological cycle and for water management*. Dordrecht, etc., Kluwer, 171–188. (Advances in Global Change Research 10.)
- Moser, H., H. Escher-Vetter, H. Oerter, O. Reinwarth and D. Zunke. 1986. *Abfluss in und von Gletschern*. München, Gesellschaft für Strahlen- und Umweltforschung. (GSF Bericht 41/86.)
- Østrem, G. and N. Haakensen. 1999. Map comparison or traditional mass-balance measurements: which method is better? *Geogr. Ann.*, **81A**(4), 703–711.
- Plattner, C., L.N. Braun and A. Brenning. 2006. Spatial variability of snow accumulation on Vernagtferner, Austrian Alps, in winter 2003/04. *Z. Gletscherkd. Glazialgeol.*, **39**, 43–57.
- Reinwarth, O. and H. Escher-Vetter. 1999. Mass balance of Vernagtferner, Austria, from 1964/65 to 1996/97: results for

- three sections and the entire glacier. *Geogr. Ann.*, **81A**(4), 743–751.
- Solomon, S. and 7 others, eds. 2007. *Climate change 2007: the physical science basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge, etc., Cambridge University Press.
- Vincent, C., G. Kappenberger, F. Valla, A. Bauder, M. Funk and E. Le Meur. 2004. Ice ablation as evidence of climate change in the Alps over the 20th century. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **109**(D10), D10104. (10.1029/2003JD003857.)
- Weber, M. 2005. Mikrometeorologische Prozesse bei der Ablation eines Alpengletschers. (Doctoral dissertation, Universität Innsbruck.)