# A CONNECTED METRIC SPACE WITHOUT AN EQUALLY SPACED CHAIN OF POINTS 

J. Arias de Reyna

We construct a connected subspace $M$ of the euclidean plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ containing two points $A$ and $B$ such that, for every pair of points $\{P, Q\}$ of $M \backslash\{A, B\}$, the three real numbers $d(A, P)$, $d(P, Q)$ and $d(Q, B)$ are not the same. This solves a question posed by Väisälä.

In [3], Väisälä proved the following result:
"Let ( $X, d$ ) be an arcwise connected metric space and let $a, b \in X$. Then for every positive integer $n$ there is a sequence of distinct points $a=x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}=b$ in $X$ such that $d\left(x_{j-1}, x_{j}\right)$ is independent of $j$ ".

He also asked if this result is true when arcwise connectedness is replaced by connectedness.

We solve completely Väisälä's question by means of the construction of a connected metric space ( $M, d$ ) with two points $A$ and $B$ such that, for every $P, Q \in M \backslash\{A, B\}$, the real. numbers $d(A, P), d(P, Q)$ and $d(Q, B)$ are not the same. The metric space $(M, d)$ is a subspace of the plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ endowed with the euclidean distance.

Let $A=(0,0)$ and $B=(1,0)$. If $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ we shall say that
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$P$ and $Q$ are related (and we will write $r(P, Q)$ ), if $P, Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{A, B\}$ and either $d(A, P)=d(P, Q)=d(Q, B)$ or $d(A, Q)=d(Q, P)=d(P, B)$. For every $P \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ the set $\left\{Q \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: r(P, Q)\right\}$ contains, at most, four points.

Let $\Omega$ be the first ordinal number equipotent to the continuum. Select a well ordering $\left\langle P_{\alpha}: \alpha<\Omega\right\rangle$ of the points of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that $P_{0}=A$ and $P_{1}=B$. Select a well ordering $\left\langle F_{\alpha}: \alpha<\Omega\right\rangle$ of the perfect subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that $A \in F_{0}$ and $B \in F_{I}$.

Now we define $\left\langle Q_{\alpha}: \alpha<\Omega\right\rangle$ inductively in the following way.
Suppose we have chosen, for every $\beta<\alpha, Q_{\beta}$. Then let $Q_{\alpha}$ be the first point $P$ in the well ordering $\left\langle P_{\alpha}: \alpha<\Omega\right\rangle$, satisfying
(a) $P \neq Q_{\beta}$ for every $\beta<\alpha$,
(b) $P \in E_{\alpha}$,
(c) if $\beta<\alpha, P$ is not related to $Q_{\beta}$.

There exist points that satisfy (a), (b) and (c) because the cardinal of $F_{\alpha}$ is the cardinal of the continuum [Levy, 2.15], (c) is equivalent to the assertion $P \notin\left\{Q \in \mathbb{R}^{2}\right.$ : there exists $\beta<\alpha$ with $\left.r\left(Q, Q_{\beta}\right)\right\}=H_{\alpha}$ and the cardinal of $H_{\alpha} \cup\left\{Q_{\beta}: \beta<\alpha\right\}$ is smaller than the cardinal of the continuum.

We define $M=\left\{Q_{\alpha}: \alpha<\Omega\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ endowed with the induced metric. It is clear that $A=Q_{0}$ and $B=Q_{1}$, hence $A, B \in M$.

There exist no pair of points $\{P, Q\}$ in $M \backslash\{A, B\}$ such that $d(A, P)=d(P, Q)=d(Q, B)$ because otherwise $P$ and $Q$ would be related points and the definition of $M$ would imply either $P k M$ or $Q k M$.

It is clear that $Q_{\alpha} \in F_{\alpha} \cap M$. It follows that the set $M$ has nonempty intersection with every perfect subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. The set $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is connected according to Sierpinski [2], since its complement does not
contain any perfect set.
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