



(c)  $h(u, v) = v$

gives the *elemental subgroup*  $E_f(G)$ .

(d)  $h(u, v) = [u, v], [v^{-1}, u]$

give the *commutal subgroup*  $C_f(G)$ .

The fourth dual subgroup  $H_f^4(G)$  of a group  $G$  is defined to be the subgroup of  $G$  generated by all elements  $z$  of  $G$  for which

$$h(f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), z) = h(f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), 1)$$

for all values of  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  in  $G$ .

We now have the following particular cases corresponding to those given above :

(a')  $h(u, v) = uv, vu, u^{-1}v, vu^{-1}, uv^{-1}, v^{-1}u, u^{-1}v^{-1}, v^{-1}u^{-1}$

give the *trivial subgroup*.

(b')  $h(u, v) = v^{-1}uv, vuv^{-1}, v^{-1}u^{-1}v, vu^{-1}v^{-1}$

give the *centralizer of the verbal subgroup*  $V_f(G)$ , namely,  $Z_f(G)$ .

(c')  $h(u, v) = v, v^{-1}$

give the *trivial subgroup*.

(d')  $h(u, v) = [u, v], [v, u], [u^{-1}, v], [v, u^{-1}], [u, v^{-1}], [v^{-1}, u], [u^{-1}, v^{-1}], [v^{-1}, u^{-1}]$

give the *centralizer of the verbal subgroup*  $V_f(G)$ , namely,  $Z_f(G)$ .

The properties of the third dual subgroup are similar to those of the first dual subgroup as exhibited in [3]. In particular, we have the following two important results :

(1) *The intersection of third dual subgroups (with the same  $h(u, v)$ ) of a group is a third dual subgroup of the group, namely, that associated with all the words by which the original third dual subgroups were defined.*

For two fixed words  $f$  and  $g$  we define the subgroups  $A$  and  $B$  of  $G$  (depending on  $f$  and  $g$ ) by the relations

$$H_f^3(G/Z_g(G)) = A/Z_g(G) \quad \text{and} \quad H_g^3(G/Z_f(G)) = B/Z_f(G)$$

(with the same  $h(u, v)$ ) respectively. Then we have

(2) *If  $f$  and  $g$  are typical words of two sets of words on distinct symbols, then the third dual subgroup associated with all commutators of the form  $[f, g]$  is the intersection of all subgroups  $A$  and  $B$  obtained by varying  $f$  and  $g$  over their distinct sets.*

Marginal subgroups, invariable subgroups and the centralizer of a verbal subgroup have already been studied in [3]. We now proceed to outline a number of properties of elemental subgroups and commutal subgroups.

We note the following special cases :

(i) *If  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = [ \dots [ [x_1, x_2], x_3 ], \dots ], x_n$ , then  $E_f(G)$  and  $C_f(G)$  are the  $(n - 1)$ th and the  $n$ th members of the upper central series of  $G$  respectively.*

(ii) *If  $f = x^n$ , then  $E_f(G)$  is the subgroup generated by all elements  $\xi$  of  $G$  which satisfy the equation  $\xi^n = 1$ . On the other hand,  $C_f(G)$  is the subgroup generated by all elements  $\xi$  of  $G$  which satisfy the relation  $[G, \xi]^n = 1$ .*

As in the case of marginal subgroups and invariable subgroups, the union of elemental subgroups of a group is not, in general, an elemental subgroup of the group. The example given for marginal subgroups in [3] holds also for elemental subgroups. This is because the

concepts of elemental subgroup and marginal subgroup coincide for abelian groups.

For abelian groups, the concept of a commutal subgroup is of no importance. However, the commutal subgroups of nilpotent groups of class two are of particular interest. They are either equal to the whole group or of the type given in (ii) above, namely, the set of all elements  $\xi$  satisfying the relation  $[G, \xi]^n = 1$ . In the latter case we have that

$$C_n(G) \geq E_n(G) \cdot Z_n(G),$$

where  $Z_n(G)$ ,  $E_n(G)$  and  $C_n(G)$  are the centralizer of the verbal subgroup, the elemental subgroup and the commutal subgroup corresponding to  $x^n$  respectively.

We can now state that *the union of commutal subgroups of a group is not, in general, a commutal subgroup of the group.*

*Example.* Let  $G_n$  denote the nilpotent group of class two having order  $p_n^3$  and exponent  $p_n$  for each prime number  $p_n > 2$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). With the set of words

$$x_1^{p_1}, x_2^{p_2}, \dots, x_n^{p_n}, \dots$$

we associate the commutal subgroups

$$C_{p_1}(G), C_{p_2}(G), \dots, C_{p_n}(G), \dots$$

respectively. Let  $H = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$  and  $G = H \times (F/{}^2F)$ , where  $F/{}^2F$  is a non-abelian free nilpotent group of class two having finite rank. Now

$$C_{p_m}(G) = C_{p_m}(H) \times C_{p_m}(F/{}^2F) = C_{p_m}(H) \times ({}^1F/{}^2F),$$

since, by Witt [5],  $F/{}^2F$  is locally infinite and  ${}^1F/{}^2F$  is its centre, and

$$C_{p_m}(H) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{p_m}(G_n).$$

However,  $C_{p_m}(G_m) = G_m$ . Hence

$$\{C_{p_m}(G); m = 1, 2, \dots\} = H \times ({}^1F/{}^2F).$$

If the union of commutal subgroups of  $G$  is a commutal subgroup of  $G$ , then, for some set of words  $f$ ,

$$H \times ({}^1F/{}^2F) = C_f(G) = C_f(H) \times C_f(F/{}^2F).$$

Thus  $C_f(F/{}^2F) = {}^1F/{}^2F$  and  $C_f(H) = H$ . However, there exist no words  $f$  such that both these latter two results hold. This gives the required contradiction and thus the union of commutal subgroups is not, in general, a commutal subgroup.

In contrast to marginal subgroups and invariable subgroups, the elemental subgroup of a free product need not, in general, be trivial. In fact, if  $f = x^n$ , it is easy to verify that the elemental subgroup of a free product  $F$  of groups  $G_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in M$ ) is given by

$$E_f(F) = (\prod_{\alpha \in M}^* E_f(G_\alpha))^{F \dagger}.$$

$C_f(G)$  always contains the centre of  $G$ , but this does not, in general, hold for  $E_f(G)$ .

$M_f(G)$  is the smallest of the subgroups  $M_f(G)$ ,  $I_f(G)$ ,  $E_f(G)$  and  $C_f(G)$ , as it is always contained in each of the others.

† The superscript  $F$  denotes normal closure in  $F$ . Cf. Moran [4].

Now with the set of words  $f$  we associate the set of words  $g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x) = [f, x]$ , where  $x$  is distinct from all the other symbols. It is easy to see, from the result (2) stated above for the third dual subgroup, that

$$H_\sigma^3(G) \geq H_f^3(G) \cap Z_f(G).$$

In contrast to marginal subgroups and invariable subgroups, the following example shows that, in general,  $E_f(G)$  is neither contained in  $E_\sigma(G)$  nor in  $Z_f(G)$ .

*Example.* Let  $G$  be the infinite dihedral group generated by  $a$  and  $b$  which are of order two. If  $f$  is the word  $y^2$ , then  $g$  is the word  $[y^2, x]$ . It is easy to see that  $E_f(G) = G$ , while  $E_\sigma(G) = 1$  and  $Z_f(G) = \{ab\}$ .

Finally we have that, if  $\xi \in C_f(G)$ , then  $[G, \xi]$  is contained in  $E_f(G)$ . On the other hand, if  $\xi \in C_\sigma(G)$ , then  $[G, \xi]$  is contained in  $Z_f(G)$ .

**3. MacLane's dual for the centralizer of a verbal subgroup.** A process due to S. MacLane [1, 2] assigns a dual statement to every statement concerning groups and homomorphisms. Thus it is possible to show that the upper central series and the lower central series are dual concepts. In the case of the centralizer of a verbal subgroup we proceed as follows.

Let  $I(f)$  denote the set of all inner automorphisms of a group  $G$  associated with the values of all the words  $f$ . For example, if  $f = x^2$ , then  $I(f)$  will be the set of all inner automorphisms  $\phi_x$  of  $G$  which map an arbitrary element  $y$  of  $G$  onto  $x^{-2}yx^2$ . Associated with the set of words  $f$  we have, as above, another set of words of which  $g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x) = [f, x]$  is a typical word. We are now in a position to give the required duality between the centralizer of a verbal subgroup  $Z_f(G)$  and the verbal subgroup  $V_\sigma(G)$ .

*If  $I(f)$  is the set of inner automorphisms of  $G$  associated with all the words  $f$ , then  $Z_f(G)$  is the maximal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$  such that, for each  $\phi$  of  $I(f)$ ,  $\phi$  induces the identity automorphism on  $N$ .*

*If  $I(f)$  is the set of inner automorphisms of  $G$  associated with all the words  $f$ , then  $G/V_\sigma(G)$  is the maximal quotient group  $Q$  of  $G$  such that, for each  $\phi$  of  $I(f)$ ,  $\phi$  induces the identity automorphism on  $Q$ .*

REFERENCES

1. S. MacLane, Groups, categories and duality, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, **34** (1948), 263-267.
2. S. MacLane, Duality for groups, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **56** (1950), 485-516.
3. S. Moran, Duals of a verbal subgroup, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **33** (1958), 220-236; Corrigenda, **34** (1959), 250.
4. S. Moran, Associative operations on groups III, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **9** (1959), 287-317.
5. E. Witt, Treue Darstellung Liesche Ringe, *J. reine angew. Math.* **177** (1937), 152-160.

THE UNIVERSITY  
GLASGOW