

The organisation of stage stations in Central Asian

colonial provinces of the Tibetan Empire according to

Pelliot tibétain 1096r¹



JOANNA BIALEK 📵



Based on the first English translation of the Old Tibetan document with the shelf mark Pelliot tibétain 1096 recto, the article analyses the internal organisation of a stage station (sluns) in the Central Asian colonial provinces of the Tibetan Empire. It examines officials and offices that constituted a stage station, as well as persons who were using its services. By comparing the information contained in the document with later reports of foreign travellers, the article reconstructs the organisation of a stage station. It also brings to light certain traits that were apparently common to the first historically attested relay system of the Tibetan Empire and the succeeding system introduced by the Mongols during the thirteenth century CE.

Keywords: Tibetan Empire, Silk Road, relay system, stage station, transportation, Pt 1096r

The Old Tibetan (OT) document examined in the following article provides us with an exclusive insight into the organisation of a stage station in Central Asian colonial provinces of the Tibetan Empire. Tibetan post services and the transportation system as such have thus far drawn little attention of Western scholars, of whom only Uebach has devoted a study to the relay system of the imperial period.² To the best of my knowledge, there exists only one

JRAS, Series 3, 31, 4 (2021), pp. 693-709

doi:10.1017/S1356186321000316

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Royal Asiatic Society. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.o/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

¹I would like to acknowledge financial support provided by grant BI 1953/1-1 of Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft in years 2017–2020. I wish to thank Diana Lange for helping me with the identification of stage stations on the maps of the Wise collection.

The Tibetan script is transliterated according to the principles put forward in J. Bialek, 'Towards a standardisation of Tibetan transliteration for textual studies', *Revue d'Etudes Tibétaines* 56 (2020), pp. 28–46. Tibetan proper names are hyphened in order to enhance their readability in the text flow. Only the first letter is capitalised.

²H. Uebach, 'Notes on the Postal System (slungs) in the Tibetan Empire in the 7th–9th Centuries', in *Unearth-ing Himalayan Treasures: Festschrift for Franz-Karl Ehrhard*, (eds.) V. Caumanns, M. Sernesi and N. Solmsdorf (Marburg, 2019), pp. 449–455.

detailed study on later developments of and foreign influences on the Tibetan relay system, namely P. Maurer, 'The Tibetan Governmental Transport and Postal System: Horse Services and Other Taxes from the 13th to the 20th Centuries', *Buddhism, Law & Society* 5 (2019), pp. 1–58. Owing to the scarcity of sources, however, Maurer concentrated on the organisation of the relay system as such, leaving aside the functioning of its most basic units—the stage stations.³ The present study attempts to fill this gap by analysing the only thus far known OT document that sheds light on the internal organisation of a single stage station.

Pelliot tibétain 1096 recto (hereafter: Pt 1096r) is an original OT document, to be specific a summons concerning a dispute over two lost or stolen horses. Like all texts from the Pelliot tibétain collection, Pt 1096r was discovered at the beginning of the twentieth century in Cave 17 of the Mogao Caves, southeast of Dunhuang, and brought to Paris by Paul Pelliot. The document is composed in Old Literary Tibetan (OLT). Unfortunately, despite numerous attempts we still lack clear criteria on which to date single documents of the period. Accordingly, the date and the place of the composition of Pt 1096r, as well as its 'authorship', remain unknown. Since the text is an original judicial document, it was most probably written in a law court by an authorised person. Two arguments speak in favour of the hypothesis that Pt 1096r originated in Central Asian colonies of the imperial Tibet: (1)

³Uebach devoted a part of her article to the internal organisation of a stage station, but her interpretation of Pt 1096r (the backbone of her research) is problematic (see below). In addition, interesting information on the relay system of pre-modern Tibet can be found scattered throughout Lange's meticulous study of the nineteenth-century maps in the Wise collection. See D. Lange, *An Atlas of the Himalayas by a 19th Century Tibetan Lama. A Journey of Discovery* (Leiden, 2020).

⁴Pelliot's own account of the "Dunhuang library" can be found in P. Pelliot, 'Une bibliothèque médiévale retrouvée au Kan-sou', *Bulletin de l'Ecole française d'Extrême-Orient* 8, 3/4 (1908), pp. 501–529. For a general description of the Pelliot collection, see A. Fujieda, 'The Tunhuang Manuscripts: A General Description Part I', *Zinbun* 9 (1966), pp. 1–32.

(1966), pp. 1–32.

5'Old Literary Tibetan', or more commonly 'Old Tibetan', is the written language of non-translatory Tibetan documents discovered in Central Asian oases and of the imperial inscriptions from Central Tibet. OLT roughly encompasses the period between the script invention in the 630s or 640s and the formation of analytical verb constructions and phonemic tones in the ninth century. See T. Takeuchi, 'Formation and Transformation of Old Tibetan', in *Historical Development of the Tibetan Languages*, (eds.) T. Takeuchi and N. Hayashi (Kobe, 2012), pp. 3–17; J. Bialek, 'The Proto-Tibetan clusters sL- and sR- and the periodisation of Old Tibetan', *Himalayan Linguistics* 17, 2 (2018), p. 39, fin. 98.

⁶Most recent contributions to the topic include: T. Takeuchi, 'Sociolinguistic Implications of the use of Tibetan in East Turkestan from the end of Tibetan Domination through the Tangut Period (9th-12th c.)', in Turfan Revisited, (ed.) P. Zieme (Berlin, 2004), pp. 341-348; J. Dalton, T. Davis and S. van Schaik, 'Beyond Anonymity: Paleographic Analyses of the Dunhuang Manuscripts', Journal of the International Association of Tibetan Studies 3 (2007), pp. 1-23; H. Uebach, 'Notes on the Palaeography of the Old Tibetan Inscriptions: Zhol and bSam yas', in Edition, éditions: l'écrit au Tibet, évolution et devenir, (eds.) A. Chayet, C. Scherrer-Schaub, F. Robin and J.-L. Achard (München, 2010), pp. 411-428; M. Walter and C. I. Beckwith, 'The Dating and Interpretation of the Old Tibetan Inscriptions', Central Asiatic Journal 54, 2 (2010), pp. 291-319; A. Helman-Ważny and S. van Schaik, 'Witnesses for Tibetan Craftsmanship: Bringing together paper analysis, palaeography and codicology in the examination of the earliest Tibetan manuscripts', Archaeometry 55, 4 (2013), pp. 707-741; S. van Schaik, 'Towards a Tibetan palaeography: Developing a typology of writing styles in early Tibet', in Manuscript Cultures: Mapping the Field, (eds.) D. Bondarev, J. Quenzer and J.-U. Sobisch (Berlin, 2014), pp. 299-337; C. I. Beckwith and M. L. Walter, 'Dating and characterization of the Old Tibetan Annals and the Chronicle', in From Bhakti to Bon. Festschrift for Per Kværne, (eds.) H. Havnevik and C. Ramble (Oslo, 2015), pp. 53-88; B. Dotson, 'Misspelling "Buddha": The officially commissioned Tibetan Aparimitāyur-nāma mahāyāna-sūtras from Dunhuang and the study of Old Tibetan orthography', Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies 79, I (2016), pp. 129-151; B. Dotson and A. Helman-Ważny, Codicology, paleography, and orthography of early Tibetan documents (Wien, 2016); B. Zeisler, 'las.stsogs etc. - On internal cues for dating Old Tibetan documents', Zentralasiatische Studien 45 (2016), pp. 467-491; J. Bialek, 'Kinterms: New potential indicators for dating Old Tibetan documents', Revue d'Etudes Tibétaines (2021 Forthcoming).

some of the proper names of persons involved in the case are of non-Tibetan origin (see section entitled Persons below); and (2) the text explicitly mentions Śa-ču (i.e. Dunhuang) as the place of residence of two horse owners. The document is complete, bearing eight seals of persons involved in the case: six seals of guarantors (Qan-hwa-hwa, Den-bun-yde, Čań-stag-bźer, Yo-gań Reyu-skyes, Gñi-ba Lha-mthon, and Śig-śiń-śiń), a seal of the defendant Yo-gań G.yu-la-skyes, and a seal of a witness who was an anonymous judge from aristocracy (źań lon źal čhe pa). The legal aspects pertinent to the document have already been comprehensively discussed by Brandon Dotson and so do not need to be restated here.⁷

The present article concentrates on the organisation of stage stations (*sluis*) in the period of the Tibetan Empire. Namely, Pt 1096r provides some details on a *sluis*, people related to it, as well as services offered by a *sluis*. Therefore, its primary objective is to present the first annotated translation of the document in a Western language, accompanied by a diplomatic transliteration, and a glossary (see Appendix). In the discussion section, the contents will be scrutinised in order to enhance our understanding of the *sluis*-institution.

Historical context

At the turn of the sixth and seventh century CE, by conquering its immediate neighbours, a small polity centred in the Yar-valley (OLT yar luns), sometimes referred to as the Yar-luns Kingdom, arose to become an important military and political actor on the Tibetan Plateau. In the 630s this polity started its expansion beyond the valleys of Central Tibet, subduing Sum-pa, Źań-źuń and Ya-źa (Ch. 吐谷渾 Tǔyùhún) over the following thirty years. These conquests mark the emergence of the Tibetan Empire. With varying luck, the Tibetan Empire then continued its expansion through the seventh and eighth centuries, temporarily controlling territories beyond the Tibetan Plateau, including the Central Asian Silk Roads. Its demise started in the 840s, triggered by an unstable internal political situation and the declining economy that mirrored the worsening international economic situation from the 830s onward.

The expanding Tibetan Empire required an efficient administrative system to control—politically and economically—the newly subdued territories and peoples. To this end an extensive relay system had to be established that could support communication between the socio-political centre of the Empire (now located in the valley of the Skyi-čhu river) and its dependent territories and colonies. Our knowledge of this system is still in its infancy, and is largely based on sporadic mentions of *sluris* 'stage station'—the nodes of the communication network—and messengers, as in the following passages:

ydun ma mkhar phrag du / blon khrī sum rjes bsdus nas / mnan (222) dan / sluns stod smad gyī than khram čhen po btab / (ITJ 750)

⁷B. Dotson, 'Introducing Early Tibetan Law: Codes and Cases', in *Secular Law and Order in the Tibetan Highland*, (ed.) D. Schuh (Andiast, 2015), pp. 285ff.; see also, K. Iwao, 'Preliminary Study of the Legal Court Proceedings in the Old Tibetan Empire', in *Secular Law and Order in the Tibetan Highland*, (ed.) D. Schuh (Andiast, 2015), pp. 315–322. Unfortunately, I did not have access to Wang Yao 王 堯, and Chen Jian 陳 踐, *Dunhuang Tufan wenshu lunwenji* 敦煌吐蕃文書論文集 (= *Selection of the Old Tibetan documents*), (Chengdu, 1988) who likewise studied the document under consideration.

⁸C. I. Beckwith, Empires of the Silk Road: A History of Central Eurasia from the Bronze Age to the Present (Princeton, 2009), pp. 158ff.

The council, convened at castle Phrag by councillor Khri-sum-rje-[rcan-bzer], issued great tallies of jurisdiction for *mian* and the upper and lower stage stations (*sluis*).

bod kyi gcug lag bkay grims čhed po dan / blon po yi rim pa dan / čhe čhun (453) gñis kyī dban than dan / legs pa zin pa yī bya dgay dan / ñe yo ba yi čhad pa dan / źin ybrog gi thul ka dan dor ka dan / sluns kyi go bar bsñams (454) pa dan / bre pul dan / sran la scogs pa // bod kyi čhos kyi gźun bzan po kun // bcan po khri sron brcan gyi rin las byun no / (Pt 1287)

The Tibetan principles—the great law—successions of councillors, prerogatives for (lit. of) both, great and small ones, rewards for good ones that adhere [to us], punishments for culprits, standardisation of *thul ka* and *dor ka* of fields and pastures, and of distances between (lit. of) stage stations (*sluiis*), [weight units] *bre*, *phul*, and *sraii*, among others, all the good foundations of the Tibetan customs appeared from the reign of *bcan po* Khri Sron-brcan.

(36) da čhab srīd gčig čin // mỹal (37) dum čhen po ydī ltar mjad pas (38) dbon źan dgyes payi bkay phrind (39) sñan pas kyan ydrul dgos te // (40) phan chun gyī pho ña ydon ba yan // lam (41) rñin par byun nas // sna lugs bźin (42) // bod rgya gñis kyī bar // can kun (43) yog du rta bŋĕs la // (ST Treaty W)

Now, the politics being one, because a great agreement was reached in this way, it being necessary to travel with good messages from [lit. of] the pleased nephew and uncle, travelling messengers of both sides appeared on old roads as well. Hence, according to earlier customs, let horses be changed at Cań-kun-yog between Tibet and China!

In this context, Pt 1096r represents an invaluable source of information on the internal organisation of *sluiis* that constituted the basic units of the relay system of the Tibetan Empire. Even though due to its concise and highly technical language the text may occasionally be difficult to comprehend and therefore to translate, it delivers unique details on the functioning of a stage station under the Tibetan rule. Nevertheless, it must be emphasised that the document is a legal one and so the organisation of the stage station is not its main concern.

Translation

In the first half of the last autumn month of the dragon year, the messenger Gźams-khon-khri came to the encampment of Par-kog, ⁹ asking for one horse of Qan-bcan-zigs-chan. Upon it was necessary to send [the horse] back, ¹⁰ deputies of the head of the stage station, head of the encampment, among others, said: "Having taken away the horse, [we] placed [it] in the encampment. Thereupon [it] got lost". After [they] had not given the horse back, having seized Li Qab-sab-ñan, the groom of the stage station, [one] inquired [him].

Thereupon [the groom] said: "It is true that we put the horse in the encampment of the stage station. Upon handing [it] over to Li Źen-ydo, the light brown horse got lost".

⁹The name Par-kog does not seem to be attested in other OT documents, but Thomas quotes several other place names that begin with the syllable *par* (F. W. Thomas, *Tibetan literary texts and documents concerning Chinese Turkestan* [London, 1935–55], vol. 4, p. 60b).

¹⁰The meaning of zlogs is uncertain for rigs required nominalised v1 in genitive (cf. H. A. Jäschke, A Tibetan-English Dictionary [New York, 2003], p. 528a, s.v. rigs). I tentatively identify it with zlog 'to cause to return'.

"Summon Qab-sab-ñan, as well as Zan-ydo, and, having spoken¹¹ [to them], swear a sincere oath: 'Upon putting this horse in the encampment, the lost one was indeed there. We have not taken [it] away. [We] have not stolen [it]!' If [you] can make the vow, give a replacement! If [you] cannot make the yow, being decided according to the law, [one] will have examined the sincerity (dkar) regarding the very Qab-sab-ñan, among others."

Upon having said [so] on the eighth day of the first winter month of this year, up to the fourteenth day [of the month] Qab-sab-ñan as well as the worker Źan-ydo did not come. Then, having summoned Yo-gain 12 G.yu-la-skyes, the head of the encampment, to the court, [one] inquired [him].

"The messenger Gźams-khon-khri, having asked for one horse of a man from Śa-ču¹³, came to the encampment of Par-kog. Thereupon, as for this horse, both the messenger and the groom Qab-sab-ñan prepared to mount the stallion. There were not many messengers. After some messenger-horsemen had come and the horse of the man from Śa-ču was bound, ¹⁴ I said to the messenger(s) and the groom: '[The horses shall] not come across [each other].' I ordered to the groom 'Catch the horses whomever [they] belong to, bring [them], [and] bind [them] again!' Later, the day after the next day, Jeyu-hin-vir, 15 having come again to the encampment, asked 'Where is my horse?' Oab-sab-ñañ said: 'Both horses of the man from Śa-ču were in the courtyard¹⁶ [of]¹⁷ the head of the stage station. Thereupon, Jeyu-hin-yir, riding a one [and] leading a one, fled away.' [I] listened to Qab-sab-ñan. Concerning the horse, it was not let free by myself." [Thus Yo-gan G.yu-la-skyes] said.

As for the pleadings [of] the horse owner Hin-ce, [he] was saying: "Once, 18 my horse was three nights long in the pen; there was no other horse of the stage station. If the head of the encampment must have seen this horse, [I] request [you] to ask: 'If [he] is despondent about [the horse] being stolen, 19 where is he?"

```
rgya[-] rkun tu glo ba čuń (Or.15000/91: 4; Thomas' reading: rgya[n] kun tu glo ba čuń; Takeuchi's read-
ing: [rgyan rkun] du glo ba čun, (T. Takeuchi, Old Tibetan Manuscripts from East Turkestan in the Stein Col-
lection of the British Library [London, 1998], vol. 2, p. 51) 'is very stupid' (TLTD, vol. 2, p. 241)
```

¹¹I identify mos with CT smos, $v_2 < smo$ 'to say, to speak'; cf. Nangchen $m^h y$ "with ne to clarify one's kinship relations (e.g. before getting married)" (R. Bielmeier et al., Comparative Dictionary of Tibetan Dialects (CDTD). Volume 2: Verbs [Berlin, 2018], p. 983).

¹²Takeuchi interpreted Yo-gan as a name of a people that remains unidentified thus far. See T. Takeuchi, Old Tibetan Contracts from Central Asia (Tokyo 1995), p. 132.

¹³Modern Dunhuang; Tib. Śa-ću < Ch. Shāzhōu 沙州.

¹⁴OTDO has by a bsdas but the reading of the last two syllables of l. 13 is uncertain. The first one looks more like čya, whereas the second one begins with a sign that can hardly be identified with any letter of the Tibetan alphabet. Its last letter could be either s or m. Since no such a word as *bsdas seems to be attested in written sources, I read the syllable as bsdam. The meaning and function of bya remain unexplained.

¹⁵A certain Jeyu-hin is mentioned in Pt 1208/Pt 1221: B1 as surety (see Takeuchi, Old Tibetan Contracts, pp. 252ff.). It is not certain whether this is the same person as Jeyu-hin-yir of Pt 1096r.

⁶Compare Yolmo [jīldo] 'courtyard' (CDTD: 7854, s.v. g.yul ythag 'threshing floor').

¹⁷For this reconstruction compare sluns phon gyi g.yul thog in l. r24.

 $^{^{18}\}mbox{The translation of }\textit{mam \'chig la}$ as 'once' is purely contextual.

¹⁹The phrase *glo ba čhuň* is known from only a few OT documents:

dpyas par glo ba čhuń (ITJ 737.1: 396) 'to fear the blame' (J. W. de Jong, The story of Rāma in Tibet: text and translation of the Tun-huang manuscripts [Stuttgart, 1989], p. 43) čhab ychal du / glo ba čhuń (Or.15000/495: 4) '[with] little hope of obtaining water' (TLTD, vol. 2,

mčhi bar glo ba čhuň (Or.15000/542: 6) 'glo ba čhuň to go/say' (the text is badly damaged) mi slons su glo ba čhun (Liyi yul lun bstan pa, D 4202, sprin yig, ne 177r2) 'I will be dejected about not having erected them' (R. E. Emmerick, Tibetan texts concerning Khotan [Oxford, 1967], p. 25)

At that time a minion of the stage station appeared. *thum čhu ma*, having appeared afterwards, said "[I] am coming from Ju-čan to Lug-lun to help".²⁰

Having clarified [the circumstances],²¹ [one] decided: "Concerning the lost horses of Hin-che, among others, the head of the encampment, among others, truly feared [its] stealing".²² [Thus] it was said.

The head of the encampment, upon being inquired, said, "Upon this horse had come to the encampment, I ordered the groom Qab-sab-ñan that [he] must ($\dot{s}ig = \text{IMP}$) bind the horse again. [Qab-sab-ñan said:] 'Once, both horses were in the courtyard of the head of the stage station. Thereupon, Jeɣu-hin-yir, riding a one [and] leading a one, fled away.' [I] listened to Qab-sab-ñan".

After [one] had previously set a time for Qab-sab-ñan to [secure] guarantors, [he] did not arrive on time. Neither did Źan-ydo arrive.

[Decision:] While initiating (lit. fixing) the dispute [over] the lost horses, it was not feasible to settle (lit. defend) [it]. Therefore, [one] decided that the head of the encampment must provide (lit. give) guarantors, summon Qab-sab-ñaṅ and Źan- γ do, and plead on the full moon day of the first winter month. ²³

Sealed for the guarantors of [Yo-gan] G.yu-la-skyes with the guarantor seals of Qan-hwa-hwa, Den-bun-yde, Čan-stag-bźer, Yo-gan Reyu-skyes, Gñi-ba Lha-mthon, and Śig-śin-śin, among others, with the personal seal of the person concerned (i.e. Yo-gan G.yu-la-skyes), and with the witness seal of an aristocrat-judge.

Tibetan Text

The text has been transliterated by the author on the basis of scans made available on Gallica.²⁴ The document consists of 31 lines of text immediately followed by eight seals in red

gnod par glo ba čhuń (Liγi yul luń bstan pa, D 4202, spriń yig, ne 17911) 'one is dejected about the harm' (Emmerick, Tibetan texts, p. 33)

In addition, Or.15000/146: r6 preserves the phrase glo čhuň, which might be a mere abbreviation of glo ba čhuň. We observe that, with one exception (Or.15000/91: 4), glo ba čhuň requires terminative of either a verb stem or a nominalised v1. In the former case the verb stem appears to be v4: sloňs su and rku su (< *rkus su; in Pt 1096r). It seems that de Jong treated glo ba čhuň as a near-synonym of CT sems čhuň 'a timid mind' (J: 576b). The latter is attested in modern dialects in the meaning 'caution' (CDTD: 8812). de Jong's interpretation is supported by another passage from Pt 1096r: rku su yaň glo ba čhuň na (l. 20) vs brkusu yaň dog[s] śes (l. 22). Both clauses concern Yo-gaň G.yu-la-skyes, the head of the encampment. In the second passage glo ba čhuň has been replaced by dog[s] 'to fear'. On these grounds I propose translating glo ba čhuň as 'to be desponded, disheartened'. For glo ba 'breast' and its metaphorical meanings in OLT, see J. Bialek, 'Stretching the body, stretching the mind. The OT noun ring revisited', Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft 168, 2 (2018), p. 408, fn. 34.

²⁰Due to the unknown meaning and function of *thum čhu ma* the interpretation of the whole passage remains tentative. Ju-čhan and Lug-lun, presumably toponyms, are otherwise not attested.

 21 dbyans seems to have been a technical term frequently used in judicial texts in conjunction with bčad 'decided' or źal če 'sentence'. On the other hand, in Pt 1283 it co-occurs with the verb bslab/bslabs (v1 slob 'to learn; to teach') in two forms: dbyan and sbyan(s). On this rather meagre evidence I relate dbyans to CT sbyon 'to exercise, to practise; to study' and ybyon 'to be skilled'; all derived from $\sqrt{\text{bjan}}$.

²²DSM glosses dog na as 'son na' (Bcan-lha-nag-dban-chul-khrims, Brda dkrol gser gyi me lon (Beijing, 1997), p. 333, but the argument structure does not fit well with a verb of going. Therefore, I read *dogs for the attested dog; the final -s might have been elided before the following sibilant: $-s > O / _{-t} \hat{s}$. The reading *dogs is supported by the earlier phrase rku su yan glo ba thun (l. 20).

²³I.e. on the fifteenth day of the current month. The decision was apparently made on the fourteenth day (l. 9).

²⁴https://gallica.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/btv1b8306378p.r=pelliot%20tibetain%201096?rk=21459;2 (accessed 2 October 2020).

ink. The seals evince that Pt 1096r is an original document and therefore of greatest historical value. Its orthography uses neither reversed *gi gus* <3> nor double *chegs* < : > characteristic of many OT texts. The text was edited, most probably by the scribe himself, for in ll. 20 and 25 some syllables are added below the main line. The first eleven lines are written with approximately the double of the line spacing of the rest of the document. Likewise, the letters of the first part are considerably bigger than in the second part. The letters of the second part are less carefully written, which fact might have resulted from a faster writing. The change occurs in the middle of l. 11. We observe that the hand changes exactly where the statement of the head of the encampment (ll. 11–8) begins. It is therefore conceivable that the statement was written down simultaneously in the court. A thorough paleographical analysis could perhaps reveal more details on the issue.

Critical apparatus

- # deleted by JB
- g deleted by the scribe
- [s] reconstructed
- {o} amended
- rku text added by the scribe below the line
- (r1) § // ybrug gi lo yi ston sla ba čuńs gyi no la // qan bcan zigs chan gyi rta gčig // pho ña gźams (r2) khon khri ycal čiń / par kog gi chugsu mčis nas // slar zlogs payi rigs pa las // sluńs gyi dphon (r3) sna chugs phon la scogs pa // rta phrogste // chugsu bźag pa las // stor čhes mčiste // rta slar ma (r4) scal nas // sluńs gyi rta rji li qab sab ñań / bzuńste rmas pa las //

sluńs chugsu rta bdag čhag (r5) gis bźag pa yań mad // li źen ydo la gthad pa las // rta snar mo stor čhes mčiste /

(r6) qab sab ñañ / źan ydo yaṅ khug la // rmos te / rta ydi chugsu bźag pa las / stor pa ma lags (r7) re // bdag čhag gis sbyaṅs re brkus re śes bro dkar gis / thob śig / bro phod na skyin ba phob (r8) śig / bro ma phod na // khrims bźin gčhad par bgyis te // kho na qab sab ñaṅ la (r9) scogs pa // dkar drus /

lan ydiyi dgun sla ra ba ches brgyad la bgyis pa las / ches bču bźiyi (r10) bar du qab sab ñaṅ daṅ / khuṅs po źan ydo yaṅ ma mčhis nas // chugs phon / yo gaṅ (r11) g.yu la skyes grar bkugste rmas pa las //

pho ña gźams khoń khri / śa ču pa yi rta gčhig (r12) ycal te // par kog gi chugsu mčhis pa las // rta ydi pho ña dań / rta ŋi qab sab ñaṅ gñis gyis rta / pho (r13) skyon bar bgyis pa las // pho ñaṅ maṅ po ni ma mčhis // pho ña rkya ygay mčhis pa la // śa ču payi rta bya bsdam (r14) pa la ma thug śes // pho ña daṅ rta ŋi la bdag gis bgyis // rta ga la mčis pa / loṅ la sky{o}l (r15) slar skris śig par rta ŋi la yaṅ bdag gis bgyos pa las // phyi de naṅ par Jeyu hiṅ yir slar chugsu (r16) mčhis te / nayi rta ga re źes rmas pa las // qab sab ñaṅ gi mčhid nas / śa ču payi rta gñi ga sluṅs phon (r17) g. yul thog na mčhis pa las / Jeyu hiṅ yir gis gčig źon gčig khrid de bros śes / qab [sab] ñaṅ (r18) la thos // rta ni bdagis ma thoṅ źes mčhiy //

rta bdag hin ce mčhid śags rnam čhig la / bdag gi rta chugs (r19) khor na dgun gsum mčhis pa / sluns gyi rta gźan gčhig kyan ma mčhis la / rta ydi chugs phon gyis myi mtho, (r20) du yan myi run na / rku [s] su yan glo ba čhun na // khon ta gar mčhis źes rmar gsol źes mčhi //

de yi che sluns gyi bu gñer čhags // (r21) thum čhu ma phyi la čhagste // ju čhan yan čhad dan / lug lun man čad du gñer du mčhi źes mčhi nas //

dbyańs (r22) te bčhad pa // hiń che la scogs payi rta stor pa // chugs phon la scogs pa la / brkusu yań dog[s] śes (r23) gsol //

chugs phon rmas pa las / rta ydi chugsu mčhis pa las / bdag gis rta slar (r24) skri[s] śig par rta ŋi qab sab ñaṅ la bsgos / rnam čhig la rta gñi ga sluṅs phon gyi g.yul thog (r25) mčhis pa las // Jeyu [hiṅ yir] gis gčhig źon gčhig khrid de bro[s] ste soṅ źes // qab sab ñaṅ la thos / (r26) śes mčhiy / qab sab ñaṅ sṅar gñay dus btab pa las kyaṅ / dus su ma mčhis / źan ydo (r27) yaṅ ma mčhis // rta stor pa tha sñad ydogs śiṅ bsgyaṅ (read: bskyaṅ) du myi ruṅ gis // chugs phon yaṅ gñay (r28) scol la / qab sab ñaṅ daṅ / źaṅ ydo khug la // dgun sla ra ba ña la mčhid śags ychol čhig (r29) par bčade // g.yu la skyes gyi gñay la // qan hwa hwa daṅ / den bun yde daṅ / čaṅ stag bźer daṅ / yo (r30) gaṅ reyu skyes daṅ / gñi ba lha mthoṅ daṅ / śig śiṅ śiṅ la scogs payi gñay rgya daṅ / khoṅ tayi (r31) sug rgya daṅ // źaṅ lon źal čhe payi dpaṅ rgyas bthab pha // (eight red seals)

Discussion

The term *sluis* occurs seven times in the document, sometimes as a simple lexeme, sometimes forming part of a compound (e.g., *sluis chugs*, *sluis phon*). However, its explanation requires examination of at least one more technical term: *chugs*. To elucidate their meanings, I will first examine persons mentioned in the document who were closely related to the *sluis* and then look at the organisation and services of the latter.

Persons

The document mentions several persons related to the *sluins*. The exact nature of the offices they held is not completely clear, but we learn that the institution was hierarchically organised with a *sluins phon* 'head of the *sluins*' at its head. The following discussion particularises the functions of the persons involved in the events reported in Pt 1096r.

In Il. 2–3 'deputies (sna) of the head (dphon) of the sluns' are mentioned, one of whom is chugs phon, 'head of the chugs'. The phrase sluns gyi dphon can be identified with sluns phon recurring in Il. 16 & 24.²⁵ The compound dphon sna suggests that a sluns had a superior called dphon (specifically, *sluns dpon), who had at least a few deputies (sna), one of whom was called chugs phon (< *chugs dpon, lit. 'head of the chugs'). From this a hierarchy emerges: a sluns phon supervised a chugs phon. The sluns phon remains anonymous in Pt 1096r and, we may assume, was not conceived of as in any way involved in the case.²⁶

²⁵Compare hereto the CT term rta zam gyi spyi dpon 'Oberpostmeister' (I. J. Schmidt, Tibetisch-Deutsches Wörterbuch [St. Petersburg, 1841], p. 210a). The same function was apparently also referred to as rta zam mgo pa 'Stationsvorsteher' (O. Corff (ed.), Auf kaiserlichen Befehl erstelltes Wörterbuch des Manjurischen in fünf Sprachen: "Fünfsprachenspiegel": systematisch angeordneter Wortschatz auf Manjurisch, Tibetisch, Mongolisch, Turki und Chinesisch [Wiesbaden, 2013], vol. 1, p. 96, 0365.3), 'Postmeister' (ibid., p. 99, 0379.2). On rta zam, see below.

²⁶However, it is possible that the *sluis phon* would have been brought to justice in case the *chugs phon* would not have appeared in the court. In Uebach's words, "[t]he most important task of the chief of the post-station (*sluis phon*), apart from checking the insignia of emissaries, was to check whether the seals of the missives the emissaries carried were intact or had been tampered with. If the missive showed signs of having been opened, the emissary was sent back to the previous station for an investigation. There was a potential death penalty if the emissary was found guilty" (Uebach, 'Notes on the Postal System', p. 450f.) This information is based on a passage from Pt 1290 (ll. r10–2; for its discussion see A. Macdonald, Ariane, 'Une lecture des Pelliot Tibétain 1286, 1287, 1038, 1047 et 1290'. in

The head of the *chugs* in the *sluis* concerned was Yo-gan G.yu-la-skyes (ll. 10–1). The head of the *chugs* was subject to the head of the *sluis*. He was summoned to the court after the groom Qab-sab-ñan and the worker Li Źan-γdo had not arrived. Hence, we can infer that the head of the *chugs* was directly responsible for the groom; he was in the capacity of giving orders to the groom (ll. 23–4). He also had to take responsibility for groom's misdeeds. In his accusation the horse owner Hin-ce was asking whether looking after horses was not the duty of the head of the *chugs* (ll. 19–20).

Pt 1096r documents three distinct offices or positions that seem to have been directly involved in taking care of horses: rta ŋi, khuṅs po, and bu gñer. Because rta ŋi apparently had some kind of superiority over khuṅs po, I think it more proper to translate the former as 'groom' and the latter as 'worker' (see below). I understand groom as denoting a person responsible for the management of horses in all aspects, whereas worker would have been responsible for feeding, cleaning, etc. To judge from the etymology of bu gñer (< *bu gñer ba), the term denoted a minion helping in the slunis.

A *sluis* had a groom—*sluis gyi rta 17i*. In the *sluis* under discussion it was Li Qab-sab-ñaṅ (ll. 4 & 12).²⁷ The latter was responsible for horses kept in the *sluis*; he had to bind (*skri*) them and look that they did not run away (l. 24). Therefore, when the horses got lost he was the first suspect (l. 4). His immediate superior was the head of the *chugs* (*chugs phon*), to whose orders the groom had to obey (ll. 23–24).

Li Źen/Źan-ydo is once called *khuńs po* (l. 10)²⁸ but his role in the events is enigmatic. In l. 5 we read that the groom Qab-sab-ñań handed a light brown horse over (*gthad*) to Źan-ydo and the horse got lost. From then on Źan-ydo, together with Qab-sab-ñań, was accused of losing the horse. They were summoned to the court but did not appear (l. 10). Consequently, the head of the *chugs*, Yo-gań G.yu-la-skyes, was summoned and

Études Tibétaines dédiées à la mèmoire de Marcelle Lalou [Paris, 1971], pp. 317–326, and R. A. Stein, 'Tibetica Antiqua 2. L'usage de métaphores pour des distinctions honorifiques à l'époque des rois tibétains', Bulletin de l'École française d'Extrême-Orient 73 (1984), pp. 262–264), but the latter document does not put sluis phon in charge of messengers; it does not even mention sluis phon. Hence, Uebach's conclusions, even though possible, are premature regarding the textual sources at our disposal.

²⁷Dotson considered the syllable li in Li Qab-sab-ñañ and Li Źen-ɣdo (see below) to be a family name (Dotson, 'Introducing Early Tibetan Law', p. 285). The latter is a typical transcription of a Chinese name, and so here Li can be identified with the Chinese family name $\stackrel{\triangle}{=}$. The given name Źen-ɣdo is also attested in Pt 1104: 23 (cf. Takeuchi, *Old Tibetan Contracts*, p. 227). The name Qab-sab-ñañ is more problematic. The given name consists of three syllables. Neither Qab nor Sab-ñañ are found separately, but the name Sam-ñañ recurs in documents analysed by Takeuchi and was reconstructed by the latter as a Chinese given name (ibid., p. 192). Sam-ñañ could have resulted from the assimilation of the original -b to the following nasal: $-b > -m / _n$. However, in all cases Sam-ñañ follows a Chinese family name, but in Pt 1096r it comes after the syllables Li Qab. The problem remains unsolved.

28Dotson explained the phrase *thags payi khuns po* as 'borrower' (Dotson, 'Divination and law in the Tibetan Empire: the role of dice in the legislation of loans, interest, marital law and troop conscription', in *Contributions to the cultural history of early Tibet*, (eds.) M. T. Kapstein and B. Dotson [Leiden, 2007], p. 69) but this meaning does not seem to fit the context of Pt 1096r. It is questionable whether *khuns po* was related to *khuns* 'origin', for the latter was an abstract term and the former apparently denoted an official. I think one should rather turn in this context to the modern compound *las khuns* 'office, department, bureau' (M. Goldstein, *The new Tibetan-English dictionary of modern Tibetan* [New Delhi, 2004], p. 1070a; cf. also R. Bielmeier et al., *Comparative Dictionary of Tibetan Dialects* [2013 draft], 8296). The *Pentaglot Dictionary* lists the following equivalents of *khuns* '(Man.) falgari, (Mon.) balɣad, (Tu.) faš āyvān, (Ch.) shǔ 署', translating it as 'Dienststelle' (Corff, *Auf kaiserlichen Befehl*, vol. 2, p. 596a, 2758.2). Accordingly, etymologically the most plausible explanation of *khuns po* would be 'a male person (*-po*) affiliated to an office (*khunis*)'. Since the office in question was a *sluns* and the *khuns po*'s duties included taking care of horses, I propose translating the term simply as 'worker', understood as denoting an employee who does manual or non-executive work.

obligated to bring the groom and Źan-ydo to the court. It follows that Źan-ydo was likewise employed at the *sluńs* and subject to Yo-gań G.yu-la-skyes. Moreover, because he received the horse from the groom Qab-sab-ñań, he must have also been subject to the latter. If *khuńs po* denoted an official, he was ranked below *rta nji*.

A third person, apparently helping with horses, was *sluis gyi bu gñer* (l. 20) 'minion of the *sluis*'. Nothing is known of this official apart from his relation to the *sluis* and the fact that he occurred to help (l. 21). It is also not clear why is he mentioned in the case; the passage (ll. 20–21) seems out of context.

These were the officials working in the *sluns*. Apart from them the document mentions other persons as well. On several occasions an owner of a horse is spoken of:

```
qan bcan zigs chan gyi rta gčig (l. 1) 'one horse of Qan-bcan-zigs-chan' śa ču pa yi rta gčhig (ll. 11 and 13) 'one horse of the man from Śa-ču' śa ču payi rta gñi ga (l. 16) 'both horses of the men/man from Śa-ču' rta bdag hin ce (l. 18) 'horse owner Hin-ce' hin che la scogs payi rta (l. 22) 'the horses of Hin-che, among others'
```

We have two proper names: Qan-bcan-zigs-chan and Hiń-ce. In addition, from l. 16 we infer that one horse was claimed by a certain Jeɣu-hiń-yir. In the next line the same person is said to have fled away with two horses. The circumstances are not completely clear, but it seems that Qan-bcan-zigs-chan and Hiń-ce kept their horses in the *sluiis* and Jeɣu-hiń-yir used the opportunity to steal the horses. Once the text speaks of 'one horse of the man from Śa-ču', once of 'both horses of the men/man from Śa-cu'. The most plausible explanation is that both Qan-bcan-zigs-chan and Hiń-ce were from Śa-ču and each kept one horse in the *sluiis*. However, contrary to Hiń-ce, Qan-bcan-zigs-chan does not seem to have been involved in the case.

Jeɣu-hiń-yir seems to be the thief; he came to the *drugs* claiming that his horses were there (l. 16) but he fled riding on one horse and leading the second one along (l. 17).

Pt 1096r mentions yet another person: messenger ($pho \tilde{n}a$) Gźams-khoń-khri (ll. 1–2 and 11), who came to the *chugs*, asking for the horse of Qan-bcan-zigs-chan. He was apparently sent by Qan-bcan-zigs-chan to bring the latter's horse back. In this context we may remark that persons who attended the *sluis* and changed their horses there were referred to as $pho \tilde{n}a$ (see l. 13).²⁹

The document ends with the (poorly preserved) seals of eight persons involved in the case whose names and positions are given as:

Guarantors: Qan-hwa-hwa

Den-bun-yde Čan-stag-bźer

Yo-gaṅ Reγu-skyes³⁰

²⁹Pt 1096r only mentions messengers in connection with the *sluins*. This however does not mean that nobody else was entitled to use the services of the *sluins*, as asserted by Uebach (Uebach, 'Notes on the Postal System', p. 452). Merchants or Buddhist pilgrims are two other groups that must have visited *sluins* on their long journeys. We know from later sources that many of the stage stations were located close to market places as shown on the maps of the Wise collection (Lange, *An Atlas of the Himalayas*, p. 273) and, for example, Skra-bdun (Tradün) stage station was even located within Skra-bdun monastery (*ibid.*, pp. 281–283).

³⁰Possibly a relative of Yo-gan G.yu-la-skyes. In this case, Yo-gan would have been a family name (but compare fn. 12 above).

Gñi-ba Lha-mthon

Śig-śiń-śiń

Defendant: Yo-gan G.yu-la-skyes (chugs phon) Witness: an anonymous aristocrat-judge

Internal organisation of the sluns

The internal organisation of the institution as depicted in Pt 1096r can be partly reconstructed on the basis of the offices that formed it. In the preceding section I discussed the following officials:

```
sluns phon 'head of the sluns'
sluns gyi dphon sna 'deputies of the head of the sluns'
chugs phon 'head of the chugs'
rta ŋi 'groom'
khuns po 'worker'
bu gñer 'minion'
pho ña 'messenger'
```

The institution itself consisted of several distinct compartments. Its most general name was sluis. It was managed by the head of the sluis. Within the sluis there was a sluis chugs (l. 4), lit. 'chugs of sluis', also simply referred to as chugs, in which horses of messengers were put (bźag). The chugs concerned in Pt 1096r is called 'chugs of Par-kog' (l. 2). This suggests that a sluis could have several chugs and each of them bore its own name. A chugs was overlooked by the head of the chugs. A chugs had a chugs khor (< *chugs ykhor), lit. 'chugs-pen', where horses stayed overnight (ll. 18–19). Grooms and workers took care of horses that were staying in the chugs. The field of responsibility of minions is difficult to establish. The head of the sluis had his private courtyard (g.yul thog) in the sluis (ll. 16 and 24), which was used to separate horses of special guests from plain horses.

The picture of the *sluis* that emerges from Pt 1096r reveals its complex and hierarchical organisation. Regarding the meanings of particular terms that recur in the text, the above analysis allows for the following interpretations:

- *sluis* 'stage station', managed by a *sluis phon* 'head of the stage station'; it included one or more 'encampments' (*chugs*);
- chugs 'encampment, camp site, base' denoted a site within or in the direct proximity of a stage station where horses were held; it was managed by a chugs phon 'head of the encampment'. chugs most probably also encompassed accommodation sites for humans, like a special building (*chugs khañ) or tents;³¹

³¹The word *dugs* was derived by conversion from v4 of the verb *yjug* (for analogous derivatives in OLT, see J. Bialek, 'Old Tibetan verb morphology and semantics: An attempt at a reconstruction', *Himalayan Linguistics* 19, 1 (2020), pp. 302f.). Its etymological meaning can be reconstructed as *'sth. that is settled, established'. In the meaning 'encampment, camp site' *dugs* entered into CT lexicon in compounds like *chugs khai*n or *dugs sa* 'caravansary, or merely a level, open place near a village, where traveller's (sic) may encamp, or where public business is transacted' (J: 449a). *chugs sa* is also attested in modern Balti with the meaning 'place where one can stay, especially for the raja and his

• *chugs khor* 'pen' (lit. 'encampment-pen') denoted an enclosure in which horses were kept overnight.

Conclusions

Information on the internal organisation of a *sluis* provided by Pt 1096r is scanty. Nevertheless, in this respect, the document discussed in this article is our best source for the period of the Tibetan Empire. Even though travel literature, native as well as foreign, is exceptionally abundant for the post-imperial period, thus far no detailed descriptions of the internal organisation of stage stations have surfaced.³² We find occasional pieces of information strewn

residence' (CDTD: 6805). Moreover, Schmidt glossed tta zam gyi chugs pa as 'ein Posthaus, Posteinrichtung' (Sch: 210a), whereas Das called stage stations on the way from Lhasa to Beijing gya-tsug (S. C. Das, Journey to Lhasa and Central Tibet [London, 1902], p. 186), doubtlessly for LT *rgya chugs. Takeuchi, following Thomas (TLTD.2: 172), understood chugs as denoting a group of watchmen that consisted of four men (T. Takeuchi, 'The Tibetan military system and its activities from Khotan to Lob-nor', in The Silk Road. Trade, Travel, War and Faith, (ed.) Susan Whitfield [Chicago, 2004], p. 51b). I think that this interpretation is based on a misunderstanding. Neither Thomas nor Takeuchi have quoted any passage that would unanimously show chugs as referring to a group of humans. Thomas also presented an alternative interpretation: 'camping arrangements' (ibid). I think that in military contexts dugs denoted a base or a camp site too, whereas members of a group stationed there were called chugs pa (Or.15000/112: r2). Uebach followed Takeuchi in interpreting chugs as 'a small military unit of four watchmen' (Uebach, 'Notes on the Postal System', p. 451) and was therefore compelled to conclude that the sluis of Pt 1096r had a military watch. That this interpretation is flawed is most clearly seen in ll. 1-2 where a messenger comes to a dugs looking for a horse or in ll. 4 and 6 where a horse is put in a dugs. Apart from that, Uebach does not seem to be aware of the semantic shift she had to make in order to reconcile the textual data with Takeuchi's interpretation; a group of people, 'watchmen', is taken in her analysis to be identical with the location at which this group served, 'watch'. Uebach's discussion of watches within stage stations is based on this erroneous reading of Pt 1096r. The passage from Dbay bzed likewise quoted by Uebach, gsas snan [...] sluns chugs pho bran du mčhiste (6r4–5, apud P. Wangdu and H. Diemberger, dBa' bzhed: the royal narrative concerning the bringing of the Buddha's doctrine to Tibet [Wien, 2000]), should be read '[Dbay] Gsas-snan went to the residence [in] an encampment of a stage station.' As is known from later sources (see below), stage stations provided accommodation to travellers and messengers in either houses or tents. The more important and spacious a postal station, the more 'luxurious' its lodgings could have been. For instance, Sa-dgay (Saga) fort (LT 1901) housed a stage station and is depicted as consisting of several buildings (Lange, An Atlas of the Himalayas, p. 278, no. 286 on Fig. 10.33, Add.Or.3015 f1) whereas the station in Shigatse is characterised as 'a large building in the city' (T. G. Montgomerie and Pundit, 'Report of a Route-Survey Made by Pundit, from Nepal to Lhasa, and Thence Through the Upper Valley of the Brahmaputra to Its Source', The Journal of the Royal Geographical Society of London 38 (1868), p. 208). On Skra-bdun (Tradün) Kawaguchi even wrote: "It is in fact not a temple but a town (Tazam), one of the most populous and wealthy in northern Tibet (E. Kawaguchi, Three Years in Tibet [Madras, 1909], p. 217). The stage station mentioned in Dbay bžed might have been located on the border, for earlier the text states that Dbay Gsas-snan was a so blon 'councillor of the frontier' in Man-yul (for Mar-yul? 5v2, apud Wangdu and Diemberger, dBa' bzhed).

²Following the restitution of the relay system by the Mongols in the thirteenth century (L. Petech, 'Tibetan relations with Sung China and with the Mongols', in China among Equals, (ed.) M. Rossabi [Berkeley, 1983], p. 186f.; Maurer, 'The Tibetan Governmental Transport', pp. 15f.), the term most commonly used for stage station was rta zam 'Poststation' (Sch: 210a), sometimes spelled tarjum in English literature (Montgomerie and Pundit, 'Report of a Route-Survey', p. 147) and tazum on a map from the Wise Collection (e.g., nos. 294 & 299 in Add.Or.3015 ft; see also Lange, An Atlas of the Himalayas, p. 274). The compound rta zam was coined partly as a borrowing, partly as a loan-translation, mirroring Mon. morin jam 'Pferdepost' (P. Olbricht, Das Postwesen in China unter der Mongolenherrschaft im 13. und 14. Jahrhundert [Wiesbaden, 1954], p. 45, fn. 101; for this etymology, see also B. Laufer, 'Loan-words in Tibetan', T'oung Pao 17, 4/5 (1916), p. 494, No. 176). Mon. morin = rta, whereas the second syllable, jam ('road, route, way or pass', F. D. Lessing, Mongolian-English Dictionary [Berkeley, 1960], p. 1033; concerning its etymology, Kotwicz wrote: "Aussi dans la phase initiale 3am ~ yam pouvait désigner les distances entre les points fixes où l'on relayait les chevaux, plus tard, ces points même, et, finalement, la ligne de communication tout entière, y compris les points de relais et les intervalles intermédiaires", W. Kotwicz, 'Contribution aux études altaïques', Rocznik Orientalistyczny 16 (1950), p. 336), was independently borrowed into Tibetan as yjam from which yjam mo (also spelled yjay mo, Laufer, 'Loan-words', p. 494) 'Poststation' (Sch. 175b) was derived. Laufer remarked that "under the Mongols, Tibet was divided into twenty-seven jam ('departments'), a chief officer (jam dpon) being appointed in each" (ibid.; Petech likewise mentioned 27 (Petech, 'Tibetan relations', p. 187), but Maurer spoke of 28 stage stations, Maurer, 'The Tibetan Governmental Transport', p. 16). I assume that the form rta zam resulted from folk etymologisation in which the original -yjam was replaced by a better-connoted throughout the literature, as, for instance, the following remarks in the journey report of Montgomerie:

These Tarjums are from 20 to 70 miles apart; at each, shelter is to be had, and efficient arrangements are organised for forwarding officials and messengers. The Tarjums generally consist of a house, or houses, made with sun-dried bricks. The larger Tarjums are capable of holding 150 to 200 men at a time, but some of the smaller can only hold a dozen people; in the latter case, further accommodation is provided by tents. [...] Each Tarjum is in [the] charge of an official, called Tarjumpá, who is obliged to have horses, yaks, and coolies in attendance whenever notice is received of the approach of a Lhasa official. From ten to fifteen horses, and as many men, are always in attendance night and day. Horses and beasts of burden (yaks in the higher ground, donkeys in the lower) [...] are supplied by the nomadic tribes, whose camps are pitched near the halting houses.³³

Montgomerie's observations thus concur with the information retrieved from Pt 1096r. A stage station (*sluis*) was a complex institution consisting of several compartments that were called encampments (*chugs*). Each of these provided accommodation for a distinct group of travellers or messengers (either in houses or in tents). ³⁴ In addition, each encampment possessed its own pen (*chugs khor*) where horses (or other pack-animals) were kept separately, most probably so that they did not get mixed up and could be returned to their owners after the tax service has been fulfilled. It is conceivable that the messenger Gźams-khoń-khri, who came to the encampment looking for the horse of Qan-bcan-zigs-chan (Pt 1096r: 1–2), was to bring back the horse to its owner. ³⁵ We can speculate that each *chugs* was dependent on tax services of one particular community of tax-payers, either a group of households, a village, or a nomadic camp: *rta zams* of later times were supplied with horses and cattle by the nearby living nomads as part of their tax obligations. ³⁶ Montgomerie reports that, depending on the topography of the area, either yaks or donkeys were kept. This agrees with the information from the *Old Tibetan Annals* that one distinguished between stage stations located in the upper and in the lower parts of the country: *mňan*

zam 'bridge': *rta yjam > *rta jam > rta zam. Das noted two pronunciations: tazam and tajam (S. C. Das, A Tibetan-English dictionary with Sanskrit synonyms [Delhi, 1902], p. 532b); the latter still reflecting the original *rta yjam and suggesting that the folk etymology rta zam was a local development and had not spread over the whole Tibetan speaking area (n.b., Das' etymology reading rta zam as 'horse bridge' (ibid. and S. C. Journey, p. 185) is obviously mistaken, as already noticed by Laufer, 'Loan-words', p. 494). It is feasible that the change nta yjam > nta zam first occurred around stage stations located in a vicinity of a bridge or a river ferry. Three such stage stations can be identified on the basis of the maps from the Wise collections: Chu-sul (Chushul; no. 129) near Lčags-zam (Chakzam) ferry station (no. 132; Add.Or.3016 f3 and Lange, An Atlas of the Himalayas, pp. 251-252, Fig. 10.2 on p. 249); Pa-rnam-rjon (Panam Dzong; no. 195) near Pa-rnam bridge (no. 197; Add.Or.3016 f2 and Lange, An Atlas of the Himalayas, pp. 262–265, Fig. 10.11 on p. 261); and Lha-rce-rjon (Lhatse Dzong; no. 246) near Lha-rce ferry station (no. 248; Add.Or.3016 f1 and Lange, An Atlas of the Himalayas, p. 265, Fig. 10.17 on p. 269). The vowel -u- in tarjum and tazum is an English transcription of the Tibetan short vowel -a- in a closed syllable (cf. Eng. sum [sam]). Likewise, the word internal -rj- doubtlessly mirrors the English pronunciation of the compound *rta yjam. Apart from the simple compound rta zam, one also encounters formations like rta zam yjay mo 'Relaispost, Poststation' (Corff, Auf kaiserlichen Befehl, vol. 1, p. 214, 0880.3). The latter was most probably coined to disambiguate the meaning of rta zam after the origin of zam (< yjam) had already fallen into oblivion.

³³Montgomerie and Pundit, 'Report of a Route-Survey', pp. 147f.

³⁴The list of *rta zams* provided in *ibid.*, pp. 207f. also contains a short description of each place, indicating what kind of accommodation was available there.

 $^{^{35}}$ This again suggests that the stage station of which the encampment Par-kog formed part was located not far away from Śa-cu.

³⁶Maurer, 'The Tibetan Governmental Transport', pp. 15f.

dań / sluńs stod smad gyī thań khram čhen po btab / (ITJ 750: 221–2) '[The council] issued great tallies of jurisdiction for mians and the upper and lower stage stations'.³⁷

We find common traces in the organisation of the imperial *sluis* system and the post-imperial *rta zam* system re-established by the Mongols in the thirteenth century. Our knowledge remains very limited, but it is conceivable that the Mongols did not create the system, but rather reformed the existing one that must have survived the disintegration of the Empire, if not for the sake of information circulating then at least to support regional trade.

JOANNA BIALEK

Humboldt University
bialekjo@hu-berlin.de

Abbreviations

 $\sqrt{}$ reconstructed verb root

Ch. Chinese

CT Classical Tibetan

CDTD R. Bielmeier et al., Comparative Dictionary (2013 draft)

D S. C. Das, A Tibetan-English dictionary

Eng. English

IMP imperative

ITJ IOL Tib J

J H. A. Jäschke, A Tibetan-English Dictionary

LT literary Tibetan

Man. Manchu Mon. Mongolian

Or. Oriental Collections of the British Library

OLT Old Literary Tibetan

OT Old Tibetan

OTDO Old Tibetan Documents Online

Pt Pelliot tibétain

Sch I. J. Schmidt, Tibetisch-Deutsches Wörterbuch

TLTD F. W. Thomas, Tibetan literary texts

Tu. Turkic
v1, v2, v3, v4 verb stems
V verb

³⁷Uebach's translation 'western and eastern' (Uebach, 'Notes on the Postal System', p. 450) for stod smad is untenable in this context; cf. also B. Dotson, *The Old Tibetan Annals. An Annotated Translation of Tibet's First History. With an Annotated Cartographical Documentation by Guntram Hazod* (Wien, 2009), p. 112.

Appendix: Glossary to Pt 1096r

dkar sincerity bkug (v2), khug (v4) to summon rkus see brkus horseman rkya skyol (v4) to bring skyin ba replacement skyon (v1) to mount skris (v4) to bind brkus (v2) rkus (v4) to steal to defend bskyań (v3) khug see bkug khuns po worker kho na the very

khoń ta he, person concerned

 khrid (v1)
 to lead

 khrims
 law

 ga
 who

 ga re
 where is?

 gar
 where?

 gra
 court

glo ba čhuń to be despondent

dgun night dgun winter

bgyis (v2) to prepare; to say; AUX

ygay some brgyad eighth bsgos (v2) to order ña I

no first half of a month

siar previously
Čań-stag-bźer guarantor
čuńs last
gčig one
bčad (v2) gčhad (v3) to examine
bču bźi fourteenth
mči see ¹ mčhi

mči see ¹mčhi
mčis see ¹mčhi
mčis see ²mčhis
čhags (v2) to appear
čhu ma ?
gčhad see bčad

gčhig see gčig bčhad see bčad ¹mčhi (v1) mčhis (v2) to come ²mčhi (v2) to say mčhid speech mčhid śags pleadings mčhiy see ²mčhi ¹ mčhis see ¹mčhi/²mčhi

²mčhis (v2) to be there; to belong to

 Ju-čaň
 place name (?)

 ña
 the full moon day

 gñaɣ
 guarantor

 gñaɣ rgya
 guarantor seal

gñi ga both Gñi-ba Lha-mthon guarantor gñis both gñer (v1) to help btab (v2) thob (v4) to throw; rgyas btab to seal; dus btab to set a time; bro thob to swear rta bdag horse owner rta pho stallion rta 13i groom ston autumn stor (v2) to get lost tha sñad dispute thug (v1) to come across thum to let free thon (v4) thob see btab thos (v2) to listen gthad (v2) to hand over bthab see btab mthoń to see dus time de that Den-bun-yde guarantor dogs to fear drus (v2) to examine Ι bdag bdag čhag we ydi this to fix ydogs (v1) bsdam (v3) to bind nan par the day after the next day rnam čhig la once light brown snar mo Par-kog name of an encampment dpañ rgya witness seal pho ña messenger phod to be able phob (v4) to put; skyin ba phob to give a replacement phrogs (v2) to take away phyi later, afterwards dphon sna deputy of the head bar du up to bu gñer minion bya bro oath bro dkar sincere oath bros (v2) to flee away dbyańs (v2) to clarify ybrug dragon sbyańs (v2) to take away man po many mad to be true man čad to rma (1) rmas (v2) to inquire; to ask rmas see rma

to speak

rmos (v2)

ycal (v1) ychol (v4) to ask; mčhid śags ychol to plead

scal (v2) scol (v4) to give among others scogs scol see scal chugs encampment chugs khor pen

che

chugs phon head of the encampment

time

ches day Jeyu-hin-yir thief see Li Źen-ydo Źań-ydo źaṅ lon aristocrat źal čhe pa judge źon (v1) to ride gźan other Gźams-khoń-khri messenger bźag to place, to put in bźin according to zlogs to send (?) bzuń (v2) to seize yan čhad

Yo-gań G.yu-la-skyes head of the encampment

from

Yo-gan Reyu-skyes guarantor

G.yu-la-skyes see Yo-gan G.yu-la-skyes

g.yul thog courtyard ra ba first

rigs to be necessary to be suitable/feasible ruń

lags to be lan year

Lug-lun

Li Źen-ydo worker of the stage station Li Qab-sab-ñañ groom of the stage station

place name (?)

year lon (v4) to catch man from Śa-ču śa ču pa Śig-śiṅ-śiṅ guarantor personal seal sug rgya son (v2) to go sla (ba) month slar back; again sluńs stage station

sluns phon head of the stage station sluns chugs encampment of the stage station

gsum three gsol (v1) to request; to say Hiṅ-c(h)e horse owner Qan-bcan-zigs-chan horse owner Qan-hwa-hwa guarantor Qab-sab-ñaṅ see Li Qab-sab-ñañ