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#### Abstract

Existence of solutions to the nonlinear boundary value problem on the semi-infinite interval $\frac{1}{p}\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=q f\left(t, y, p y^{\prime}\right), 0<t<\infty, y(0)=0, y(t)$ bounded on $[0, \infty)$, are established. In the process we obtain new existence results for boundary value problems on compact intervals.


1. Introduction. This paper examines the existence of solutions to singular and nonsingular second order nonlinear differential equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{p(t)}\left(p(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}=q(t) f\left(t, y(t), p(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right), \quad 0<t<\infty  \tag{1.1}\\
y(0)=0, y(t) \text { bounded on }[0, \infty) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Throughout $f:[0, \infty) \times(-\infty, \infty) \times(-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow(-\infty, \infty)$ and $p, \frac{1}{q}:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ are assumed to be continuous.

Boundary value problems on the semi-infinite interval have been examined extensively over the last ten years or so with most of the results obtained for the nonsingular problem ( $p=q=1$ ); see [1, 2, 6, 7] and their references. However recently [4, 11, 12] some results for nonsingular problems have been obtained. These papers were motivated by the Thomas-Fermi equation

$$
y^{\prime \prime}=t^{-\frac{1}{2}} y^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad 0<t<\infty
$$

subject to the boundary condition corresponding to the isolated neutral atom

$$
y(0)=1, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)=0 .
$$

The technique, in establishing existence of solution to (1.1), in this paper involves obtaining results on the finite interval $[0, n], n \in N^{+}=\{1,2, \ldots\}$ and then extending these results (using the Arzela-Ascoli theorem) to the semi-infinite interval. This technique was initiated in the papers [7, 12, 14].

The discussion will be in three parts. Firstly we examine the following boundary value problem on the finite interval

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{p}\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=q f\left(t, y, p y^{\prime}\right), \quad 0<t<n  \tag{1.2}\\
y(0)=y(n)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

for each $n \in N^{+}$. Various existence results for problems of the form (1.2) will be obtained by exploiting the properties of the zero set of $f$. Partial results of this type may be found in [ $3,5,8,9,13]$; however the results of this section are new and complement the existing theory. The following existence principle will be needed in Section 2; see [3, 10] for details.

Theorem 1.1. Let $n \in N^{+}$be fixed. Assume

$$
\begin{gather*}
f:[0, \infty) \times \mathbf{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \text { is continuous, } q \in C(0, \infty) \text { with } q>0 \text { on }(0, \infty)  \tag{1.3}\\
p \in C[0, \infty) \cap C^{1}(0, \infty) \text { together with } p>0 \text { on }(0, \infty) \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\int_{0}^{b} \frac{d s}{p(s)}<\infty ; \text { assume } \int_{0}^{b} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{u}^{b} p(s) q(s) d s d u<\infty \text { iff }(t, u, v) \equiv f(t, u) \text { and }  \tag{1.5}\\
\int_{0}^{b} p(s) q(s) d s<\infty \text { otherwise, for any } b>0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now suppose there is a constant $M_{0}>0$, independent of $\lambda$, with

$$
\max \left\{\sup _{[0, n]}|y(t)|, \sup _{(0, n]}\left|p(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right|\right\} \leq M_{0}
$$

for any solution y to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{p}\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=\lambda q f\left(t, y, p y^{\prime}\right), \quad 0<t<n  \tag{1.6}\\
y(0)=y(n)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

for each $\lambda \in(0,1)$. Then (1.2) has at least one solution $y \in C[0, n] \cap C^{2}(0, n]$ with $p y^{\prime} \in C[0, n]$.

Section 3 will now use the results of Section 2 to establish existence of solutions to (1.1). Again here the results are new and extend and complement the existing theory found in $[4,11,12]$. Finally it is easy to see that the linear problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{\prime \prime}=y+M, \quad 0<t<\infty \\
y(0)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $M>0$ a constant, has exactly one bounded solution $y(t)=M e^{-t}-M$. In this case $\lim _{t-\infty} y(t)=-M$. Now in Section 4, results in Section 3 are used to deduce possible values of $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)$ if such a limit exists.

For notational purpose let $\mathrm{BC}^{2}[0, \infty)$ denote the space of functions $u$ with $u$, $p u^{\prime}$ bounded and continuous on $[0, \infty)$ and $\left(p u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ continuous on $(0, \infty)$.
2. Finite interval problems. This section obtains existence results for problems of the form (1.2). However our eventual goal to discuss (1.1) and the technique involves obtaining the $M_{0}$, in Theorem 1.1, independent of $\lambda$ and $n$; so in hindsight we will obtain $M_{0}$ independent of $\lambda$ and $n$. If we were just interested in the finite interval problem we need only obtain $M_{0}$ independent of $\lambda$ and so it will be obvious from our analysis that some of the assumptions given below can be relaxed. We just remark on this and will not discuss it further.

We begin with a generalization of Theorem 2.1 of [7].

THEOREM 2.1. Suppose (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5) are satisfied. In addition assume either
(2.1) there is a constant $M>0$ with $u f(t, u, 0)>0$ for $|u|>M$ and $t \in[0, \infty)$
or
(2.2) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { there are constants } M>0, \sigma>0 \text { with } u f(t, u, z)>0 \text { for }|u|>M, \\ t \in[0, \infty), z \in(-\sigma, \sigma) \text { and } u \neq c_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m . \text { Here } f\left(t, c_{i}, 0\right)=0, \\ t \in[0 \in \infty) \text { and } i=1, \ldots, m\end{array}\right.$
holds. Also suppose

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { there are continuous functions } \psi, \phi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty) \text { with }|f(t, u, z)| \leq  \tag{2.3}\\
\phi(t) \psi(|z|) \text { for } u \in[-M, M]
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{2} q \phi \text { is bounded on }[0, \infty) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $H(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{u}{\psi(u)} d u, z>0$ which is strictly increasing and suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 M \sup _{[0, \infty)} p^{2} q \phi \in \operatorname{dom}\left(H^{-1}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (1.2) has a solution $y \in C[0, n] \cap C^{2}(0, n]$ with $p y^{\prime} \in C[0, n]$. Moreover we have

$$
\sup _{[0, n]}|y(t)| \leq M, \quad \sup _{(0, n]}\left|p(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq H^{-1}\left(2 M \sup _{[0, \infty)} p^{2} q \phi\right) \equiv M_{1}
$$

and $\left|\left(p(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right| \leq M_{2} p(t) q(t) \phi(t), t \in(0, n)$ where $M_{2}=\sup _{\left[0, M_{1}\right]} \psi(v)$.
Remark. Let $K_{1}=M$ in (2.1) and $K_{2}=M$ in (2.2). Then (2.2) implies (2.1) with $K_{1}=\max \left\{K_{2},\left|c_{i}\right|\right\}$. However for the semi-infinite problem (2.1), with $K_{1}=$ $\max \left\{K_{2},\left|c_{i}\right|\right\}$, may be too restrictive in some situations; see example (i) in Section 3.

Proof. Let $y$ be a solution to (1.6) $\lambda_{\lambda}$. We first show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{[0, n]}|y(t)| \leq M . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

To begin with suppose (2.1) is satisfied. Suppose $|y(t)|$ achieves a maximum at $t_{0} \in(0, n)$. Then $y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$ and $y\left(t_{0}\right) y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \leq 0$. Assume $\left|y\left(t_{0}\right)\right|>M$. Then

$$
y\left(t_{0}\right)\left(p\left(t_{0}\right) y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)^{\prime}=\lambda y\left(t_{0}\right) p\left(t_{0}\right) q\left(t_{0}\right) f\left(t_{0}, y\left(t_{0}\right), 0\right)>0
$$

i.e. $y\left(t_{0}\right) p\left(t_{0}\right) y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)>0$, a contradiction. Consequently $\left|y\left(t_{0}\right)\right| \leq M$ and (2.6) is proven in this case.

Now suppose (2.2) is satisfied. Suppose $|y(t)|$ achieves a maximum at $t_{0} \in(0, n)$, so $y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$ and $y\left(t_{0}\right) y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \leq 0$. Assume $\left|y\left(t_{0}\right)\right|>M$. If $y\left(t_{0}\right) \neq c_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m$, we have a contradiction as before, so $\left|y\left(t_{0}\right)\right| \leq M$. On the other hand suppose $y\left(t_{0}\right)=c_{i}$ for some $i=1, \ldots, m$, say $c_{1}$. There exists by (2.2), $t_{1}, t_{2} \in(0, n)$ with $y(t)=c_{1}$ for $t \in\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$,
$t_{1} \leq t_{0} \leq t_{2}$, and $y(t) \neq c_{1}$ for $t>t_{2}$ and close to $t_{2}$ and $t<t_{1}$ and close to $t_{1}$. Then (2.2) implies that there exists intervals $\left(t_{2}, \delta\right)$ and $\left(\tau, t_{1}\right)$ with

$$
y(t) f\left(t, y(t), p(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)>0
$$

for $t \in\left(t_{2}, \delta\right)$ and $t \in\left(\tau, t_{1}\right)$. Consequently $y(t)\left(p(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}>0$ for $t \in\left(t_{2}, \delta\right)$ and $t \in$ ( $\tau, t_{1}$ ). Suppose $y\left(t_{0}\right)>0$. Then $\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}>0$ for $t>t_{2}$ and close to $t_{2}$ and $t<t_{1}$ and close to $t_{1}$. This together with $y^{\prime}(t)=0, t_{1} \leq t_{0} \leq t_{2}$ implies $y^{\prime}<0$ for $t<t_{1}$ and close to $t_{1}$ and $y^{\prime}>0$ for $t>t_{2}$ and close to $t_{2}$, which contradicts the maximality of $y\left(t_{0}\right)=\left|y\left(t_{0}\right)\right|$. A similar contradiction occurs if $y\left(t_{0}\right)<0$. So (2.6) is also true in this case.

Now the boundary conditions imply that $y^{\prime}$ has at least one zero in $(0, n)$. Consequently, if for some $t \in[0, n]$ with $p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \neq 0$, then there is an interval $[\mu, \nu]$ containing $t$ on which $p y^{\prime}$ maintains a constant sign and $p y^{\prime}$ vanishes at one of the endpoints. To be definite assume $p y^{\prime}>0$ on $(\mu, \nu)$ and $p(\mu) y^{\prime}(\mu)=0$. Then on $(\mu, \nu),\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \leq p q \phi \psi\left(p y^{\prime}\right)$ so

$$
\frac{p y^{\prime}\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}}{\psi\left(p y^{\prime}\right)} \leq p^{2} q \phi y^{\prime}
$$

and integration from $\mu$ to $t$ yields

$$
H\left(p(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right) \leq[y(t)-y(\mu)] \sup _{[0, \infty)} p^{2} q \phi .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq H^{-1}\left(2 M \sup _{[0, \infty)} p^{2} q \phi\right) \equiv M_{1} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The same bound $M_{1}$ is obtained if $p y^{\prime}<0$ on $(\mu, \nu)$ and/or $p y^{\prime}$ vanishes at $\nu$.
Now Theorem 1.1 implies that (1.2) has a solution $y$. In addition the properties of $y$ given in the statement of the theorem follow from (2.6), (2.7), (2.3) together with the differential equation.

Next two results are presented which rely on the "zero set" of the nonlinearity $f$. The first establishes the existence of a nonpositive solution. We remark that an analogue result could be obtained for nonnegative solutions.

Theorem 2.2. Suppose (1.3), (1.4), (1.5) and (2.3) with $u \in[-M, 0]$ are satisfied. In addition assume
(2.8) there is a constant $M>0$ with $f(t, u, 0)<0$ for $u<-M$ and $t \in[0, \infty)$
or
(2.9) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { there are constants } M>0, \sigma>0 \text { with } f(t, u, z)<0 \text { for } u<-M, \\ t \in[0, \infty), z \in(-\sigma, \sigma) \text { and } u \neq c_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m . \text { Here } f\left(t, c_{i}, 0\right)=0, \\ t \in[0, \infty) \text { and } i=1, \ldots, m\end{array}\right.$
hold.
(i) Suppose there exists $s_{1}, r_{1}$ with $s_{1}<0<r_{1}$ and
(2.10) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}f\left(t, u, r_{1}\right) \leq 0, t \in[0, \infty) \text { and }-M \leq u \leq 0 \text { and } f\left(t, u, s_{1}\right) \leq 0, t \in[0, \infty) \\ \text { and }-M \leq u \leq 0\end{array}\right.$
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, 0,0) \geq 0, \quad t \in(0, \infty) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold. Then (1.2) has a solution $y$ with

$$
s_{1} \leq p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \leq r_{1} \text { and }-M \leq y(t) \leq 0 \quad \text { for } t \in[0, n]
$$

and

$$
\left|\left(p(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right| \leq p(t) q(t) \phi(t) \sup _{\left[s_{1}, r_{1}\right]} \psi(|z|), \quad t \in(0, n)
$$

In addition if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, u, z) \geq 0, \quad t \in[0, \infty), u \in[-M, 0] \text { and } z \in\left(s_{1}, r_{1}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \geq 0$ for $t \in(0, n)$.
(ii) Suppose there exists $r_{1}>0$ with (2.11) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(t, u, r_{1}\right) \leq 0, \quad t \in[0, \infty) \text { and }-M \leq u \leq 0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

holding. Define $J(z)=\int_{0}^{2} \frac{u}{\psi(u)} d u, z>0$ which is strictly increasing and suppose (2.4) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \sup _{[0, \infty)} p^{2} q \phi \equiv N \in \operatorname{dom}\left(J^{-1}\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold. Then (1.2) has a solution $y$ with

$$
-J^{-1}(N) \leq p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \leq r_{1} \text { and }-M \leq y(t) \leq 0 \quad \text { for } t \in[0, n]
$$

and

$$
\left|\left(p(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right| \leq p(t) q(t) \phi(t) \sup _{\left[-J^{-1}(N), r_{1}\right]} \psi(|z|), \quad t \in(0, n)
$$

In addition if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, u, z) \geq 0, \quad t \in[0, \infty), u \in[-M, 0] \text { and } z \in\left(-\infty, r_{1}\right) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$ then $\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \geq 0$ for $t \in(0, n)$.

(iii) Suppose there exists $s_{1}<0$ with (2.4), (2.11) and (2.14) holding. Also suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(t, u, s_{1}\right) \leq 0, \quad t \in[0, \infty) \text { and }-M \leq u \leq 0 \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied. Then (1.2) has a solution $y$ with

$$
s_{1} \leq p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \leq J^{-1}(N) \text { and }-M \leq y(t) \leq 0 \quad \text { for } t \in[0, n],
$$

and

$$
\left|\left(p(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right| \leq p(t) q(t) \phi(t) \sup _{\left[s_{1}, J^{-1}(N)\right]} \psi(|z|), \quad t \in(0, n) .
$$

In addition if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, u, z) \geq 0, \quad t \in[0, \infty), u \in[-M, 0] \text { and } z \in\left(s_{1}, \infty\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \geq 0$ for $t \in(0, n)$.
(iv) Suppose (2.4), (2.11) and (2.14) hold. Then (1.2) has a solution $y$ with

$$
-J^{-1}(N) \leq p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \leq J^{-1}(N) \text { and }-M \leq y(t) \leq 0 \quad \text { for } t \in[0, n]
$$

and

$$
\left|\left(p(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right| \leq p(t) q(t) \phi(t) \sup _{\left[0, J^{-1}(N)\right]} \psi(|z|), \quad t \in(0, n) .
$$

In addition if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, u, z) \geq 0, \quad t \in[0, \infty), u \in[-M, 0] \text { and } z \in(-\infty, \infty) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \geq 0$ for $t \in(0, n)$.
Proof. (i) Let $y$ be a solution to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{p}\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=\lambda q f_{1}\left(t, y, p y^{\prime}\right), \quad 0<t<n  \tag{2.19}\\
y(0)=y(n)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $0<\lambda<1$ and

$$
f_{1}(t, u, v)= \begin{cases}f(t, 0, v)+u, & u \geq 0 \\ f(t, u, v), & u \leq 0, s_{1} \leq v \leq r_{1} \\ f\left(t, u, r_{1}\right), & u \leq 0, v \geq r_{1} \\ f\left(t, u, s_{1}\right), & u \leq 0, v \leq s_{1}\end{cases}
$$

We will show that any solution $y$ of $(2.19)_{\lambda}$ is a solution of $(1.6)_{\lambda}$. We first show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-M \leq y(t) \leq 0, \quad t \in[0, n] . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $y$ has a positive maximum at $t_{0} \in(0, n)$. Then

$$
\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=\lambda p\left(t_{0}\right) q\left(t_{0}\right)\left[f\left(t_{0}, 0,0\right)+y\left(t_{0}\right)\right]>0
$$

a contradiction. Thus $y \leq 0$ on $[0, n]$. Now $y \geq-M$ follows as in Theorem 2.1 since $s_{1}<0<r_{1}$. Thus (2.20) is true.

REMARK. (2.20) is also true if $\lambda=1$.
We now show

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1} \leq p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \leq r_{1}, \quad t \in[0, n] \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \not \leq r_{1}$ then there exists $t_{1}<t_{2} \in[0, n]$ such that $y(t) \leq 0, p(t) y^{\prime}(t)>r_{1}$ for $t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ with $p\left(t_{1}\right) y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=r_{1}$ and $p\left(t_{2}\right) y^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)>r_{1}$. Consequently

$$
0<p\left(t_{2}\right) y^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)-p\left(t_{1}\right) y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} d s=\lambda \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} p(s) q(s) f\left(s, y(s), r_{1}\right) d s \leq 0
$$

a contradiction. Thus $p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \leq r_{1}$ for $t \in[0, n]$. Similarly $p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \geq s_{1}$ for $t \in[0, n]$ and (2.21) follows.

Remark. (2.21) is also true if $\lambda=1$.
Now Theorem 1.1 guarantees that (2.19) ${ }_{1}$ has a solution $y$. Hence $y$ is a solution of (1.2) and all the properties hold.
(ii) Let $y$ be a solution to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{p}\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=\lambda q f_{2}\left(t, y, p y^{\prime}\right), \quad 0<t<n  \tag{2.22}\\
y(0)=y(n)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $0<\lambda<1$ and

$$
f_{2}(t, u, v)= \begin{cases}f(t, 0, v)+u, & u \geq 0 \\ f(t, u, v), & u \leq 0, v \leq r_{1} \\ f\left(t, u, r_{1}\right), & u \leq 0, v \geq r_{1}\end{cases}
$$

If $y$ is a solution to (2.22) $\lambda_{\lambda}$ then (2.20) holds. In addition, as in part (i), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \leq r_{1}, \quad t \in[0, n] . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-J^{-1}(N) \leq p(t) y^{\prime}(t), \quad t \in[0, n] \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

follows as in Theorem 2.1. Now Theorem 1.1 guarantees that (2.22) has a solution $y$. Hence $y$ is a solution (1.2) and all the properties hold.
(iii) and (iv). The proof follows from a slight modification of the above arguments. -

Of course we may obtain analogue results for nonnegative solutions and solutions with no fixed sign. We illustrate with one example.

Theorem 2.3. Suppose (1.3), (1.4), (1.5), (2.1) or (2.2), and (2.3) are satisfied. Suppose there exists $s_{1}, r_{1}$ with $s_{1}<0<r_{1}$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u f\left(t, u, r_{1}\right) \geq 0, t \in[0, \infty) \text { and }-M \leq u \leq M \text { and } u f\left(t, u, s_{1}\right) \geq 0,  \tag{2.25}\\
t \in[0, \infty) \text { and }-M \leq u \leq M .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then (1.2) has a solution $y$ with

$$
s_{1} \leq p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \leq r_{1} \text { and }-M \leq y(t) \leq M \quad \text { for } t \in[0, n],
$$

and

$$
\left|\left(p(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right| \leq p(t) q(t) \phi(t) \sup _{\left[s_{1}, r_{1}\right]} \psi(|z|), \quad t \in(0, n) .
$$

Proof. Let $y$ be a solution to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{p}\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=\lambda q f_{3}\left(t, y, p y^{\prime}\right), \quad 0<t<n  \tag{2.26}\\
y(0)=y(n)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $0<\lambda<1$ and

$$
f_{3}(t, u, v)= \begin{cases}f\left(t, u, r_{1}\right), & v \geq r_{1} \\ f(t, u, v), & s_{1} \leq v \leq r_{1} \\ f\left(t, u, s_{1}\right), & v \leq s_{1}\end{cases}
$$

We will show that any solution $y$ of $(2.26)_{\lambda}$ is a solution (1.6) $)_{\lambda}$. As in Theorem 2.1 we have (2.6) holding (since $s_{1}<0<r_{1}$ ), i.e.,

$$
-M \leq y(t) \leq M, \quad t \in[0, n] .
$$

We now show

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1} \leq p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \leq r_{1}, \quad t \in[0, n] . \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \notin r_{1}$ then one of the following conditions occur:
(i) there exists $t_{1}<t_{2} \in[0, n]$ such that $y(t) \geq 0, p(t) y^{\prime}(t)>r_{1}$ for $t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ with $p\left(t_{1}\right) y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)>r_{1}$ and $p\left(t_{2}\right) y^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)=r_{1}$
or
(ii) there exists $t_{1}<t_{2} \in[0, n]$ such that $y(t) \leq 0, p(t) y^{\prime}(t)>r_{1}$ for $t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ with $p\left(t_{1}\right) y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=r_{1}$ and $p\left(t_{2}\right) y^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)>r_{1}$.
If (i) holds then

$$
0>p\left(t_{2}\right) y^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)-p\left(t_{1}\right) y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} d s=\lambda \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} p(s) q(s) f\left(s, y(s), r_{1}\right) d s \geq 0
$$

a contradiction. If (ii) holds then

$$
0<p\left(t_{2}\right) y^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)-p\left(t_{1}\right) y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} d s=\lambda \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} p(s) q(s) f\left(s, y(s), r_{1}\right) d s \leq 0
$$

a contradiction. Thus $p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \leq r_{1}$ for $t \in[0, n]$. Similarly $p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \geq s_{1}$ for $t \in[0, n]$ and (2.27) follows. Now Theorem 1.1 guarantees that (2.26) has a solution $y$. Hence $y$ is a solution of (1.2) and all the properties hold.
3. Global solvability. The results of Section 2 together with Arzela-Ascoli theorem will now imply the solvability of (1.1).

THEOREM 3.1. Suppose (1.3), (1.4), (1.5), (2.1) or (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) are satisfied. In addition suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{b} p(s) q(s) \phi(s) d s<\infty \quad \text { for any } b>0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (1.1) has at least one solution $y \in \mathrm{BC}^{2}[0, \infty)$ with

$$
|y(t)| \leq M,\left|p(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq H^{-1}\left(2 M \sup _{[0, \infty)} p^{2} q \phi\right) \quad \text { for } t \in[0, \infty) .
$$

PROOF. By Theorem 2.1 there exists a solution $y_{n}$ to (1.2) with

$$
\sup _{[0, n]}\left|y_{n}(t)\right| \leq M, \quad \sup _{[0, n]}\left|p(t) y_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq H^{-1}\left(2 M \sup _{[0, \infty)} p^{2} q \phi\right) \equiv M_{1}
$$

and $\left|\left(p(t) y_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right| \leq M_{2} p(t) q(t) \phi(t), t \in(0, n)$ where $M_{2}=\sup _{\left[0, M_{1}\right]} \psi(v)$. Consequently for $t, s \in[0, n]$ we have

$$
\left|y_{n}(t)-y_{n}(s)\right|=\left|\int_{s}^{t} \frac{1}{p(u)} p(u) y_{n}^{\prime}(u) d u\right| \leq M_{1}\left|\int_{s}^{t} \frac{d u}{p(u)}\right|
$$

and

$$
\left|p(t) y_{n}^{\prime}(t)-p(s) y_{n}^{\prime}(s)\right|=\left|\int_{s}^{t}\left(p(u) y_{n}^{\prime}(u)\right)^{\prime} d u\right| \leq M_{1}\left|\int_{s}^{t} p(u) q(u) \phi(u) d u\right|
$$

Now define functions $u_{n}$ on $[0, \infty)$ by $u_{n}(x)=y_{n}(x)$ for $x \in[0, n]$ and $u_{n}(x)=0$ for $x>n$. Each $u_{n}$ belongs to $C[0, \infty)$ and is twice continuously differentiable on $(0, \infty)$ except possibly at $x=n$. Let $S=\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. By the Arzela-Ascoli theorem there is a subsequence $N_{1}^{*}$ of $N^{+}$and functions $z_{1}, p z_{1}^{\prime} \in C[0,1]$ with $u_{n}(x) \rightarrow z_{1}(x), p(x) u_{n}^{\prime}(x) \rightarrow p(x) z_{1}^{\prime}(x)$ uniformly on $[0,1]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ through $N_{1}^{*}$. Let $N_{1}=N_{1}^{*} /\{1\}$. Then by the ArzelaAscoli theorem there is a subsequence $N_{2}^{*}$ of $N_{1}$ and functions $z_{2}, p z_{2}^{\prime} \in C[0,2]$ with $u_{n}(x) \rightarrow z_{2}(x), p(x) u_{n}^{\prime}(x) \rightarrow p(x) z_{2}^{\prime}(x)$ uniformly on $[0,2]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ through $N_{2}^{*}$. Note since $N_{2}^{*} \subset N_{1}$ we have $z_{2}=z_{1}$ on $[0,1]$. Let $N_{2}=N_{2}^{*} /\{2\}$ and proceed inductively to obtain for $k=1,2, \ldots$, , subsequence $N_{k}^{*} \subset N_{k-1}$ and functions $z_{k}, p z_{k}^{\prime} \in C[0, k]$ with $u_{n}(x) \rightarrow z_{k}(x), p(x) u_{n}^{\prime}(x) \rightarrow p(x) z_{k}^{\prime}(x)$ uniformly on $[0, k]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ through $N_{k}^{*}$. Note since $N_{k}^{*} \subset N_{k-1}$ we have $z_{k}=z_{k-1}$ on $[0, k-1]$.

Define a function $y$ as follows. Fix $x \in[0, \infty)$ and let $k \in N^{+}$with $x \leq k$. Then define $y(x)=z_{k}(x)$. Now $y$ is well defined with $y \in C[0, \infty)$ and $p y^{\prime} \in C[0, \infty)$. Fix $x$ and choose and fix $k \geq x, k \in N^{+}$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{n}(x)=\int_{0}^{k} \frac{1}{p(v)} \int_{v}^{k} p(s) q(s) f\left(s, u_{n}(s), p(s) u_{n}^{\prime}(s)\right) d s d v\left(\int_{0}^{k} \frac{d s}{p(s)}\right)^{-1} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{d s}{p(s)} \\
-\int_{0}^{x} \frac{1}{p(v)} \int_{v}^{k} p(s) q(s) f\left(s, u_{n}(s), p(s) u_{n}^{\prime}(s)\right) d s d v+u_{n}(k) \frac{x}{k}
\end{gathered}
$$

for $n \in N_{k}$. Let $n \rightarrow \infty$ through $N_{k}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
z_{k}(x)=\int_{0}^{k} \frac{1}{p(v)} \int_{v}^{k} p(s) q(s) f\left(s, z_{k}(s), p(s) z_{k}^{\prime}(s)\right) d s d v\left(\int_{0}^{k} \frac{d s}{p(s)}\right)^{-1} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{d s}{p(s)} \\
-\int_{0}^{x} \frac{1}{p(v)} \int_{v}^{k} p(s) q(s) f\left(s, z_{k}(s), p(s) z_{k}^{\prime}(s)\right) d s d v+z_{k}(k) \frac{x}{k}
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
y(x)=\int_{0}^{k} & \frac{1}{p(v)} \int_{v}^{k} p(s) q(s) f\left(s, y(s), p(s) y^{\prime}(s)\right) d s d v\left(\int_{0}^{k} \frac{d s}{p(s)}\right)^{-1} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{d s}{p(s)} \\
& -\int_{0}^{x} \frac{1}{p(v)} \int_{v}^{k} p(s) q(s) f\left(s, y(s), p(s) y^{\prime}(s)\right) d s d v+y(k) \frac{x}{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $\left(p(x) y^{\prime}(x)\right)^{\prime}=p(x) q(x) f\left(x, y(x), p(x) y^{\prime}(x)\right)$. Consequently $\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \in C(0, \infty)$ with $\frac{1}{p}\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=q f\left(t, y, p y^{\prime}\right), 0<t<\infty$. It also follows immediately that $|y(t)| \leq M$ and $\left|p(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq M_{1}$ for $0 \leq t<\infty$.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose (1.3), (1.4), (1.5), (2.3) with $u \in[-M, 0]$, (2.8) or (2.9), (2.11) and (3.1) are satisfied.
(i) Suppose (2.10) holds. Then (1.1) has at least one solution $y \in \mathrm{BC}^{2}[0, \infty)$ with $-M \leq y(t) \leq 0, s_{1} \leq p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \leq r_{1}$ for $t \in[0, \infty)$. If in addition (2.12) is satisfied then $\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \geq 0$ on $(0, \infty)$.
(ii) Suppose (2.4), (2.13) and (2.14) are satisfied. Then (1.1) has at least one solution $y \in \mathrm{BC}^{2}[0, \infty)$ with $-M \leq y(t) \leq 0,-J^{-1}(N) \leq p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \leq r_{1}$ for $t \in[0, \infty)$. If in addition (2.15) is satisfied then $\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \geq 0$ on $(0, \infty)$.
(iii) Suppose (2.4), (2.14) and (2.16) are satisfied. Then (1.1) has at least one solution $y \in \mathrm{BC}^{2}[0, \infty)$ with $-M \leq y(t) \leq 0, s_{1} \leq p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \leq J^{-1}(N)$ for $t \in[0, \infty)$. If in addition (2.17) is satisfied then $\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \geq 0$ on $(0, \infty)$.
(iv) Suppose (2.4) and (2.14) are satisfied. Then (1.1) has at least one solution $y \in$ $\mathrm{BC}^{2}[0, \infty)$ with $-M \leq y(t) \leq 0,-J^{-1}(N) \leq p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \leq J^{-1}(N)$ for $t \in[0, \infty)$. If in addition (2.18) is satisfied then $\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \geq 0$ on $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. Essentially the same reasoning as in Theorem 3.1 (except we now use Theorem 2.2) yields the result, i.e., we obtain a solution $y \in \mathrm{BC}^{2}[0, \infty)$ with the appropriate bounds on $|y|$ and $\left|p y^{\prime}\right|$.

In addition we have
Theorem 3.3. Suppose (1.3), (1.4), (1.5), (2.1) or (2.2), (2.3), (2.25) and (3.1) are satisfied. Then (1.1) has at least one solution $y \in \mathrm{BC}^{2}[0, \infty)$ with $-M \leq y(t) \leq M$, $s_{1} \leq p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \leq r_{1}$ for $t \in[0, \infty)$.

Examples. (i) Consider the boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{t^{\alpha}}\left(t^{\alpha} y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=t^{\beta}(1+y)(y+c)^{2}\left(2-t^{\alpha} y^{\prime}\right)^{m}\left(3+t^{\alpha} y^{\prime}\right)^{n}, \quad 0<t<\infty  \tag{3.2}\\
y(0)=0, y(t) \text { bounded on }[0, \infty)
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $0 \leq \alpha<1, \alpha+\beta>-1, m \geq 1, n \geq 1$ and $c \neq 0$.
To show that (3.2) has a solution $y \in \mathrm{BC}^{2}[0, \infty)$ we will apply Theorem 3.2(i). Let $p(t)=t^{\alpha}, q(t)=t^{\beta}$

$$
f(t, u, z)=(u+1)(u+c)^{2}(2-z)^{m}(3+z)^{n}
$$

It follows easily that (1.3), (1.4), (1.5) and (3.1) are satisfied since $0<\alpha<1$ and $\alpha+\beta>-1$. Now if $-1 \leq c \leq 1$, with $c \neq 0$, then (2.8) holds with $M=1$. However if $c>1$ or $c<-1$, (2.9) holds with $M=1, \sigma=1$ say, and $c_{1}=c$.

REMARK. It is not a good idea to choose $M=|c|$ in (2.8) if $c>1$ or $c<-1$ because of (2.12). This example illustrates why (2.8) may be too restrictive in some situations when examining the semi-infinite problem (see Section 4).

Let $s_{1}=-3$ and $r_{1}=2$. Certainly (2.3), (2.10) and (2.11) are satisfied. Also (2.12) is true since $M=1$.

Thus Theorem 3.2(i) implies that (3.2) has at least one solution $y \in \mathrm{BC}^{2}[0, \infty)$ with $-1 \leq y(t) \leq 0,-3 \leq t^{\alpha} y^{\prime}(t) \leq 2$ for $t \in[0, \infty)$ and $\left(t^{\alpha} y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \geq 0$ on $(0, \infty)$.
(ii) Next consider

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{t^{\alpha}}\left(t^{\alpha} y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=t^{\beta}(1+y)\left(2-t^{\alpha} y^{\prime}\right)^{m}\left(3+t^{\alpha} y^{\prime}\right)^{n}, \quad 0<t<\infty  \tag{3.3}\\
y(0)=0, y(t) \text { bounded on }[0, \infty)
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $0 \leq \alpha<1, \alpha+\beta>-1,2 \alpha+\beta=0, m \geq 0, n \geq 0$ and $0 \leq m+n \leq 2$.
To show that (3.3) has a solution $y \in \mathrm{BC}^{2}[0, \infty)$ we will apply Theorem 3.1. It is easy to check that (1.3), (1.4), (1.5), (3.1) and (2.1), with $M=1$, hold.

Let $p(t)=t^{\alpha}, q(t)=t^{\beta}, \phi(t)=2$ and $\psi(|z|)=(2+|z|)^{m}(3+|z|)^{n}$. Certainly (2.3) is true and (2.4) follows since $2 \alpha+\beta=0$. Finally (2.5) is satisfied since $\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{u}{\psi(u)} d u=\infty$. Consequently Theorem 3.1 implies (3.3) has at least one solution $y \in \operatorname{BC}^{2}[0, \infty)$.
(iii) Consider

$$
\begin{cases}y^{\prime \prime}=(1+y)\left(A+y^{\prime}\right)^{m}, & 0<t<\infty  \tag{3.4}\\ y(0)=0, y(t) \text { bounded on }[0, \infty) & \end{cases}
$$

with $A>0, m \geq 1$ and $A>0,1<\frac{A^{2-m}}{(m-2)(m-1)}$ if $m>2$.
To see that (3.4) has a solution $y \in \operatorname{BC}^{2}[0, \infty)$ we will apply Theorem 3.2(iii). Let $p=q=\phi=1, f(t, u)=(u+1)(A+z)^{m}$ and $s_{1}=-A$. It is also easy to check that (1.3), (1.4), (1.5), (3.1), (2.8) with $M=1,(2.11),(2.16)$ and (2.17) hold. Also with $\psi(z)=(A+z)^{m}, z>0$ we have

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{u}{(A+u)^{m}} d u=\infty \quad \text { if } 1 \leq m \leq 2
$$

whereas

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{u}{(A+u)^{m}} d u=\frac{A^{2-m}}{(m-2)(m-1)} \quad \text { if } m>2
$$

Thus (2.14) is satisfied. Consequently Theorem 3.2(iii) implies that (3.4) has a solution $y \in \mathrm{BC}^{2}[0, \infty)$.
4. Semi infinite problem. We will now use the results of Section 3 to discuss the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{1}{p}\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=q f\left(t, y, p y^{\prime}\right), & 0<t<\infty \\ y(0)=0, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) \text { exists. }\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 4.1. Suppose (1.3), (1.4), (1.5), (2.3) with $u \in[-M, 0]$, (2.8) or (2.9), (2.11) and (3.1) are satisfied.
(i) Suppose (2.4), (2.14) and (2.18) hold. In addition assume the following:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Let } \beta>0 \text { and } c>0 \text { be fixed. Then for all } u \text { with } M \geq u+M \geq \beta \text { and } \\ t \geq c \text { there exists a constant } K>0 \text { (which may depend on } \beta \text { and } c \text { ) with } \\ f(t, u, z) \geq K \text { for } z \in\left[-J^{-1}(N), J^{-1}(N)\right] \text {. Here J and } N \text { are as described } \\ \text { in (2.14) }\end{array}\right.$
and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(A \int_{b}^{t} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{b}^{s} p(z) q(z) d z d s-B \int_{b}^{t} \frac{d s}{p(s)}=\right)+\infty \text { for any constants } A>  \tag{4.2}\\
0, B>0 \text { and } b>0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then the boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{p}\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=q f\left(t, y, p y^{\prime}\right),  \tag{4.3}\\
y(0)=0, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)=-M
\end{array} \quad 0<t<\infty\right.
$$

has at least one solution $y \in \mathrm{BC}^{2}[0, \infty)$.
(ii) Suppose (2.4), (2.13), (2.14) and (2.15) are satisfied. In addition assume the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{b}^{\infty} \frac{d s}{p(s)}=\infty \quad \text { for any } b>0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\beta>0$ and $c>0$ be fixed. Then for all $u$ with $M \geq u+M \geq \beta$ and $t \geq c$ there exists a constant $K>0$ (which may depend on $\beta$ and $c$ ) with
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}f(t, u, z) \geq \operatorname{Kg}(z) \text { for } z \in\left[-J^{-1}(N), r_{1}\right) \text {. Here } J \text { and } N \text { are as described in } \\ (2.14) \text {. also } g \cdot \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \text { is such that } g(0)>0 \text { and } g \text { has no negative zero's }\end{array}\right.$
(2.14); also $g: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is such that $g(0)>0$ and $g$ has no negative zero's and its first positive zero is $r_{1}$
and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Let } G(z)=\int_{-J^{-1}(N)}^{z} \frac{d u}{g(u)},-J^{-1}(N) \leq z<r_{1} . \text { Assume for any constants }  \tag{4.6}\\
A>0 \text { and } b>0 \text { that } \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{b}^{t} \frac{1}{p(s)} G^{-1}\left(A \int_{b}^{s} p(z) q(z) d z\right) d s=+\infty .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then (4.3) has at least one solution $y \in \mathrm{BC}^{2}[0, \infty)$.
(iii) Suppose (2.4), (2.14), (2.16), (2.17), (4.2) and (4.4) are satisfied. In addition assume the following:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Let } \beta>0, c>0 \text { and a, with } s_{1}<a \leq J^{-1}(N) \text {, be fixed. Then for all } u \\ \text { with } M \geq u+M \geq \beta \text { and } t \geq c \text { there exists a constant } K>0 \text { (which may } \\ \text { depend on } \beta, c \text { and a) with } f(t, u, z) \geq K \text { for } z \in\left[a, J^{-1}(N)\right] \text {. Here } J \text { and } \\ N \text { are as described in (2.14). }\end{array}\right.$

Then (4.3) has at least one solution $y \in \mathrm{BC}^{2}[0, \infty)$.
(iv) Suppose (2.10), (4.2) and (4.4) are satisfied. In addition assume the following:

Let $\beta>0, c>0$ and $a$, with $s_{1}<a<r_{1}$, be fixed. Then for all $u$ with $M \geq u+M \geq \beta$ and $t \geq c$ there exists a constant $K>0$ (which may $\left\{\right.$ depend on $\beta, c$ and $a$ and a function $g_{1}: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ with $f(t, u, z) \geq K g_{1}(z)$ for $z \in\left[a, r_{1}\right)$. Here $g_{1}, g_{1}(0)>0$, has no negative zero's and its first
positive zero is $r_{1}$ positive zero is $r_{1}$
and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Let } G_{1}(z)=\int_{s_{1}}^{z} \frac{d u}{g_{1}(u)}, s_{1} \leq z<r_{1} . \text { Assume } \int_{0}^{r_{1}} \frac{d u}{g_{1}(u)}=\infty \text { and }  \tag{4.9}\\
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{b}^{t} \frac{1}{p(s)} G_{1}^{-1}\left(A \int_{b}^{s} p(z) q(z) d z\right) d s=+\infty \text { for any constants } A>0 \\
\text { and } b>0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then (4.3) has at least one solution $y \in \mathrm{BC}^{2}[0, \infty)$.
Proof. Theorem 3.2 implies there exists $y \in \mathrm{BC}^{2}[0, \infty)$ with $\frac{1}{p}\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=q f\left(t, y, p y^{\prime}\right)$, $0<t<\infty, y(0)=0$ and in addition $-M \leq y(t) \leq 0$ on $[0, \infty)$ and $\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \geq 0$ on $(0, \infty)$.

Thus $p y^{\prime}$ is nondecreasing on $(0, \infty)$. If there exists a $\xi \in(0, \infty)$ with $p(\xi) y^{\prime}(\xi)=0$ then $p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq \xi$ so $y$ is monotonic for $t \geq \xi$. On the other hand if no such $\xi$ exists then $y$ is monotonic. The above together with $-M \leq y(t) \leq 0$ on $[0, \infty)$ implies $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)$ exists. Consequently $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)=\alpha$ with $\alpha \in[-M, 0]$. It remains to show $\alpha=-M$. To do this we assume $-M<\alpha \leq 0$.
(i) From Theorem 3.2 we know that $-J^{-1}(N) \leq p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \leq J^{-1}(N)$ for $t \in[0, \infty)$. Also since $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)=\alpha$ there exists a $c>0$ with $y(t)+M \geq \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+M)>0$ for $t \geq c$. Now assumption (4.1) guarantees the existence of a constant $K>0$ with $\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \geq p q K$ for $t \geq c$. Integration from $c$ to $t(t>c)$ yields

$$
p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \geq p(c) y^{\prime}(c)+K \int_{c}^{t} p(z) q(z) d z \geq-J^{-1}(N)+K \int_{c}^{t} p(z) q(z) d z
$$

and another integration from $c$ to $t$ yields

$$
y(t) \geq \frac{1}{2}(\alpha-M)-J^{-1}(N) \int_{c}^{t} \frac{d s}{p(s)}+K \int_{c}^{t} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{c}^{s} p(z) q(z) d z d s
$$

Now (4.2) implies $y(t)$ is unbounded on $[0, \infty)$, a contradiction. Thus $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)=-M$.
(ii) From Theorem 3.2 we know that $-J^{-1}(N) \leq p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \leq r_{1}$ for $t \in[0, \infty)$. We now claim that in fact $-J^{-1}(N) \leq p(t) y^{\prime}(t)<r_{1}$ for $t \in[0, \infty)$. To see this suppose $p(\eta) y^{\prime}(\eta)=r_{1}$ for some $\eta \in[0, \infty)$. Then since $\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \geq 0$ we have $p(t) y^{\prime}(t)=r_{1}$ for $t \geq \eta$ and so $y(t)=r_{1} \int_{\eta}^{t} \frac{d s}{p(s)}+y(\eta)$ for $t \geq \eta$. Now (4.4) implies $y(t)$ is unbounded on $[0, \infty)$, a contradiction. Consequently $-J^{-1}(N) \leq p(t) y^{\prime}(t)<r_{1}$ for $t \in[0, \infty)$. Also as in (i), there exists a $c>0$ with $y(t)+M \geq \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+M)>0$ for $t \geq c$. Now assumption (4.5) guarantees the existence of a constant $K>0$ with $\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \geq p q K g\left(p y^{\prime}\right)$ for $t \geq c$. Integration from $c$ to $t(t>c)$ yields

$$
\int_{-J^{-1}(N)}^{p(t) y^{\prime}(t)} \frac{d u}{g(u)} \geq \int_{p(c) y^{\prime}(c)}^{p(t) y^{\prime}(t)} \frac{d u}{g(u)} \geq K \int_{c}^{t} p(z) q(z) d z .
$$

Now this implies for $t \geq c$ that

$$
p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \geq G^{-1}\left(K \int_{c}^{t} p(z) q(z) d z\right)
$$

since $G:\left[-J^{-1}(N), r_{1}\right) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is strictly increasing. Another integration from $c$ to $t$ yields

$$
y(t) \geq \frac{1}{2}(\alpha-M)+\int_{c}^{t} \frac{1}{p(s)} G^{-1}\left(K \int_{c}^{s} p(z) q(z) d z\right) d s
$$

Assumption (4.6) implies $y(t)$ is unbounded on $[0, \infty)$, a contradiction. Thus $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)=-M$.
(iii) From Theorem 3.2 we know that $s_{1} \leq p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \leq J^{-1}(N)$ for $t \in[0, \infty)$. We now claim that there exists $t_{0} \in[0, \infty)$ with $s_{1}<p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \leq J^{-1}(N)$ for $t>t_{0}$. To see this suppose $p(\eta) y^{\prime}(\eta)=s_{1}$ for some $\eta \in[0, \infty)$. Now $\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \geq 0, t \in[0, \infty)$ implies either $p(t) y^{\prime}(t)=s_{1}$ for $t \geq \eta$ or there exists $\xi \geq \eta$ with $p(t) y^{\prime}(t)=s_{1}$ on $[\eta, \xi]$ and
$p(t) y^{\prime}(t)>s_{1}$ on $(\xi, \infty)$. If $p(t) y^{\prime}(t)=s_{1}$ for $t \geq \eta$ then $y(t)=s_{1} \int_{\eta}^{t} \frac{d s}{p(s)}+y(\eta)$, which contradicts the boundedness of $y$ on $[0, \infty)$. Thus our claim is established with $t_{0}=\xi$.

Also as in (i), there exists a $c>0$ with $y(t)+M \geq \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+M)>0$ for $t \geq c$. Let $d=\max \left\{c, t_{0}\right\}+1$. Note $p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \geq p(d) y^{\prime}(d)>s_{1}$ for $t \geq d$. Assumption (4.7) guarantees the existence of a constant $K>0$ (which may depend on $d$ ) with ( $\left.p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \geq p q K$ for $t \geq d$. Integration from $d$ to $t(t>d)$ yields

$$
p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \geq s_{1}+K \int_{d}^{t} p(z) q(z) d z
$$

Another integration from $d$ to $t$ yields

$$
y(t) \geq \frac{1}{2}(\alpha-M)+s_{1} \int_{d}^{t} \frac{d s}{p(s)}+K \int_{d}^{t} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{d}^{s} p(z) q(z) d z d s
$$

which contradicts the boundedness of $y$ on $[0, \infty)$. Thus $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)=-M$.
(iv) From Theorem 3.2 we know that $s_{1} \leq p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \leq r_{1}$ for $t \in[0, \infty)$. As in (ii) and (iii) there exists $t_{0} \in[0, \infty)$ with $s_{1}<p(t) y^{\prime}(t)<r_{1}$ for $t>t_{0}$. Also there exists a $c>0$ with $y(t)+M \geq \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+M)>0$ for $t \geq c$. Let $d=\max \left\{c, t_{0}\right\}+1$. Note $p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \geq p(d) y^{\prime}(d)>s_{1}$ for $t \geq d$. Now assumption (4.8) guarantees the existence of a constant $K>0$ (which may depend on $d$ ) with $\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \geq p q K g_{1}\left(p y^{\prime}\right)$ for $t \geq d$. Integration from $d$ to $t(t>d)$ yields

$$
\int_{s_{1}}^{p(t) y^{\prime}(t)} \frac{d u}{g_{1}(u)} \geq \int_{p(d) y^{\prime}(d)}^{p(t) y^{\prime}(t)} \frac{d u}{g_{1}(u)} \geq K \int_{d}^{t} p(z) q(z) d z
$$

Now this implies for $t \geq d$ that

$$
p(t) y^{\prime}(t) \geq G_{1}^{-1}\left(K \int_{d}^{t} p(z) q(z) d z\right)
$$

since $G_{1}:\left[s_{1}, r_{1}\right) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is strictly increasing. Another integration from $d$ to $t$ yields

$$
y(t) \geq \frac{1}{2}(\alpha-M)+\int_{d}^{t} \frac{1}{p(s)} G_{1}^{-1}\left(K \int_{d}^{s} p(z) q(z) d z\right) d s
$$

which contradicts the boundedness of $y$ on $[0, \infty)$. Thus $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)=-M$.
Remark. From the above analysis we see that if (4.6) is relaxed to

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{b}^{t} \frac{1}{p(s)} G^{-1}\left(A \int_{b}^{s} p(z) q(z) d z\right) d s>M, \text { for any constants } A>0 \text { and } b>0
$$

then existence of a solution in Theorem 4.1(ii) is again guaranteed. A similar remark applies to (4.2) and (4.9).

Examples. (i) Consider the semi infinite problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{\prime \prime}=(1+y)\left(A+y^{\prime}\right)^{m},  \tag{4.10}\\
y(0)=0, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)=-1
\end{array} \quad 0<t<\infty\right.
$$

with $A>0, m \geq 1$ and $A>0, a<\frac{A^{2-m}}{(m-2)(m-1)}$ if $m>2$.

Now example (iii) in Section 3 implies

$$
\begin{cases}y^{\prime \prime}=(1+y)\left(A+y^{\prime}\right)^{m}, & 0<t<\infty \\ y(0)=0, y(t) \text { bounded on }[0, \infty) & \end{cases}
$$

has a solution $y \in \mathrm{BC}^{2}[0, \infty)$. To see that (4.10) has a solution we apply Theorem 4.1 (iii). In this case $p=q=1, s_{1}=-A$ and $M=1$. Clearly (4.2) and (4.4) are true and (4.7) follows with $K=\beta(A+a)^{m}$. Consequently (4.10) has a solution $y \in \mathrm{BC}^{2}[0, \infty)$.
(ii) Consider

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{\prime \prime}=(1+y)\left(2-y^{\prime}\right)^{m}\left(3+y^{\prime}\right)^{n}, \quad 0<t<\infty  \tag{4.11}\\
y(0)=0, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)=-1
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$.
Now example (i) in Section 3 implies

$$
\begin{cases}y^{\prime \prime}=(1+y)\left(2-y^{\prime}\right)^{m}\left(3+y^{\prime}\right)^{n}, & 0<t<\infty \\ y(0)=0, y(t) \text { bounded on }[0, \infty) & \end{cases}
$$

has a solution $y \in \mathrm{BC}^{2}[0, \infty)$. To see that (4.11) has a solution we apply Theorem 4.1(iv). In this case $p=q=1, s_{1}=-3, r_{1}=2$ and $M=1$. Clearly (4.2) and (4.4) are true. To see that $(4.8)$ is satisfied let $g_{1}(z)=(2-z)^{m}$ and $K=\beta(3+a)^{n}$. Now $G_{1}(z)=\int_{-3}^{z} \frac{d u}{(2-u)^{m}}$, $-3 \leq z<2$ so
$G_{1}^{-1}(z)=2-5 e^{-z} \quad$ if $m=1$ whereas $G_{1}^{-1}(z)=2-\left((m-1) z+5^{1-m}\right)^{\frac{-1}{m-1}} \quad$ if $m>1$.
In addition if $m=1$ then
$\int_{b}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)} G_{1}^{-1}\left(A \int_{b}^{s} p(z) q(z) d z\right) d s=\int_{b}^{t} G_{1}^{-1}(A(s-b)) d s=2(t-b)+\frac{5}{A}\left(e^{-A(t-b)}-1\right)$
whereas if $m>1$ and $m \neq 2$ then
$\int_{b}^{t} G_{1}^{-1}(A(s-b)) d s=2(t-b)+\frac{1}{2-m}\left((m-1) t+5^{1-m}\right)^{\frac{m-2}{m-1}}-\frac{1}{2-m}\left((m-1) b+5^{1-m}\right)^{\frac{m-2}{m-1}}$
while if $m=2$ then

$$
\int_{b}^{t} G_{1}^{-1}(A(s-b)) d s=2(t-b)-\ln \left(t+5^{-1}\right)+\ln \left(b+5^{-1}\right)
$$

It is now easy to see that (4.9) is satisfied. Existence of a solution to (4.11) now follows from Theorem 4.1(iv).
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