

## HALL SUBGROUPS AND STABLE BRAUER CHARACTERS

GABRIEL NAVARRO

*Departament d'Àlgebra, Facultat de Matemàtiques, Universitat de València,  
46100 Burjassot, València, Spain (gabriel@uv.es)*

(Received 11 October 1999)

*Abstract* Let  $H$  be a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of a finite  $\pi$ -separable group  $G$ , and let  $\alpha$  be an irreducible Brauer character of  $H$ . If  $\alpha(x) = \alpha(y)$  whenever  $x, y \in H$  are  $p$ -regular and  $G$ -conjugate, then  $\alpha$  extends to a Brauer character of  $G$ .

*Keywords:* Brauer characters; Hall subgroups

AMS 2000 *Mathematics subject classification:* Primary 20C15; 20C20

### 1. Introduction

Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $p$  be a prime number. Suppose that  $U \subseteq G$  and let  $\alpha \in \text{IBr}(U)$  be an irreducible Brauer character of  $U$ . We say that  $\alpha$  is  $G$ -stable if  $\alpha(x) = \alpha(y)$  whenever  $x, y \in U$  are  $p$ -regular and  $G$ -conjugate.

We prove the following theorem.

**Theorem A.** *Suppose that  $G$  is  $\pi$ -separable and let  $H$  be a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $G$ . If  $\alpha \in \text{IBr}(H)$  is  $G$ -stable, then  $\alpha$  extends to some Brauer character of  $G$ .*

If  $H \triangleleft G$ , then Theorem A is Gallagher's theorem (for ordinary characters) and Dade's theorem (for Brauer characters; see [1] and Theorem (8.13) of [4]). If  $p$  does not divide  $|H|$ , Theorem A was obtained by Isaacs in [2] (with a different approach). As is well known, extendability of characters and control of fusion are closely related.

**Corollary B.** *Suppose that  $G$  is  $\pi$ -separable and let  $H$  be a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Then every irreducible Brauer character of  $H$  extends to some Brauer character of  $G$  if and only if whenever  $x, y \in H$  are  $p$ -regular and  $G$ -conjugate, then  $x$  and  $y$  are  $H$ -conjugate.*

### 2. Proofs

If  $U \subseteq G$ , we denote by  $U^0$  the set of  $p$ -regular elements of  $U$ . Also,  $\text{cf}(U^0)$  is the complex space of class functions of  $U$  defined on  $U^0$ . We say that  $\alpha \in \text{cf}(U^0)$  is  $G$ -stable if  $\alpha(x) = \alpha(y)$  whenever  $x, y \in U$  are  $p$ -regular and  $G$ -conjugate.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\alpha \in \text{cf}(U^0)$ . Then  $\alpha$  is  $G$ -stable if and only if there exists  $\xi \in \text{cf}(G^0)$  such that  $\xi_U = \alpha$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $\alpha$  is  $G$ -stable. We define some  $\xi \in \text{cf}(G^0)$ . We put  $\xi(x) = 0$ , say, for every  $p$ -regular element  $x \in G$  lying in no  $G$ -conjugate of  $U$ . If, on the other hand,  $\text{cl}(x) \cap U$  is non-empty, we set  $\xi(x) = \alpha(u)$ , where  $u$  is any element of  $\text{cl}(x) \cap U$ . Since  $\alpha$  is  $G$ -stable, it follows that  $\xi$  is a well-defined function on  $G^0$  extending  $\alpha$ . For the converse, it is clear that if  $\alpha$  extends to some  $\xi \in \text{cf}(G^0)$ , then  $\alpha$  is  $G$ -stable.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\alpha \in \text{cf}(U^0)$ . Then  $\alpha$  is  $G$ -stable if and only if*

$$(\alpha^G)_U = \alpha((1_U)^G)_U.$$

**Proof.** Assume that  $\alpha$  is  $G$ -stable. By Lemma 2.1, let  $\xi \in \text{cf}(G^0)$  be such that  $\xi_U = \alpha$ . Now,

$$(\alpha^G)_U = ((\xi_U)^G)_U = (\xi(1_U)^G)_U = \xi_U((1_U)^G)_U = \alpha((1_U)^G)_U,$$

as desired. Assume now that

$$(\alpha^G)_U = \alpha((1_U)^G)_U,$$

and note that  $((1_U)^G)_U$  is a  $G$ -stable class function of  $U$  which is never zero. Then

$$\alpha = \frac{(\alpha^G)_U}{((1_U)^G)_U}$$

is the quotient of two  $G$ -stable class functions. Hence  $\alpha$  is  $G$ -stable.  $\square$

From now on, we fix a maximal ideal of the ring of the algebraic integers  $\mathbf{R}$  containing  $p\mathbf{R}$ , so that for every finite group  $G$  we have a uniquely defined set of irreducible Brauer characters  $\text{IBr}(G)$  of  $G$ . We follow the notation of [4].

Let  $N \triangleleft G$  and let  $\theta \in \text{IBr}(N)$ . We denote by  $\text{cf}(G^0 \mid \theta)$  the complex linear combinations of  $\text{IBr}(G \mid \theta)$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Suppose that  $N \subseteq U \subseteq G$  where  $N \triangleleft G$ . Let  $\theta \in \text{IBr}(N)$  and suppose that  $\alpha \in \text{IBr}(U \mid \theta)$  is  $G$ -stable. Then there exists  $\phi \in \text{cf}(G^0 \mid \theta)$  extending  $\alpha$ . Also,  $TU = G$ , where  $T$  is the stabilizer of  $\theta$  in  $G$ .*

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.1, let  $\hat{\alpha} \in \text{cf}(G^0)$  be an extension of  $\alpha$ . Write

$$\text{cf}(G^0) = \text{cf}(G^0 \mid \theta) \oplus \Delta,$$

where  $\Delta$  is the  $\mathbf{C}$ -span of those irreducible Brauer characters  $\mu$  of  $G$  that do not lie over  $\theta$ . We write  $\hat{\alpha} = \phi + \psi$ , where  $\phi \in \text{cf}(G^0 \mid \theta)$  and  $\psi \in \Delta$ . We claim that  $\alpha^G \in \text{cf}(G^0 \mid \theta)$ . By Lemma 2.2, we have that

$$(\alpha^G)_U = \alpha((1_U)^G)_U.$$

Then

$$(\alpha^G)_N = \alpha_N((1_U)^G)_N = |G : U| \alpha_N,$$

and it follows that every irreducible constituent of the Brauer character  $\alpha^G$  lies over  $\theta$ , as claimed. Also, if  $\beta \in \text{IBr}(G)$  is an irreducible constituent of  $\alpha^G$ , then  $\beta_N$  is contained in  $|G : U|\alpha_N$ , which is a sum of  $U$ -conjugates of  $\theta$  (by Clifford's Theorem applied to  $\alpha$ ). Hence, it follows that  $\beta_N$  is a sum of some  $U$ -conjugates of  $\theta$ . Now, if  $g \in G$ , we have that  $\theta^g$  is also an irreducible constituent of  $\beta_N$ . Therefore, there is  $u \in U$  such that  $\theta^g = \theta^u$ . Hence,  $gu^{-1} \in T$ , and we conclude that  $TU = G$ . Now, since  $N$  is contained in the kernel of every irreducible constituent of  $(1_U)^G$ , it follows that  $\phi(1_U)^G \in \text{cf}(G^0 | \theta)$  and  $\psi(1_U)^G \in \Delta$ . Now,

$$\phi(1_U)^G + \psi(1_U)^G = (\phi + \psi)(1_U)^G = \hat{\alpha}(1_U)^G = (\hat{\alpha}_U)^G = \alpha^G,$$

and we conclude that  $\psi(1_U)^G = 0$ . Then

$$\phi_U((1_U)^G)_U = (\phi_U + \psi_U)((1_U)^G)_U = \alpha((1_U)^G)_U.$$

Since  $((1_U)^G)_U$  is never zero, we conclude that  $\phi_U = \alpha$ , as desired.  $\square$

If  $N \triangleleft G$ ,  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$  and  $T = I_G(\theta)$ , recall that  $\xi \mapsto \xi^G$  defines a bijection

$$\text{cf}(T^0 | \theta) \rightarrow \text{cf}(G^0 | \theta)$$

(as easily follows from Theorem (8.9) of [4]).

**Lemma 2.4.** *Suppose that  $N \subseteq U \subseteq G$ , where  $N \triangleleft G$ . Let  $\theta \in \text{IBr}(N)$  and suppose that  $\alpha \in \text{IBr}(U | \theta)$  is  $G$ -stable. Write  $T = I_G(\theta)$  and let  $\xi \in \text{IBr}(T \cap U | \theta)$  be the Clifford correspondent of  $\alpha$  over  $\theta$ . Then  $\xi$  is  $T$ -stable.*

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.3, we know that  $G = TU$ . Also, by Lemma 2.3, let  $\hat{\alpha} \in \text{cf}(G^0 | \theta)$  be such that  $\hat{\alpha}_U = \alpha$ . Now, let  $\hat{\xi} \in \text{cf}(T^0 | \theta)$  be such that  $\hat{\xi}^G = \hat{\alpha}$ . By Mackey, we have that

$$(\hat{\xi}_{T \cap U})^T = (\hat{\xi}^G)_U = \hat{\alpha}_U = \alpha.$$

We have that  $\hat{\xi}_{T \cap U} \in \text{cf}((T \cap U)^0 | \theta)$ , and we conclude that

$$\hat{\xi}_{T \cap U} = \xi$$

by uniqueness. Hence, by Lemma 2.1 we have that  $\xi$  is  $T$ -stable, as desired.  $\square$

The key idea in our proof of Theorem A is to use ‘modular character triples’. The reader is referred to Chapter 7 of [4] for their definition and main properties.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Suppose that  $(G, N, \theta)$  is a modular character triple with  $N$  a  $\pi$ -group. Then there exists an isomorphic triple  $(G^*, N^*, \theta^*)$ , where  $N^*$  is a  $\pi$ -group contained in  $Z(G^*)$ .*

**Proof.** We argue as in Theorem (5.2) of [3]. Let  $(G^*, N^*, \theta^*)$  be any isomorphic triple where  $\theta^*$  is linear, and factor  $\theta^* = \alpha\beta$ , where the order of  $\alpha$  is a  $\pi$ -number and the order of  $\beta$  is a  $\pi'$ -number. Notice that both characters are  $G$ -invariant, by uniqueness. As in Theorem (5.2) of [3] (and using Lemma (8.26) of [4] and its previous comments), it

suffices to show that  $\beta$  extends to  $G$ . By Theorem (8.29) of [4], it suffices to prove that  $\theta$  extends to  $Q^*$ , where  $Q^*/N^*$  is a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $G^*/N^*$ . Suppose first that  $q \in \pi$ . Then the result follows from Theorem (8.23) of [4]. So we may assume that  $q \in \pi'$ . Now, consider the group  $Q$  corresponding to  $Q^*$  with  $N \subseteq Q \subseteq G$ . Since  $N$  is a  $\pi$ -group,  $\theta$  extends to some  $\psi \in \text{IBr}(Q \mid \theta)$  by Theorem (8.13) of [4]. Let  $\lambda = \psi^* \in \text{Irr}(Q^* \mid \theta^*)$ . Now,  $\lambda$  is linear (because it extends  $\theta^*$ ). Factor  $\lambda$  as  $\mu\nu$  with  $o(\mu)$  a  $\pi$ -number and  $o(\nu)$  a  $\pi'$ -number. Then

$$\mu_{N^*}\nu_{N^*} = \lambda_{N^*} = \theta^* = \alpha\beta,$$

and, by uniqueness, we have that  $\mu_{N^*} = \beta$ , as desired.  $\square$

Now we can prove Theorem A, which we restate here.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Suppose that  $G$  is  $\pi$ -separable and let  $H$  be a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $G$ . If  $\alpha \in \text{IBr}(H)$  is  $G$ -stable, then  $\alpha$  extends to some Brauer character of  $G$ .*

**Proof.** We argue by double induction, first on  $|G : \mathbf{O}_\pi(G)|$  and second on  $|G|$ . Let  $N = \mathbf{O}_\pi(G)$  and let  $\theta \in \text{IBr}(N)$  be an irreducible constituent of  $\alpha_N$ . Let  $T$  be the stabilizer of  $\theta$  in  $G$ . We know that  $TH = G$  by Lemma 2.3. Let  $\beta \in \text{IBr}(T \cap H \mid \theta)$  be the Clifford correspondent of  $\alpha$  with respect to  $\theta$ . By Lemma 2.4, we know that  $\beta$  is  $T$ -stable. Assume first that  $T < G$ . Then  $|T : \mathbf{O}_\pi(T)| < |G : N|$ , and by induction we have that  $\beta$  extends to some  $\xi \in \text{IBr}(T)$ . Now, by Mackey, we have that

$$(\xi^G)_H = (\xi_{T \cap H})^H = \beta^H = \alpha,$$

as desired. So we may assume that  $T = G$ . Now, by Theorem 2.5, let  $(G^*, N^*, \theta^*)$  be an isomorphic modular character triple with  $N^*$  a central  $\pi$ -group. Since  $G/N$  and  $G^*/N^*$  are isomorphic, we have in fact that  $N^* = \mathbf{O}_\pi(G^*)$ . Let  $M = \mathbf{O}_{\pi'}(G^*)$ . If  $M = 1$ , then  $G^* = \mathbf{C}_{G^*}(N^*) \subseteq N^*$ , and in this case we are clearly done. So we may assume that  $M > 1$ . Now, we work in  $G^*/M$ . Let  $N^* \subseteq H^* \subseteq G^*$  correspond to  $H$ . Of course, notice that  $H^*$  is a Hall subgroup of  $G^*$ . Suppose that  $\alpha^* \in \text{IBr}(H^*)$  corresponds to  $\alpha$ . By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.1, we know that  $\alpha$  extends to some  $\xi \in \text{cf}(G^0 \mid \theta)$ . By the properties of modular character triples, we have that  $\xi^*$  extends  $\alpha^*$ . Therefore, we have that  $\alpha^*$  is  $G^*$ -stable. Since  $H^* \cap M = 1$ , it follows that  $\alpha^*$  uniquely extends to some Brauer character  $\bar{\alpha} \in \text{IBr}(H^*M)$  that contains  $M$  in its kernel. View  $\bar{\alpha}$  as an irreducible Brauer character of  $H^*M/M$  and notice that  $\bar{\alpha}$  is  $G^*/M$ -stable. Now,  $|G^*/M : \mathbf{O}_\pi(G^*/M)| < |G : N|$ , and by induction we have that  $\bar{\alpha}$  extends to some Brauer character  $\mu$  of  $G^*$ . Hence,  $\alpha^*$  extends to  $G^*$ . Since  $\mu$  necessarily lies over  $\theta^*$ , it follows that  $\alpha$  extends to some Brauer character of  $G$ .  $\square$

Next is Corollary B of § 1.

**Corollary 2.7.** *Suppose that  $G$  is  $\pi$ -separable and let  $H$  be a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Then every irreducible Brauer character of  $H$  extends to some Brauer character of  $G$  if and only if whenever  $x, y \in H$  are  $p$ -regular and  $G$ -conjugate, then  $x$  and  $y$  are  $H$ -conjugate.*

**Proof.** If  $H$  controls  $G$ -fusion on  $p$ -regular elements, it is clear that every irreducible Brauer character of  $H$  is  $G$ -stable. In this case, by Theorem A, every irreducible Brauer character of  $H$  extends to some Brauer character of  $G$ . On the other hand, assume that every irreducible Brauer character of  $H$  extends to some Brauer character of  $G$ . Then every irreducible Brauer character of  $H$  is  $G$ -stable. Suppose now that  $x, y \in H$  are  $p$ -regular and  $G$ -conjugate. Then  $\alpha(x) = \alpha(y)$  for every  $\alpha \in \text{IBr}(H)$ . In this case,  $x$  and  $y$  are  $H$ -conjugate, since the Brauer character table of  $H$  is an invertible matrix (and, therefore, cannot have two identical columns).  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** Research partly supported by DGICYT.

### References

1. P. X. GALLAGHER, Group characters and normal Hall subgroups, *Nagoya Math. J.* **21** (1962), 223–230.
2. I. M. ISAACS, Induction and restriction of  $\pi$ -special characters, *Can. J. Math.* **38** (1986), 576–604.
3. I. M. ISAACS, Partial characters of  $\pi$ -separable groups, *Progr. Math.* **95** (1991), 273–287.
4. G. NAVARRO, *Characters and blocks of finite groups* (Cambridge University Press, 1998).