A NOTE ON DEGREE SEQUENCES OF GRAPHS

BY RICHARD A. BRUALDI¹

ABSTRACT. Sufficient conditions for a sequence of numbers to be the degree sequence of a graph are derived from the Erdos-Gallai theorem on degree sequences of graphs.

Let d_1, \ldots, d_n be a sequence of non-negative integers which is the degree sequence of a graph G. It then follows that $n-1-d_1, \ldots, n-1-d_n$ is also the degree sequence of a graph (the complement of G works). Hence there exists a smallest positive integer $\Delta(d_1, \ldots, d_n)$ such that

$$\Delta(d_1,\ldots,d_n)-d_1,\ldots,\Delta(d_1,\ldots,d_n)-d_n$$

is the degree sequence of a graph where

(1)
$$\max_{1\leq i\leq n} d_i \leq \Delta(d_1,\ldots,d_n) \leq n-1.$$

We extend the domain of definition of $\Delta(d_1, \ldots, d_n)$ to include every sequence of *n* non-negative integers by defining $\Delta(d_1, \ldots, d_n)$ to be ∞ when there is no graph whose degree sequence is $s-d_1, \ldots, s-d_n$ for any non-negative integer *s*.

The purpose of this note is to derive the following theorem and its corollaries which give some information about $\Delta(d_1, \ldots, d_n)$. Lest there be some misunderstanding we remark that in a graph an edge joins distinct vertices and there is at most one edge joining a pair of vertices.

THEOREM. Let n > 1. Let d_1, \ldots, d_n be a sequence of positive integers and let $m = d_1 + \cdots + d_n$. Suppose Δ is an integer satisfying

(2)
$$n-1+d_i \ge \Delta \ge d_i \qquad (i=1,\ldots,n),$$

(3)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\Delta - d_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$

(4)
$$\Delta^2 - \Delta(n+1) + m \le 0$$

Then $\Delta - d_1, \ldots, \Delta - d_n$ is the degree sequence of a graph.

⁽¹⁾ Research partially supported by National Science Foundation Grant No. MCS 76-06374 A0 1.

Received by the editors February 15, 1978 and, in revised form, January 3, 1979.

REMARK. Let $e_i = \Delta - d_i$ (i = 1, ..., n) A reformulation of the theorem is: If (2') $n - 1 \ge e_i \ge 0$ (i = 1, ..., n), (3') $e_1 + \cdots + e_n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, and (4) hold, then e_1, \ldots, e_n is the degree sequence of a graph.

Proof. There is no loss in generality in assuming that $d_1 \ge \cdots \ge d_n$. Since (2) is satisfied, to prove the theorem it suffices to show that the 'complementary' sequence $n-1-\Delta+d_1, \ldots, n-1-\Delta+d_n$ is the degree sequence of a graph. Applying the Erdös-Gallai theorem [2, p. 59] we see that $n-1-\Delta+d_1, \ldots, n-1-\Delta+d_n$ is the degree sequence of a graph if and only if (3) is satisfied and

(5)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (n-1-\Delta+d_i) \le k(k-1) + \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \min\{k, n-1-\Delta+d_j\}$$
 $(k=1,\ldots,n).$

The inequalities (5) are equivalent to the inequalites

(6)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i \leq k(\Delta + k - n) + \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \min\{k, n-1-\Delta + d_j\}$$
 $(k = 1, ..., n\}.$

Let k be a fixed integer with $1 \le k \le n$. Suppose t is an integer with $k+1 \le t \le n$ such that

(7)
$$d_{n-t+1}, \ldots, d_n \le k + \Delta - n$$
$$d_{k+1}, \ldots, d_{n-t} \ge k + \Delta - n + 1$$

Then inequality (6) for k is equivalent to

(8)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i \leq \sum_{j=n-t+1}^{n} d_j + t(n-1-\Delta) + (\Delta - t)k.$$

Now using (7) we see that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i = m - \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} d_j \le m - \left(\sum_{j=n-i+1}^{n} d_j + (n-k-i)(k+\Delta-n+1)\right).$$

Hence (8) will be satisfied if

$$m - \left(\sum_{j=n-t+1}^{n} d_j + (n-k-t)(k+\Delta-n+1)\right) \le \sum_{j=n-t+1}^{n} d_j + t(n-1-\Delta) + (\Delta-t)k,$$

or, equivalently,

(9)
$$2\sum_{j=n-t+1}^{n} (k+\Delta+1-n-d_j) \le (n-k)(k+\Delta-n+1)+\Delta k-m.$$

From the assumption that d_1, \ldots, d_n are positive integers it follows that

(10)
$$k+\Delta+1-n-d_j \leq k+\Delta-n \qquad (j=n-t+1,\ldots,n).$$

Thus since $t \le n - k$, it follows that (5) is satisfied if

$$2(n-k)(k+\Delta-n) \leq (n-k)(k+\Delta-n+1) + \Delta k - m \qquad (k=1,\ldots,n),$$

or, equivalently,

(11)
$$k^2 - (2n - 2\Delta + 1)k + (n^2 + n - n\Delta - m) \ge 0$$
 $(k = 1, ..., n).$

Let

$$f(x) = x^2 - (2n - 2\Delta + 1)x + (n^2 + n - n\Delta - m).$$

Then the minimum value of f(x) for integer x occurs at $x = n - \Delta$ and equals $-\Delta^2 + \Delta(n+1) - m$. Hence (11) is satisfied provided

(12)
$$-\Delta^2 + \Delta(n+1) - m \ge 0,$$

which is equivalent to (4). The theorem now follows.

Suppose we replace the assumption in the statement of the theorem that d_1, \ldots, d_n are positive integers by the assumption d_1, \ldots, d_n are non-negative integers. Then the following changes occur. Inequality (10) is replaced by

$$k+\Delta+1-n-d_i\leq k+\Delta+1-n \qquad (j=n-t+1,\ldots,n);$$

inequality (11) is replaced by

$$k^{2} - (2n - 2\Delta - 1)k + (n^{2} - n - n\Delta - m) \ge 0 \qquad (k = 1, ..., n);$$

and inequality (12) is replaced by

$$-\Delta^2 + \Delta(n-1) - m \ge 0.$$

Hence we have the following.

COROLLARY 1. Let n > 1. Let d_1, \ldots, d_n be a sequence of non-negative integers and let $m = d_1 + \cdots + d_n$. Suppose Δ is an integer satisfying (2), (3) and

(13)
$$\Delta^2 - \Delta(n-1) + m \le 0.$$

Then $\Delta - d_1, \ldots, \Delta - d_n$ is the degree sequence of a graph.

COROLLARY 2. Let d_1, \ldots, d_n be a sequence of positive integers such that $m = d_1 + \cdots + d_n \le 2n$. Let Δ be an integer with $\Delta \le n - 1$. Then $\Delta - d_1, \ldots, \Delta - d_n$ is the degree sequence of a graph if and only if $\Delta \ge d_i$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$ and (3) holds.

Proof. If $\Delta - d_1, \ldots, \Delta - d_n$ is the degree sequence of a graph, then surely $\Delta \ge d_i$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$ and (3) holds. Now suppose that $\Delta \ge d_i$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$ and (3) holds. First suppose that $\Delta = 1$. It then follows that $d_i = 1$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$ and hence $\Delta - d_i = 0$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$. The conclusion follows trivially in this case. Next suppose $\Delta = 2$. Then $\Delta - d_1, \ldots, \Delta - d_n$ is a sequence of 0's and 1's with an even number of terms equal to 1. It follows easily that $\Delta - d_1, \ldots, \Delta - d_n$ is the degree sequence of a graph. Now suppose $\Delta = n - 1$. From (3) we see that

$$n(n-1) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2};$$

hence it follows that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. It now follows readily by induction that d_1, \ldots, d_n is the degree sequence of a graph. Hence $\Delta - d_1, \ldots, \Delta - d_n$ is the degree sequence of a graph. Thus we may assume that $3 \leq \Delta \leq n-2$. Let m = 2(n-1) + p where $-(n-2) \leq p \leq 2$. To complete the proof it suffices by the theorem to show that (4) is satisfied. We calculate that

$$\Delta^2 - \Delta(n+1) + m = (\Delta - 2)(\Delta - (n-1)) + p.$$

Since $p \le 2$ and $3 \le \Delta \le n-2$, (4) is satisfied unless $\Delta = 3$, $\Delta = n-2$, and p = 2 all hold. If the latter conditions hold, then n = 5, m = 10 and

$$\sum_{i=1}^5 (\Delta - d_i) = 5,$$

contradicting (3). Thus (4) holds and $\Delta - d_1, \ldots, \Delta - d_n$ is the degree sequence of a graph.

COROLLARY 3. Let d_1, \ldots, d_n be a sequence of positive integers such that $d_1 + \cdots + d_n \leq 2n$. Let Δ be an integer such that $n-1 \geq \Delta \geq d_i$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$. Then there exists a regular graph G of degree Δ with n vertices having a spanning subgraph H with degree sequence d_1, \ldots, d_n if and only if

(14)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i \equiv n\Delta \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

Proof. If graphs G and H as specified in the corollary exist, then clearly (14) holds. Now suppose (14) is satisfied. It follows easily by induction on n that there exists a graph with degree sequence d_1, \ldots, d_n and hence a graph with degree sequence $n-1-d_1, \ldots, n-1-d_n$. It follows from Corollary 2 that there exists a graph with degree sequence $\Delta - d_1, \ldots, \Delta - d_n$. Since $n-1-d_i - (\Delta - d_i) = n - 1 - \Delta \ge 0$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$, it follows from the 'k-factor theorem' [3, 4] with $k = n - 1 - \Delta$ that there exists a graph H' with degree sequence $n-1-d_1, \ldots, n-1-d_n$ having a spanning subgraph G' which is regular of degree $n-1-\Delta$. Hence the complement G of G' is a regular graph of degree Δ having the complement H of H' as a spanning subgraph where the degree sequence of H is d_1, \ldots, d_n .

COROLLARY 4. Let n be an even integer and let d_1, \ldots, d_n be a sequence of positive integers with $m = d_1 + \cdots + d_n \le \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^2$. There exists a graph with degree sequence $\frac{n}{2} - d_1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2} - d_n$ [respectively, $\frac{n+2}{2} - d_1, \ldots, \frac{n+2}{2} - d_n$] if and only if m is even and $\frac{n}{2} - d_i \ge 0$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$ [respectively, $\frac{n+2}{2} - d_i \ge 0$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$].

A NOTE ON DEGREE SEQUENCES OF GRAPHS

Proof. The quadratic polynomial $x^2 - x(n+1) + m$ has roots

$$r_1 = \frac{n+1+\sqrt{(n+1)^2-4m}}{2}, \qquad r_2 = \frac{n+1-\sqrt{(n+1)^2-4m}}{2}$$

which are real since $m \le \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^2$. Since *n* is even, $(n+1)^2 - 4m \ge 1$, and hence $r_1 \ge \frac{n+2}{2} \ge \frac{n}{2} \ge r_2$. The corollary now follows by applying the theorem with $\Delta = \frac{n}{2}$ and $\Delta = \frac{n+2}{2}$.

Let d_1, \ldots, d_n be a sequence of positive integers where *n* is an even integer. Let $m = d_1 + \cdots + d_n \le \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^2$. Then Corollary 4 has the following consequences. If *m* is odd, then $\Delta(d_1, \ldots, d_n) = \infty$. If *m* is even, then $\Delta(d_1, \ldots, d_n) \le \frac{n}{2}$ if $d_i \le \frac{n}{2}$ ($i = 1, \ldots, n$) while $\Delta(d_1, \ldots, d_n) \le \frac{n+2}{2}$ if $d_i \le \frac{n+2}{2}$ ($i = 1, \ldots, n$).

COROLLARY 5. Let n be an odd integer and let d_1, \ldots, d_n be a sequence of positive integers with $m = d_1 + \cdots + d_n \leq \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^2$.

(a) Let $m = \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^2$. There exists a graph with degree sequence $\frac{n+1}{2} - d_1, \dots, \frac{n+1}{2} - d_n$ if and only if $\frac{n+1}{2} - d_i \ge 0$ $(i = 1, \dots, n)$.

(b) Let m be odd with $m < \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^2$. There exists a graph with degree sequence $\frac{n+1}{2} - d_1, \ldots, \frac{n+1}{2} - d_n$ if and only if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $\frac{n+1}{2} - d_i \ge 0$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$. There exists a graph with degree sequence $\frac{n-1}{2} - d_i, \ldots, \frac{n-1}{2} - d_n \left[\text{respectively}, \frac{n+3}{2} - d_1, \ldots, \frac{n+3}{2} - d_n \right]$ if and only if $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $\frac{n-1}{2} - d_i \ge 0$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n) \left[\text{respectively}, \frac{n+3}{2} - d_i \ge 0 \right]$

(c) Let m be even with $m < \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^2$. There exists a graph with degree sequence $\frac{n+1}{2} - d_1, \ldots, \frac{n+1}{2} - d_n$ if and only if $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $\frac{n+1}{2} - d_i \ge 0$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$. There exists a graph with degree sequence

1980]

$$\frac{n-1}{2} - d_1, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2} - d_n \left[\text{respectively } \frac{n+3}{2} - d_1, \dots, \frac{n+3}{2} - d_n \right] \text{ if and}$$

only if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $\frac{n-1}{2} - d_i \ge 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n) \left[\text{respectively,} \frac{n+3}{2} - d_i \ge 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n) \right].$

Proof. Let r_1 and r_2 be defined as in the proof of Corollary 4.

- (a) In this case $r_1 = r_2 = \frac{n+1}{2}$ and the conclusion follows by applying the theorem with $\Delta = \frac{n+1}{2}$.
- (b) Since $m < \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^2$ and *n* is odd, it follows that $(n+1)^2 4m \ge 4$ and hence that $r_1 \ge \frac{n+3}{2}$, $r_2 \le \frac{n-1}{2}$. Thus $\Delta = \frac{n-1}{2}$, $\frac{n+1}{2}$, $\frac{n+3}{2}$ all satisfy (6). Then conclusions now follow from the theorem using the assumptions that n and m are both odd.
- (c) Again $\Delta = \frac{n-1}{2}$, $\frac{n+1}{2}$, $\frac{n+3}{2}$ all satisfy (6) and the conclusions follow from the theorem using the assumptions that n is odd and m is even.

Let d_1, \ldots, d_n be a sequence of positive integers where n is an odd integer. Let $m = d_1 + \cdots + d_n \le \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^2$. From corollary 5 we obtain the following. If $m = \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^2$, then $\Delta(d_1, \ldots, d_n) \le \frac{n+1}{2}$ if $d_i \le \frac{n+1}{2}$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$. If *m* is odd and $m < \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^2$, then $\Delta(d_1, ..., d_n) \le \frac{n+1}{2}$ if $d_i \le \frac{n+1}{2}$ (i = 1, ..., n) and $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ while $\Delta(d_1, \ldots, d_n) \leq \frac{n-1}{2} \left(\text{respectively}, \frac{n+3}{2} \right)$ if $d_i \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$ $\left(\text{respectively}, \frac{n+3}{2}\right)$ $(i=1,\ldots,n)$ and $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. If m is even and m < 1 $\frac{n+1}{2}$, then $\Delta(d_1, \ldots, d_n) \le \frac{n+1}{2}$ if $d_i \le \frac{n+1}{2}$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$ and $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ while $\Delta(d_1, \ldots, d_n) \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$ (respectively, $\frac{n+3}{2}$) if $d_i \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$ (respectively, $\frac{n+3}{2}$ $(i=1,\ldots,n)$ and $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

For additional results on degree sequences of graphs, see Chapter 6 of [1] and the references given there.

March

References

1. C. Berge, Graphs and Hypergraphs, North Holland (Amsterdam), 1973.

2. F. Harary, Graph Theory, Addison-Wesley (Reading, Mass.), 1969.

3. S. Kundu, The k-factor conjecture is true, Discrete Math. 6 (1973), 367-376.

4. L. Lovász, Valencies of graphs with 1-factors, Periodica Math. Hungarica 5 (2) (1974), 149-151.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN VAN VLECK HALL MADISON-WISCONSIN 53706