## A NOTE ON GENERALIZED UNIQUE EXTENSION OF MEASURES\*

## DENG-YUAN HUANG

(Received 11 September 1970)

Communicated by J. B. Miller

In Theorem 1, we shall discuss some properties of semifinite measure, that is, the measure  $\mu$  on a ring R of sets with the property that, for every E in R,  $\mu(E)$  is equal to the least upper bound of  $\mu(F)$  where F runs over sets such that F is in R ( $F \subset E$ ) and  $\mu(F) < \infty$ . Let  $\sigma(R)$  be the  $\sigma$ -ring generated by R. To prove Theorem 2 we shall use the uniqueness theorem in Luther's paper [2], which is stated as a lemma in this paper. Theorem 2 is to the effect that for measures  $\mu_1$ and  $\mu_2$  on  $\sigma(R)$ ,  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$  on R implies  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$  provided that  $\overline{\mu_i/R}$  (i = 1,2) is semifinite on  $\sigma(R)$ . Here  $\overline{\mu_i/R}$  is the restriction, on  $\sigma(R)$ , of the outer measure ( $\mu_i/R$ )\* induced by the restricted measure  $\mu_i/R$  of  $\mu_i$  on R. Definitions of terms are the same as [1] and [2].

Fix a set X. Let R be a ring of subsets of X and  $\mu$  a measure on R. Let  $\sigma(R)$  be the  $\sigma$ -ring generated by R,  $\mu^*$  the outer measure induced by  $\mu$  on the hereditary  $\sigma$ -ring H(R) generated by R and let  $\bar{\mu}$  be the restriction of  $\mu^*$  to  $\sigma(R)$ , that is,  $\bar{\mu} = \mu^* / \sigma(R)$ . Then  $\bar{\mu}$  is a measure on  $\sigma(R)$ . In [2] Luther showed that semifiniteness of  $\bar{\mu}$  implies that of  $\mu$  on R and that the semifiniteness of  $\mu$  can not imply that of  $\bar{\mu}$ . We can prove the following:

THEOREM 1. If the measure  $\mu$  is semifinite on R and if for every  $A \in \sigma(R)$ there is an F in R ( $F \subset A$ ) such that  $\overline{\mu}(A) = \mu(F)$  then  $\overline{\mu}$  is semifinite.

**PROOF.** For every A in  $\sigma(R)$ , there is an F in R ( $F \subset A$ ) such that

$$\begin{split} \bar{\mu}(A) &= \mu(F) \\ &= \sup \left\{ \mu(G) \colon G \subset F, \, \mu(G) < \infty, \, G \in R \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \bar{\mu}(G) \colon G \subset A, \, \bar{\mu}(G) < \infty, \, G \in \sigma(R) \right\} \\ &\leq \bar{\mu}(A). \end{split}$$

Hence  $\bar{\mu}$  is semifinite.

**REMARK.** The converse of Theorem 1 is not true. For example, let X = [0, 1],

\* It was supported by NSC of the Republic of China.

$$R_n = \left\{ A : A \text{ is Lebesgue measurable and } A \supset \left[0, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n+1}\right] \text{ or} \right.$$
$$A \subset \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n+1}, 1\right) \right\},$$

 $(n = 1, 2, \dots)$  and let  $R = \bigcup_{1}^{\infty} R_n$ . Then R is a ring (actually is an algebra). Let  $\mu$  be the Lebesgue measure restricted to R. Then  $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$  is in  $\sigma(R)$ , so  $F \subset [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ , F in R (and  $\mu(F) < \infty$ ) implies  $F = \emptyset$ .\* Further, semifiniteness of  $\mu$  can not imply that A in  $\sigma(R)$  yields the existence of an E in R such that  $\overline{\mu}(A) = \mu(E)$ . Moreover, we can not get semifiniteness of  $\mu$  even if also A in  $\sigma(R)$  implies the existence of an F in R ( $F \subset A$ ) satisfying  $\overline{\mu}(A) = \mu(F)$ . For example, let X be any infinite set and R the ring of all finite subsets of X. Define  $\mu$  on R by

$$\mu(E) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } E = \emptyset, \\ \infty & \text{if } E \neq \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

The following lemma is due to Luther [2].

LEMMA. Let  $\mu$  be a measure on a ring R. If  $\overline{\mu}$  is semifinite on  $\sigma(R)$  then there exists a unique extension of  $\mu$  to  $\sigma(R)$ .

By using this lemma we shall prove the following:

THEOREM 2. Let  $\mu_i (i = 1, 2, \cdots)$  be measures on  $\sigma(R)$ . If  $\overline{\mu_i/R}$  (i = 1, 2) is semifinite on  $\sigma(R)$  and if  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$  on R, then  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $M = \{E \in \sigma(R) : \mu_1(E) \leq \mu_2(E)\}$ . Clearly,  $M \supset R$ . First we note that, if  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are finite measures on  $\sigma(R)$ , then  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$  on  $\sigma(R)$ . In fact, it is easy to see that M is a monotone class. Hence  $M \supset \sigma(R)$ . This proves that

(i) for finite measures  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ ,  $\mu_1(E) \leq \mu_2(E)$  for all  $E \in \sigma(R)$ .

Let  $v_i = \mu_i / R$  (i = 1, 2). Then  $\bar{v}_i$  is semifinite and  $\mu_i = \bar{v}_i$  on R. By the lemma, we can obtain

(ii)  $\bar{v}_i = \mu_i$  on  $\sigma(R)$  for i = 1, 2.

Choose  $E \in \sigma(R)$ ; in proving that  $\mu_1(E) \leq \mu_2(E)$ , one may assume that  $\mu_2(E) < \infty$ . By semifiniteness of  $\bar{v}_1$ , we can find  $F \in \sigma(R)$  ( $F \subset E$ ) with  $\bar{v}_1$ - $\sigma$ -finite measure such that  $\bar{v}_1(E) = \bar{v}_1(F)$ . Hence there is a sequence  $\{F_n\}$  of sets in R such that  $F \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_n$  and  $v_1(F_n) < \infty$ . Since by (ii)

$$\bar{v}_2(F) = \mu_2(F) \leq \mu_2(E) < \infty,$$

there is a sequence  $\{G_n\}$  of sets in R such that  $F \subset \bigcup_{1}^{\infty} G_n$  and  $v_2(G_n) < \infty$ . Hence we can suppose that

\* I know this example from Dr. N. Y. Luther.

$$F \subset \bigcup_{1}^{\infty} H_n, H_n \in \mathbb{R}, v_i(H_n) < \infty \ (i = 1, 2; n = 1, 2, \cdots) \text{ and } H_j \cap H_k = \emptyset \ (j \neq k).$$

Therefore we see  $F = \bigcup_{1}^{\infty} (H_n \cap F)$  and  $\mu_i(H_n) < \infty$  and we get

$$\mu_{1}(F) = \sum_{1}^{\infty} \mu_{1}(H_{n} \cap F) = \sum_{1}^{\infty} (\mu_{1})_{H_{n}}(F) \leq \sum_{1}^{\infty} (\mu_{2})_{H_{n}}(F) \quad (by (i))$$
$$= \sum_{1}^{\infty} \mu_{2}(H_{n} \cap F) = \mu_{2}(F) \leq \mu_{2}(E),$$

which leads to the required inequality,

$$\mu_1(E) = \bar{v}_1(E) = \bar{v}_1(F) = \mu_1(F) \le \mu_2(E).$$
 (by (ii))

REMARK. If we drop the hypothesis that  $\overline{\mu_i/R}$  is semifinite, then the result is false, even though  $\overline{\mu}_1$  and  $\overline{\mu}_2$  are semifinite or  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are  $\sigma$ -finite, as the following example shows.

EXAMPLE. Let R be a ring of subsets of a countable set X with the property that every non-empty set in R is infinite and such that  $\sigma(R)$  is the class of all subsets of X. If, for every subset E of X,  $\mu_1(E)$  is the number of points in E and  $\mu_2(E)$  $= \frac{1}{2}\mu_1(E)$ , then  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are  $\sigma$ -finite on  $\sigma(R)$  and  $\overline{\mu_1/R}$  and  $\overline{\mu_2/R}$  are not semifinite but  $\overline{\mu_i} = \mu_i$  (i = 1,2) is  $\sigma$ -finite (hence semifinite) on  $\sigma(R)$ . In this case  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$  on R but  $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2$  and  $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$  on  $\sigma(R)$ .

COR. 1. Suppose R is a ring, and  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are measures on  $\sigma(R)$  such that (i)  $\mu_1(E) \leq \mu_2(E)$  for all E in R, and (ii)  $\mu_i/R$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. Then  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$  on  $\sigma(R)$ .

**PROOF.** Obviously,  $\overline{\mu_i/R}$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and hence semifinite.

COR. 2. Let  $\mu_i$  (i = 1,2) be measure on  $\sigma(R)$ . If  $\mu_i/R$  (i = 1,2) is semifinite and for every A in  $\sigma(R)$  there is an F in R  $(F \subset A)$  such that

$$\mu_i/R(A) = \mu_i/R(F)$$

and if  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$  on R, then  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$ .

**PROOF.** By Theorem 1,  $\overline{\mu_i/R}$  (i = 1,2) is semifinite, and by Theorem 2, we get  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$ .

## References

S. K. Berberian, *Measure and Integration*, Macmillan (New York, 1965).
N. Y. Luther, 'Unique Extension and Product Measures', *Canad. J. Math.* 19 (1967), 757–763.

Institute Of Mathematics Academia Sinica Republic Of China

[3]