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§1. Introduction. In [2] and [3] the homology of reduced product spaces of certain type of polyhedra was studied. Let $X^{n}=X \times X \times \cdots \times X$ be the Cartesian product of $n$ copies of a topological space $X$. Let $T=\left\{1, t, t^{2}, \ldots, t^{n-1}\right\}$ be the cyclic group of order $n$ acting on $X^{n}$ as:

$$
t\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{1}\right)
$$

Let us denote a point in $X^{n}$ by $\bar{x}$. The fat diagonal $F_{n}(X)$ of $X^{n}$ is defined as: $F_{n}(X)=\left\{\bar{x} \in X^{n} \mid t^{i} \bar{x}=\bar{x}\right.$ for some $\left.i \not \equiv 0 \bmod n\right\}$. The $n$-special reduced product space $X_{*}^{n}=X^{n}-F_{n}(X)$.
In this paper we investigate the homology of $X_{*}^{n}$, where $X=R^{k}$, the $k$-dimensional Euclidean space.
§2. The main theorem. Let $n$ be an integer such that $n=P_{1} P_{2} \cdots P_{m}$ where $P$ 's are distinct prime numbers. We consider $R_{*}^{n}=R^{n}-F_{n}(R)$. Let $t: R^{n} \rightarrow R^{n}$ be considered as an orthogonal linear transformation. Because $t$ has a cyclic vector [ $1, \mathrm{p} .199$ ] the minimal polynomial of $t$ is the characteristic polynomial. Hence from the primary decomposition theorem and rational decomposition theorem we may find invariant subspaces $W_{0}, W_{1}, \ldots, W_{m}, V$ such that

$$
R^{n}=W_{0} \oplus W_{1} \oplus W_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{m} \oplus V
$$

where $W_{0}$ is one-dimensional and $t \mid W_{0}$ is the identity. $W_{i}$ is ( $P_{i}-1$ )-dimensional with zero as the only fixed point with respect to $\left\{t, t^{2}, \ldots, t^{P_{i}-1}\right\}$, and $W_{0} \oplus W_{i(1)} \oplus$ $W_{i(2)} \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{i(k)}$, where $\{i(1), i(2), \ldots, i(k)\}$ is a subset of $\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$, is the set of vectors fixed under $t^{P_{i(1)} P_{i(2)} \ldots P_{i(k)}}$ and finally, $V$ is an invariant subspace of even dimension $2 l=n-\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(P_{i}-1\right)-1$ for which zero is the only fixed point. If $P_{i}$ is odd, then $W_{i}$ may be further decomposed into a direct sum of planes $H_{i, j}, j=1,2, \ldots, m(i)$, on each of which $t$ is a rotation of order $P_{i}$, and if $P_{i}$ is even, $W_{i}$ is a line. Decompose $V$ into a direct sum of planes $K_{h}, h=1,2, \ldots, l$, on each of which $t$ is a rotation of order $n$. Then $R^{n}$ may be mapped homeomorphically into the compact polyhedron $C$ in $R^{n}$ where each $H_{i, j}, K_{h}$ goes onto the interior of a $\left(P_{i}-1\right)$-gon $\tilde{H}_{i, j}$ (if $P_{i}$ is even for some $i$, let $\tilde{H}_{i, 1}$ denote the closed

[^0]interval $I=[-1,1]$ so that $W_{i}$ goes into $\left.\tilde{H}_{i, 1}\right)$ and an $n$-gon $\widetilde{K}$. Thus, $R^{n}$ is mapped homeomorphically onto the interior of $C=I \times \prod_{i, j} \tilde{H}_{i, j} \times \widetilde{K}^{l}$. Let $\tilde{H}_{i}=\prod_{j=1}^{m(i)} \widetilde{H}_{i, j}$, so $C=I \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \tilde{H}_{i} \times \tilde{K}^{l}$. The set of fixed points in $C$ with respect to $T$ is denoted by $F_{c}$ and consists of the vectors of the form $\bar{x}=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}, 0\right)$ where $x_{0} \in[-1,1], x_{i} \in \tilde{H}_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, m$, with at least one $x_{i}=0$, and $0 \in \widetilde{K}^{l}$. Notice that $R_{*}^{n}$ is mapped homeomorphically into $C-F_{c}$ and that
$$
H_{*}\left(R_{*}^{n} ; G\right) \cong H_{*}\left(C-F_{c} ; G\right)
$$

Let $C^{*}$ be the cell complex formed from $C$ by taking those faces of $C$ which do not intersect $F_{c}$.

Lemma 1. $C^{*}$ is a deformation retract of $C-F_{c}$.
Proof. Assume that $\tilde{H}_{i, j}$, and $\widetilde{K}$ are given obvious simplicial structure with 0 as a vertex in each except $I$. The vertices of $I$ are $v_{11}=(-1,0, \ldots, 0)$ and $v_{1,2}=$ $(1,0, \ldots, 0)$ and index the vertices of $C$ in $F_{c}$ as follows: Firstly, we divide the set of the vertices of $C$ in $F_{c}$ into $m$ categories. In the following sentences Cat stands for category. $\operatorname{Cat}(1)=\left\{v_{11}, v_{12}\right\}$. The vertices of $\operatorname{Cat}(k), k=2,3, \ldots, m$, are those vertices $\bar{x}$ in $F_{c}$ (i.e., $\bar{x}=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}, 0\right)$, where $x_{0}=-1$ or $1, x_{i} \in \tilde{H}_{i}$ for $i>0,0 \in \widetilde{K}^{l}$ ) which have exactly $k$ components $x_{i}$ nonzero in the decomposition $I \times \tilde{H}_{1} \times \tilde{H}_{2} \times \cdots \times \tilde{H}_{m} \times \widetilde{K}^{l}$. Order the vertices in $F_{c}$ such that the vertices of $\operatorname{Cat}(k)$ preceed those of $\operatorname{Cat}(k+1)$. Let $\left\{\bar{v}_{k 1}<\cdots<\bar{v}_{k s(k)}\right\}$ be the vertices of $\operatorname{Cat}(k)$. Define for each $\bar{x} \in C-F_{c}$ the cell $C(\bar{x})$ containing $\bar{x}$ which is minimal with respect to this property of containing $\bar{x}$, that is, if $D$ is a cell containing $\bar{x}$, then $C(\bar{x})$ is a face of $D$. Let $P_{k, q}:\left(C-F_{c}\right) \rightarrow\left(C-F_{c}\right)$ be defined as follows:
(i) if $\bar{v}_{k q} \notin C(\bar{x})$, then $P_{k, q}(\bar{x})=\bar{x}$
(ii) if $\bar{v}_{k q} \in C(\bar{x})$, then $P_{k, q}(x)$ is the foot of the projection of $\bar{x}$ along the radius ray $\left|\bar{x}, \bar{v}_{k q}\right|$ into the face of $C(\bar{x})$ not containing $\bar{v}_{k_{q}}$.

Let $r_{k}=P_{k, s(k)} \circ \cdots \circ P_{k, 1}$ and $r=r_{m} \circ \cdots \circ r_{1}$. By the construction of $P_{k, q}$, $C\left(r_{k} \circ r_{k-1} \circ \cdots \circ r_{1}(\bar{x})\right)$ does not contain the vertices of $\operatorname{Cat}(j)$ for $j \leq k$. Hence $r$ takes $C-F_{c}$ into $C^{*}$. Notice that if $C\left(P_{k+1, j} \circ \cdots \circ P_{k+1,1} \circ r_{k} \circ \cdots \circ r_{1}(\bar{x})\right)$ contains vertices of $\operatorname{Cat}(k+1)$, they are all such that their nonzero components lie in precisely the same $\tilde{H}_{i}$ 's because, if not, the above cell would contain at least one vertices of $\operatorname{Cat}(q)$ where $q \leq k$, which is a contradiction. Hence we may define a homotopy

$$
h_{k, Q}:\left(C-F_{c}\right) \times I \rightarrow\left(C-F_{c}\right)
$$

by
$h_{k, q}(\bar{x}, t)=(1-t)\left(P_{k, q-1} \circ \cdots \circ P_{k, 1} \circ r_{k-1} \circ \cdots \circ r_{1}(\bar{x})\right.$
where $k, q \geq 2$. $\quad+t\left(P_{k, q} \circ \cdots \circ P_{k, 1}{ }^{\circ} r_{k-1} \circ \cdots \circ r_{1}\right)(\bar{x})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{1,1}(\bar{x}, t)=(1-t) \bar{x}+t P_{1,1}(\bar{x}) \\
& h_{1,2}(\bar{x}, t)=(1-t) P_{1,1}(\bar{x})+t\left(P_{1,2} \circ P_{1,1}\right)(\bar{x}) \\
& h_{k, 1}(\bar{x}, t)=(1-t)\left(r_{k-1} \circ \cdots \circ r_{1}\right)(\bar{x})+t\left(P_{k, q} \circ r_{k-1} \circ \cdots \circ r_{1}\right)(\bar{x})
\end{aligned}
$$

where $k \geq 2$.

Then we define a homotopy $h:\left(C-F_{c}\right) \times I \rightarrow\left(C-F_{c}\right)$ by

$$
h=h_{m, s(m)} * \cdots * h_{m, 1} * \cdots * h_{2, s(2)} * \cdots * h_{2,1} * h_{1,2} * h_{1,1}
$$

where $*$ refers to the path product. It is clear that $h$ is the desired homotopy from the identity to $r$. This completes the proof of the Lemma.

Let us denote $\widetilde{K}^{l}$ by $\widetilde{K}_{1} \times \cdots \times \widetilde{K}_{l}$ where each $K_{j}=K$. Since $\operatorname{dim} C^{*}=n-1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
C^{*}= & \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{l} I \times \tilde{H}_{1} \times \cdots \times \tilde{H}_{m} \times \tilde{K}_{1} \times \cdots \times \dot{\tilde{K}}_{i} \times \cdots \times \tilde{K}_{l}\right) \\
& \cup\left(I \times \dot{\tilde{H}}_{1} \times \cdots \times \dot{\tilde{H}}_{m} \times \tilde{K}^{l}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where dot stands for the boundary, we have $C^{*}=I \times C^{* *}$, where $C^{* *}$ is a subcomplex of $\tilde{H}_{1} \times \cdots \times \tilde{H}_{m} \times \tilde{K}^{l}$ of dimension $n-2$. Hence

$$
H_{i}\left(C^{*}\right)=H_{0}(I) \otimes H_{i}\left(C^{* *}\right)=H_{i}\left(C^{* *}\right)
$$

Let

$$
A=\tilde{H}_{1} \times \cdots \times \tilde{H}_{m} \times \dot{\tilde{K}}^{l} \quad \text { and } \quad B=\dot{\tilde{H}}_{1} \times \cdots \times \dot{\tilde{H}}_{m} \times \tilde{K}^{l}
$$

Then

$$
C^{* *}=A \cup B \quad \text { and } \quad A \cap B=\dot{\tilde{H}}_{1} \times \cdots \times \dot{\tilde{H}}_{m} \times \dot{\tilde{K}}^{l}
$$

It is clear that $H_{i}(A \cap B ; G)=0$ for $i \geq \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(P_{i}-2\right)+2 l$ and $H_{i}(A \cap B) \neq 0$ for $i=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(P_{i}-2\right)+2 l-1$. Also we have

$$
H_{i}(A) \cong H_{i}\left(\dot{\tilde{K}}^{l}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad H_{i}(B) \cong H_{i}\left(\dot{\tilde{H}}_{1} \times \cdots \times \dot{\tilde{H}}_{m}\right)
$$

Thus by the Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$
\cdots \rightarrow H_{i}(A \cap B) \rightarrow H_{i}(A) \oplus H_{i}(B) \rightarrow H_{i}(A \cup B) \rightarrow H_{i-1}(A \cap B) \rightarrow \cdots
$$

we have

$$
H_{i}(A \cap B) \cong H_{i+1}(A \cup B)
$$

for $i>\max \left(2 l-1, \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(P_{i}-2\right)\right)+1=2 l$.
Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{i}\left(C^{*}\right) \cong H_{i}\left(C^{* *}\right)=0 \text { for } i \geq \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(P_{i}-2\right)+2 l+1 \\
& H_{i}\left(C^{*}\right) \cong H_{i}\left(C^{* *}\right) \neq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad i=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(P_{i}-2\right)+2 l
\end{aligned}
$$

Using $2 l=n-\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(P_{i}-1\right)-1$, Lemma 1 and the fact $H_{*}\left(R_{*}^{n} ; G\right) \cong H_{*}\left(C-F_{c} ; G\right)$, we have the following theorem:

## Theorem 1.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{i}\left(R_{*}^{n} ; G\right)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad i \geq n-m \\
H_{i}\left(R_{*}^{n} ; G\right) \neq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad i=n-m-1 .
\end{array}
$$

§3. Some remarks. Let $X=R^{k}$ and let $T$ act on $X^{n}$ as in $\S 1$ where

$$
n=P_{1} P_{2} \cdots P_{m}
$$

as in $\S 2$. Then by a similar argument as that in $\S 2$, we may prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{i}\left(X_{*}^{n} ; G\right)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad i \geq k n-k+1-m . \\
& H_{i}\left(X_{*}^{n} ; G\right) \neq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad i=k n-k-m .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
n=P_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} P_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots P_{m}^{\alpha_{m}}, \quad n^{\prime \prime}=P_{1} P_{2} \cdots P_{m}, \quad \text { and } \quad n^{\prime}=n / n^{\prime \prime} .
$$

Let $T=\left\{1, t, \ldots, t^{n-1}\right\}$ and $T^{\prime}=\left\{1, t^{n^{\prime}}, t^{2 n^{\prime}}, \ldots, t^{\left(n^{\prime \prime}-1\right) n^{\prime}}\right\}$. If $T$ acts on $X^{n}$ as in $\S 1$, then $T^{\prime}$ acts on $Y^{n^{\prime \prime}}$ where $Y=X^{n^{\prime}}$.

Lemma 2. Let $\alpha$ be the smallest positive integer in $\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $t^{\alpha}(\bar{x})=\bar{x}$ for some $\bar{x} \in X^{n}$, then $\alpha$ divides $n$.

Proof. Suppose $\alpha$ does not divide $n$. Then there exists positive integers $a$ and $b$ with $\alpha>b$ such that $n=a \alpha+b$. Then $\bar{x}=t^{n}(\bar{x})=t^{b}(\bar{x})$. This is a contradiction to the assumption that $\alpha$ is the smallest positive integer such that $t^{\alpha}(\bar{x})=\bar{x}$.

Lemma 3. $F_{n}(X)=F_{n^{\prime \prime}}\left(X^{n^{\prime}}\right)$ as subspaces of $X^{n}$.

Proof. That $F_{n}(X) \supseteq F_{n^{\prime \prime}}\left(X^{n^{\prime}}\right)$ is trivial since $T^{\prime} \subset T$.
Let $\bar{x} \in F_{n}(X)$. Then there exists $\alpha$ in $\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $t^{\alpha}(\bar{x})=\bar{x}$. We may assume that $\alpha$ is the smallest integer satisfying the above condition. Then by Lemma 2, $\alpha=P_{1}^{b_{1}}, \ldots, p_{m}^{b_{m}}$ where $b_{i} \leq \alpha_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$. If $b_{i} \leq \alpha_{i}-1$ for $i=$ $1,2, \ldots, m$, then $\alpha$ divides $n^{\prime}$. Thus $t^{n^{\prime}}(\bar{x})=\bar{x}$. Hence $\bar{x} \in F_{n^{\prime \prime}}\left(X^{n^{\prime}}\right)$. In the other case, by rearranging the order of $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}$ if necessary, we may assume that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
b_{j}=\alpha_{j} & j=1, \ldots, l \\
b_{j} \leq \alpha_{j}-1 & j=l+1, \ldots, m
\end{array}
$$

where $l$ is strictly less than $m$ since $\alpha \leq n-1$. Thus

$$
\alpha=P_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots P_{l}^{\alpha_{l}} P_{l+1}^{b_{l+1}} \cdots P_{m}^{b_{m}}
$$

Therefore $t^{P_{1} \ldots P_{i} n^{\prime}}(\bar{x})=\bar{x}$. Since $l$ is strictly less than $m$,

$$
t^{P_{1} \ldots P_{l} n^{\prime}} \neq 1 \quad \text { and } \quad t^{P_{1} \ldots P_{l}^{n^{\prime}}} \in T^{\prime}
$$

Hence $x \in F_{n^{n}}\left(X^{n^{\prime}}\right)$.

Theorem 3. If $n=P_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots P_{m}^{\alpha_{m}}$, then

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
H_{i}\left(R_{*}^{n} ; G\right)=0 & \text { for } \quad i \geq n-n^{\prime}+1-m \\
H_{i}\left(R_{*}^{n} ; G\right) \neq 0 & \text { for } \quad & i=n-n^{\prime}-m .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. Let $Y=N^{n^{\prime}}$. Then by Lemma 2, $R_{*}^{n}=Y_{*}^{n^{\prime \prime}}$. Hence by Theorem 2

$$
H_{i}\left(R_{*}^{n} ; G\right)=H_{i}\left(Y_{*}^{n^{\prime \prime}} ; G\right)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad i \geqq n^{\prime} n^{\prime \prime}-n^{\prime}+1-m=n-n^{\prime}+1-m
$$

and

$$
H_{i}\left(R_{*}^{n} ; G\right)=H_{i}\left(Y_{*}^{n^{\prime \prime}} ; G\right) \neq 0 \text { for } i=n^{\prime} n^{\prime \prime}-n^{\prime}-m=n-n^{\prime}-m
$$

Corollary 1. If $X=R^{k}, n=P_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots P_{m}^{\alpha_{m}}, n^{\prime \prime}=P_{1} \cdots P_{m}$, and $n^{\prime}=n / n^{\prime \prime}$ then

$$
H_{i}\left(X_{*}^{n} ; G\right)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad i \geq n k-n^{\prime} k-m+1
$$

and

$$
H_{i}\left(X_{*}^{n} ; G\right) \neq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad i=n k-n^{\prime} k-m
$$
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