# AN UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE FOR THE DUNKL TRANSFORM

### Margit Rösler

This note presents an analogue of the classical Heisenberg-Weyl uncertainty principle for the Dunkl transform on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Its proof is based on expansions with respect to generalised Hermite functions.

### 1. Introduction

The Dunkl transform is an integral transform on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  which generalises the classical Fourier transform. On suitable function spaces, it establishes a natural correspondence between the action of multiplication operators on one hand and so-called Dunkl operators on the other. These are differential-difference operators, generalising the usual partial derivatives, which are associated with a finite reflection group on some Euclidean space. They play, for example, a useful role in the algebraic description of exactly solvable quantum many body systems of Calogero-Moser-Sutherland type; among the broad literature in this context, we refer to [1], [9], and [11]. In his paper [8], de Jeu proved a quite general uncertainty principle for integral operators with bounded kernel which applies to the Dunkl transform; this result has the form of an  $\varepsilon - \delta$ -concentration principle as first stated in [4] for the Fourier transform. Analogues of the classical variance-based Weyl-Heisenberg uncertainty principle for the Dunkl transform have up to now only been given in the one-dimensional case ([14] and [15]). It is the aim of this note to present an extension to general Dunkl transforms in arbitrary dimensions. Our setting, which is described in more detail in section 2, is as follows: Let R be a finite (reduced) root system on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $k: R \to [0,\infty]$  a nonnegative multiplicity function on R. Let  $w_k$ denote the weight function

$$w_k(x) = \prod_{\alpha \in R} |\langle \alpha, x \rangle|^{k(\alpha)}$$

on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , where  $\langle ., . \rangle$  is the Euclidean scalar product on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , and put  $\gamma := \sum_{\alpha \in R} k(\alpha)/2$ . We shall prove the following uncertainty principle for the associated Dunkl transform  $f \mapsto \widehat{f}^k$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, w_k(x)dx)$ :

Received 26th August, 1998

I am indebted to Richard Askey, who stimulated this work by bringing C. Roosenraad's thesis [15] to my attention.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/99 \$A2.00+0.00.

THEOREM 1.1. Let  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, w_k(x)dx)$ . Then

(1.1) 
$$||x|f||_{2,w_k} \cdot ||\xi|\widehat{f}^k||_{2,w_k} \ge (\gamma + N/2) \cdot ||f||_{2,w_k}.$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if  $f(x) = c e^{-d|x|^2}$  for some constants  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  and d > 0.

If the multiplicity function k is identically 0, then the corresponding Dunkl transform coincides with the usual Fourier transform (independently of the underlying root system), and the above result coincides with the classical Weyl-Heisenberg inequality on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on expansions in terms of generalised Hermite functions, which were introduced in [12]. This generalises a well-known method for the (one-dimensional) classical situation, see for example, [2]. Our method needs not much more effort than in the classical case, but requires a zero-centred situation. This restriction cannot easily be removed. For the one-dimensional case, the result of Theorem 1.1 was already obtained in [15], by a very similar method. In contrast, the version given in [14] is uncentred. It is based on commutator methods which become difficult to handle in higher dimensions. However, the lower bound in [14] is not uniform, and coincides with the one above for even functions only.

## 2. Dunkl operators and the Dunkl transform

In this section, we collect some basic facts from Dunkl's theory which will be needed later on. General references here are [7, 5, 6].

For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$  we denote by  $\sigma_\alpha$  the reflection in the hyperplane orthogonal to  $\alpha$ , given by  $\sigma_\alpha(x) = x - \left(2\langle \alpha, x \rangle / |\alpha|^2\right) \alpha$ . Let R be a (reduced) root system in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , that is, a finite subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$  with  $R \cap \mathbb{R} \cdot \alpha = \{\pm \alpha\}$  and  $\sigma_\alpha(R) = R$  for all  $\alpha \in R$ . We assume that the root system R is normalised, that is,  $|\alpha|^2 = 2$  for all  $\alpha \in R$ . The reflections  $\sigma_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in R$  generate a finite group G, the reflection group associated with R. A function  $k:R \to \mathbb{C}$  is called a multiplicity function on R if it is invariant under the natural action of G on R. Now fix a reflection group G on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and a multiplicity function  $k \geqslant 0$  on its root system R. The Dunkl operators  $T_i$   $(i=1,\ldots,N)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  associated with G and K are defined by

$$T_{i}f(x) := \partial_{i}f(x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} k(\alpha) \, \alpha_{i} \cdot \frac{f(x) - f(\sigma_{\alpha}x)}{\langle \alpha, x \rangle} \,, \quad f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N});$$

here  $\partial_i$  denotes the *i*-th partial derivative. In the case k=0, the  $T_i$  reduce to the usual partial derivatives. In this paper, we assume that all values of k are nonnegative, for short,  $k \geq 0$ . The most important basic properties of the operators  $T_i$  are as follows: Let  $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_N]$  denote the algebra of polynomial functions on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\mathcal{P}_n$   $(n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, \ldots\})$  the subspace of homogeneous polynomials of degree n. Then

- (1.1) Each  $T_i$  is homogeneous of degree -1 on  $\mathcal{P}$ , that is,  $T_i p \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$  for  $p \in \mathcal{P}_n$ .
- (1.2) The set  $\{T_i, i = 1, ..., N\}$  generates a commutative algebra of differential-difference operators on  $\mathcal{P}$ .

For a polynomial  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , we denote by p(T) the linear operator derived from p(x) by replacing  $x_i$  by  $T_i$ . In particular, the generalised Laplacian is defined by  $\Delta_k := p(T)$  with  $p(x) = |x|^2$ . Note that  $\Delta_k$  is homogeneous of degree -2, and hence for each  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ , the exponential  $e^{c\Delta_k}$  is a well-defined linear operator on  $\mathcal{P}$  with inverse  $e^{-c\Delta_k}$ .

The solution of the joint eigenfunction problem for the Dunkl operators  $\{T_i, i=1,\ldots,N\}$  is given by the Dunkl kernel  $K_G$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N\times\mathbb{R}^N$ : for each fixed  $y\in\mathbb{R}^N$ , the function  $x\mapsto K_G(x,y)$  is characterised as the unique solution of the system  $T_if=y_if$   $(i=1,\ldots,N)$  with f(0)=1; see [10]. The kernel  $K_G(x,y)$  is symmetric in its arguments and has a unique holomorphic extension to  $\mathbb{C}^N\times\mathbb{C}^N$ . It satisfies  $K_G(z,0)=1$  and  $K_G(\lambda z,w)=K_G(z,\lambda w)$  for all  $z,w\in\mathbb{C}^N$  and all  $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$ . Moreover, the function  $x\mapsto K_G(ix,y)$ .  $(y\in\mathbb{R}^N$  fixed) is positive definite on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . See [13]. In particular,  $|K_G(ix,y)|\leqslant 1$  for all  $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^N$ .

The Dunkl transform associated with G and k is given by

$$\widehat{f}^{k} : L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N}, w_{k}(x)dx) \to C_{b}(\mathbb{R}^{N});$$

$$\widehat{f}^{k}(\xi) := 2^{-\gamma - N/2} c_{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) K_{G}(-i\xi, x) w_{k}(x) dx \ (\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}),$$

with the Mehta-type constant

$$c_k:=\Bigl(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}e^{-|x|^2}w_k(x)dx\Bigr)^{-1}.$$

This transformation has many properties analogous to the Fourier transform on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , among which we shall in particular need the following:

# PROPOSITION 2.1. [7]

- (1) The Dunkl transform  $f \to \hat{f}^k$  is a homeomorphism of the Schwartz space  $S(\mathbb{R}^N)$  of rapidly decreasing functions on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .
- (2)  $\widehat{T_i f}^k(\xi) = i \xi_j \widehat{f}^k$  for all  $f \in \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $j = 1, \dots, N$ .
- (3) (Plancherel theorem) The Dunkl transform has a unique extension to an isometric isomorphism of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, w_k(x)dx)$ , which is again denoted by  $f \to \widehat{f}^k$ .

EXAMPLES 2.2. (1) If k=0, then  $K_G(z,w)=e^{\langle z,w\rangle}$  for all  $z,w\in\mathbb{C}^N$ . Here the Dunkl transform is the usual Fourier transform on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

(2) If N=1 and  $G=\mathbb{Z}_2$ , sending  $x\in\mathbb{R}$  to -x, then the multiplicity function is a single parameter  $k\geqslant 0$ , and the Dunkl kernel is given by

$$K_{\mathbf{Z}_{2}}(z,w) = j_{k-1/2}(izw) + \frac{zw}{2k+1} j_{k+1/2}(izw) \quad (z,w \in \mathbb{C}),$$

where for  $\alpha \geqslant -1/2$ ,  $j_{\alpha}$  is the normalised spherical Bessel function

$$j_{\alpha}(z) = 2^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha+1) \frac{J_{\alpha}(z)}{z^{\alpha}} = \Gamma(\alpha+1) \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (z/2)^{2n}}{n! \Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}.$$

The corresponding Dunkl transform coincides with the Fourier transform on a certain (signed) hypergroup structure on  $\mathbb{R}$ ; for details see [14] and the literature cited there.

# 3. Generalised Hermite functions

Let G be a finite reflection group on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $k \ge 0$  a fixed multiplicity function on its root system R. In [12] we introduced complete systems of orthogonal polynomials with respect to the weight function  $w_k(x) e^{-|x|^2}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , called generalised Hermite polynomials. The key to their definition is the following bilinear form on  $\mathcal{P}$ , which was introduced in [6]:

$$[p,q]_k := (p(T)q)(0)$$
 for  $p,q \in \mathcal{P}$ .

The homogeneity of the Dunkl operators implies that  $\mathcal{P}_n \perp \mathcal{P}_m$  for  $n \neq m$ . Moreover, if  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_n$ , then

$$[p,q]_k = 2^n c_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\Delta_k/4} p(x) e^{-\Delta_k/4} q(x) e^{-|x|^2} w_k(x) dx.$$

This is obtained from Theorem 3.10 of [6] by rescaling, see [12, Lemma 2.1]. So in particular,  $[.,.]_k$  is a scalar product on the vector space  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}[x_1,\ldots,x_N]$ .

Now let  $\{\varphi_{\nu}, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{N}\}$  be an (arbitrary) orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$  with respect to  $[.,.]_{k}$  such that  $\varphi_{\nu} \in \mathcal{P}_{|\nu|}$ . (For details concerning the construction and canonical choices of such a basis, we refer to [12]). Then the generalised Hermite polynomials  $\{H_{\nu}, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{N}\}$  and the (normalised) generalised Hermite functions  $\{h_{\nu}, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{N}\}$  associated with G, k and  $\{\varphi_{\nu}\}$  are defined by

$$H_{\nu}(x):=2^{|\nu|}e^{-\Delta_k/4}\varphi_{\nu}(x)\quad \text{and}\quad h_{\nu}(x):=\sqrt{c_k}\,2^{-|\nu|/2}e^{-|x|^2/2}H_{\nu}(x)\quad (x\in\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Note that  $H_{\nu}$  is a polynomial of degree  $|\nu|$ , with real coefficients. This implies (3N-term) recurrencies of the following form: For  $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$ , let  $I_{\nu} = \{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N : \|\mu| - |\nu\| \leq 1\}$ . Then

(3.2) 
$$x_j H_{\nu} = \sum_{\mu \in I_{\nu}} c_{\nu,\mu}^j H_{\mu}$$
 and  $x_j h_{\nu} = \sum_{\mu \in I_{\nu}} c_{\nu,\mu}^j h_{\mu}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, N$ ,

with coefficients  $c_{\nu,\mu}^j \in \mathbb{R}$ . In general, there are many possible choices of generalised Hermite systems. However, in the one-dimensional case N=1 (with fixed parameter  $k \geq 0$ ), the basis  $\{\varphi_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$  is uniquely determined. The associated generalised Hermite polynomials are orthogonal with respect to the weight function  $|x|^{2k}e^{-|x|^2}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ 

and can be written explicitly in terms of Laguerre polynomials; for details, see [12] or [3, Chapter V].

We collect some further properties of the generalised Hermite functions  $\{h_{\nu}, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{N}\}$  which will be essential for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

# LEMMA 3.1. [12]

- (1)  $\{h_{\nu}, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{N}\}\$ is an orthonormal basis of  $L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N}, w_{k}(x)dx)$ .
- (2) The  $h_{\nu}$  are eigenfunctions of the Dunkl transform on  $L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N}, w_{k}(x)dx)$ , with  $\widehat{h}_{\nu}^{k} = (-i)^{|\nu|}h_{\nu}$ .
- (3) The  $h_{\nu}$  satisfy  $(|x|^2 \Delta_k)h_{\nu} = (2|\nu| + 2\gamma + N)h_{\nu}$ .

## 4. Proof of the uncertainty principle

From now on,  $\{h_{\nu}, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{N}\}$  is an arbitrary fixed system of generalised Hermite functions associated with G and  $k \geq 0$ . We shall need the dual counterparts of the recurrences (3.2):

(4.1) 
$$T_{j}h_{\nu} = \sum_{\mu \in I_{\nu}} i^{1-|\nu|+|\mu|} c_{\nu,\mu}^{j} h_{\mu} \quad (j = 1, \dots, N, \ \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{N}.)$$

These are easily obtained from (3.2) by use of Proposition 2.1.(2) and Lemma 3.1.(2). We write  $\langle .,. \rangle_k$  for the scalar product in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, w_k(x)dx)$ . The main part in the

proof of Theorem 1.1 is the following Parseval-type identity.

LEMMA 4.1. Let 
$$f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, w_k(x)dx)$$
. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^2 \Big( \big|f(x)\big|^2 + \big|\widehat{f}^k(x)\big|^2 \Big) w_k(x) \, dx = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} \Big( 2|\nu| + 2\gamma + N \Big) \cdot \big| \langle f, h_\nu \rangle_k \big|^2 \, .$$

PROOF: Fix  $j \in \{1, ..., N\}$ . In view of Lemma 3.1.(1), we can write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x_j|^2 |f(x)|^2 w_k(x) dx = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} \left| \langle x_j f, h_\nu \rangle_k \right|^2 = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} \left| \langle f, x_j h_\nu \rangle_k \right|^2.$$

By use of (3.2), this becomes

$$\sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{N}} \sum_{\mu,\rho \in I_{\nu}} c_{\nu,\mu}^{j} c_{\nu,\rho}^{j} \cdot \langle f, h_{\mu} \rangle_{k} \, \overline{\langle f, h_{\rho} \rangle_{k}} \, = \, \sum_{\mu,\rho \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{N}} \Bigl( \sum_{\nu \in I_{\mu} \cap I_{\rho}} c_{\nu,\mu}^{j} c_{\nu,\rho}^{j} \Bigr) \langle f, h_{\mu} \rangle_{k} \, \overline{\langle f, h_{\rho} \rangle_{k}} \, .$$

Here the last equality is justified by the facts that the involved index sets  $I_{\nu}$  are finite, and that  $\mu \in I_{\nu} \iff \nu \in I_{\mu}$  holds for all  $\nu$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{N}$ . Exploiting Lemma 3.1.(2), Proposition 2.1.(2) and the Parseval identity for the Dunkl transform, one further obtains

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x_j|^2 |\widehat{f}^k(x)|^2 w_k(x) dx = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} \left| \langle x_j \widehat{f}^k, h_\nu \rangle_k \right|^2 = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} \left| \langle \widehat{f}^k, x_j \widehat{h}_\nu^k \rangle_k \right|^2$$
$$= \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} \left| \langle f, T_j h_\nu \rangle_k \right|^2.$$

With the recurrence (4.1), this becomes

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\nu \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}^{N}} \sum_{\mu,\,\rho \in I_{\nu}} i^{|\nu| - |\mu| - 1} c_{\nu,\,\mu}^{\,j} \cdot i^{1 - |\nu| + |\rho|} \, c_{\nu,\,\rho}^{\,j} \cdot \langle f,h_{\mu} \rangle_{k} \, \overline{\langle f,h_{\rho} \rangle_{k}} \\ &= \sum_{\mu,\,\rho \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}^{N}} \Bigl( \sum_{\nu \in I_{\mu} \cap I_{\rho}} c_{\nu,\,\mu}^{\,j} c_{\nu,\,\rho}^{\,j} \Bigr) i^{|\rho| - |\mu|} \cdot \langle f,h_{\mu} \rangle_{k} \, \overline{\langle f,h_{\rho} \rangle_{k}} \, . \end{split}$$

Combining the previous results, we arrive at

$$(4.2) \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^2 (|f(x)|^2 + |\widehat{f}^k(x)|^2) w_k(x) dx = \sum_{\mu, \rho \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} A_{\mu, \rho} \langle f, h_{\mu} \rangle \overline{\langle f, h_{\rho} \rangle},$$

where

$$A_{\mu,\rho} = \left(1 + i^{|\rho| - |\mu|}\right) \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{\nu \in I_{\mu} \cap I_{\theta}} c_{\nu,\mu}^{j} c_{\nu,\rho}^{j}.$$

On the other hand, a short calculation, using formulas (3.2) and (4.1), shows that

$$(4.3) \qquad (|x|^2 - \Delta_k) h_{\nu} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{\mu \in I_{\nu}} \sum_{\rho \in I_{\mu}} c_{\nu,\mu}^{j} c_{\mu,\rho}^{j} (1 + i^{|\rho| - |\nu|}) h_{\rho} = \sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{Z}^{N}} A_{\nu,\rho} h_{\rho},$$

where for the last identity, we used the fact that the coefficients  $c_{\nu,\mu}^j$  are symmetric in their subscripts:  $c_{\nu,\mu}^j = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} x_j h_{\nu}(x) h_{\mu}(x) w_k(x) dx = c_{\mu,\nu}^j$ . But by Lemma 3.1.(3), the left side of (4.3) is equal to  $(2|\nu| + 2\gamma + N) h_{\nu}$ . The linear independence of the  $h_{\nu}$  now implies that

$$A_{\nu,\rho} \,=\, \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & \text{if } \rho \neq \nu, \\ 2|\nu| + 2\gamma + N & \text{if } \rho = \nu. \end{array} \right.$$

Together with (4.2), this yields the assertion.

In view of Lemma 3.1.(1), and as  $h_0$  is a constant multiple of  $e^{-|x|^2/2}$ , we obtain as an immediate consequence the following:

0

COROLLARY 4.2. For  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, w_k(x)dx)$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^2 \Big( \big| f(x) \big|^2 + \big| \widehat{f}^k(x) \big|^2 \Big) w_k(x) \, dx \, \geqslant \, (2\gamma + N) \cdot ||f||_{2, w_k}^2.$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if  $f(x) = c e^{-|x|^2/2}$  with some constant  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 We may assume that  $||f||_{2,w_k} = 1$ . For s > 0 define  $f_s(x) := s^{-\gamma - N/2} f(x/s)$ . Since  $w_k$  is homogeneous we easily see that

$$\|f_s\|_{2,w_k}=1$$
 and  $\widehat{f}_s^k(\xi)=s^{\gamma+N/2}\cdot\widehat{f}^k(s\xi)$  for all  $s>0$  and  $\xi\in\mathbb{R}^N$ .

The above corollary implies that

$$\Phi_f(s) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^2 \Big( \big|f_s(x)\big|^2 + \big|\widehat{f}_s^k(x)\big|^2 \Big) w_k(x) \, dx \, \geqslant \, 2\gamma + N \, .$$

On the other hand, we can write

$$\Phi_f(s) \; = \; s^2 \cdot \left\| \; |x|f \; \right\|_{2,w_k}^2 + \; \frac{1}{s^2} \cdot \left\| \; |x|\widehat{f}^k \; \right\|_{2,w_k}^2 \, .$$

It is easily checked that  $s \mapsto \Phi_f(s)$  takes a minimum on  $(0, \infty)$ , namely

$$2 \cdot \| |x| f \|_{2,w_k} \cdot \| |x| \widehat{f}^k \|_{2,w_k}$$

This implies (1.1). Further, equality in (1.1) holds exactly if  $\min_{s \in (0,\infty)} \Phi_f(s) = 2\gamma + N$ . By the second part of the corollary, this condition is satisfied if and only if  $f(x) = c e^{-s^2|x|^2/2}$  with some constants  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  and s > 0. This finishes the proof.

#### References

- T.H. Baker and P.J. Forrester, 'Non-symmetric Jack polynomials and integral kernels', *Duke Math. J.* 95 (1998), 1-50.
- [2] N.G de Bruijn, 'Uncertainty principles in Fourier analysis', in *Inequalities*, (O. Shisha, Editor) (Academic Press, New York, 1967), pp. 57-71.
- [3] T.S. Chihara, An introduction to orthogonal polynomials, Mathematics and its Applications (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1978).
- [4] D.L. Donoho and P.B. Stark, 'Uncertainty principle and signal recovery', SIAM J. Appl. Math. 49 (1989), 906-931.
- [5] C.F. Dunkl, 'Differential-difference operators associated to reflection groups', Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 311 (1989), 167-183.
- [6] C.F. Dunkl, 'Integral kernels with reflection group invariance', Canad. J. Math. 43 (1991), 1213-1227.
- [7] M.F.E. de Jeu, 'The Dunkl transform.', Invent. Math. 113 (1993), 147-162.
- [8] M.F.E. de Jeu, 'An uncertainty principle for integral operators', J. Funct. Anal. 122 (1994), 247-253.
- [9] L. Lapointe and L. Vinet, 'Exact operator solution of the Calogero-Sutherland model', Comm. Math. Phys. 178 (1996), 425-452.
- [10] E.M. Opdam, 'Dunkl operators, Bessel functions and the discriminant of a finite Coxeter group', Compositio Math. 85 (1993), 333-373.
- [11] A.P. Polychronakos, 'Exchange operator formalism for integrable systems of particles', Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 (1992), 703-705.
- [12] M. Rösler, 'Generalized Hermite polynomials and the heat equation for Dunkl operators', Comm. Math. Phys. 192 (1998), 519-542.
- [13] M. Rösler, 'Positivity of Dunkl's intertwining operator', Duke Math. J. (to appear).
- [14] M. Rösler and M. Voit, 'An uncertainty principle for Hankel transforms', Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 127 (1999), 183-194.

[15] C.T. Roosenraad, Inequalities with orthogonal polynomials, thesis (Univ. of Wisconsin, 1969).

Zentrum Mathematik Technische Universität München Arcisstr. 21 D-80290 München Germany

e-mail: roesler@mathematik.tu-muenchen.de