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#### Abstract

The paper classifies all distance transitive graphs $\Gamma$ such that $A_{n} \leq A u t \Gamma \leq A u t A_{n}$ for some alternating group $A_{n}$, and Aut. $\Gamma$ acts primitively on the vertices of $\Gamma$. This result forms part of our programme for determining all finite primitive distance transitive graphs.


## 1. Introduction and statement of results

In this paper we classify the finite distance transitive graphs whose automorphism group is a symmetric group $S_{n}$ or an alternating group $A_{n}$ for some $n$, acting primitively on the set of vertices. This forms a part of the programme for the classification of all finite primitive distance transitive graphs begun in [16]; for in [16] this classification is reduced to the determination of all such graphs whose automorphism group $G$ is either almost simple (that is, $T \triangleleft G \leq$ Aut $T$ for some nonabelian simple group $T$ ) or affine (that is, $V \triangleleft G \leq A G L(V)$, the group of affine transformations of a finite vector space $V$ ). Thus in this paper we deal with part of the almost simple case, namely the case where $T=A_{n}$. When $T$ is a linear group of dimension at least 7 , a classification is obtained in [7]; discussion of the remaining almost simple cases can be

Received 2 January 1986. The authors acknowledge the Australian Research Grants Scheme grant number B $84 / 25502$ which supported the writing of this paper.

[^0]found in [10]. The case where $G$ is affine and $|V|$ is large is treated in [12]. Note that the primitivity of $G$ is a natural assumption, since by [19] (see also [3]), there is a simple procedure for obtaining a primitive distance transitive graph from an imprimitive one.

It is well known that the permutation character of the automorphism group $G$ of a distance transitive graph acting on vertices must be multiplicity-free, since all the suborbits are self-paired (see [14, Theorem 8]). Our proof is based on [17, Theorem, p340], where all such characters are determined for $G=S_{n}$ with $n>18$.

Before stating our result, we describe some classes of distance transitive graphs $\Gamma$. Denote by $V \Gamma$ the set of vertices of $\Gamma$, and by $\Omega$ a set of $n$ points, where $n \geq 5$.
(1.1) Johnson graphs $J(n, k)$. Here $V \Gamma=\Omega^{\{k\}}$, the set of $k$-subsets of $\Omega$, where $k<n / 2$. Two vertices $A$ and $B$ are joined if and only if $|A \cap B|=k-1$. (Note that $J(n, 1)$ is just the complete graph $K_{n}$.) (1.2) Graphs $\overline{J(n, 2)}$. These are the complements of the rank 3 graphs $J(n, 2)$.
(1.3) Odd graphs $0_{k}$. Here $n=2 k+1$ and $V \Gamma=\Omega^{\{k\}}$; two vertices $A$ and $B$ are joined if and only if $A \cap B=\varnothing$.
(1.4) Graphs $J(2 k, k)^{\prime}, k \geq 4$. These are the derived graphs of the antipodal graphs $J(2 k, k)$ (see [1, pl52]). They can also be described as follows: $n=2 k$, $V T$ is the set of partitions of $\Omega$ into two subsets $\{A, \bar{A}\}$ of size $k$, and two vertices $\{A, \bar{A}\},\{B, \bar{B}\}$ are joined if and only if either $|A \cap B|=k-1$ or $|A \cap \bar{B}|=k-1$.
(1.5) Graphs $\overline{J(2 k, k)}, k=4,5$. These are the complements of the rank 3 graphs $J(8,4)^{\prime}, J(10,5)^{\prime}$.
(1.6) Graphs $\Sigma_{120} \cdot \bar{\Sigma}_{120^{\circ}}$ Here $\Sigma_{120}$ is the rank 3 graph of valency 56 on 120 vertices obtained from the rank 3 action of $A_{9}$ on the 120 cosets of a subgroup $P \Gamma L_{2}$ (8) (see [4]). (Note that there are two conjugacy classes of subgroups $P \Gamma L_{2}{ }^{(8)}$ in $A_{9}$, but the corresponding actions of $A_{9}$ of degree 120 are conjugate in $S_{120}$; hence $\Sigma_{120}$ is unique.)
(1.7) Graph $\sum 36^{\circ}$ This is a rank 4 graph of valency 5 on 36 vertices; its vertices are the 36 subgroups of order 20 in $S_{6}$, and two vertices $A, B$ are joined if and only if $|A \cap B|=4$. Another description can be found in [1, pl53].
(1.8) Graph $\sum_{45^{\circ}}$ This is a rank 5 graph of valency 4 on 45 vertices; its vertices are the 45 Sylow 2-subgroups of Aut $A_{6}$, two vertices $A, B$ being joined if and only if $|A \cap B|=8$. The graph $\Sigma_{45}$ can also be described as the line graph of the trivalent Tutte 8 -cage (see [20, Chapter 8]).

THEOREM. Let $G$ be the group $A_{n}$ or $S_{n}(n \geq 5)$ and suppose that $G$ acts distance transitively on a graph $\Gamma$ and is primitive on $V \Gamma$. Then $\Gamma$ is one of the graphs in (1.1)-(1.6) above. Further, if $\Gamma$ is in (1.1)-(1.5) and $\Gamma$ is not a complete groph, then Aut $\Gamma \cong S_{n}$; and in $(1.6), G=A_{9}<$ Aut $\Gamma \cong 0_{8}^{+}(2)$.

The statement that $G$ acts distance transitively on $\Gamma$ means that whenever $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in V \Gamma$ with the distance ( $=$ length of shortest path) between $\alpha$ and $\beta$ being the same as the distance between $\gamma$ and $\delta$, there is an automorphism $g \in G$ such that $\alpha^{g}=\gamma, \beta^{g}=\delta$. Note that we exclude complete graphs $\Gamma$ in the last sentence of the theorem in view of the 2 -transitive actions of $A_{5}, A_{6}, A_{7}$ and $A_{8}$ of degrees $6,10,15$ and 15 respectively.

Our classification result follows immediately from the theorem, together with the observations on Aut $A_{6}$ in Section 5:

COROLLARY. Suppose that $\Gamma$ is a distance transitive graph with full automorphism group $G$, where $A_{n} \triangleleft G \leq$ Aut $A_{n}(n \geq 5)$. Then either
(i) $G=S_{n}$ and $\Gamma$ is as in (1.1)-(1.5) above, or
(ii) $n=6, G=$ Aut $A_{6}$ and $r$ is as in (1.7) or (1.8).

Proof of the theorem. Let $G$ and $\Gamma$ be as in the statement of the theorem. For $\alpha, \beta \in V \Gamma$ let $d(\alpha, \beta)$ be the distance between $\alpha$ and $\beta$, and put $d=\max \{d(\alpha, \beta) \mid \alpha, \beta \in V \Gamma\}$, the dianeter of $\Gamma$. Choose $\alpha \in V \Gamma$ and for $1 \leq i \leq d$ let $\Gamma_{i}(\alpha)=\{\beta \mid d(\alpha, \beta)=i\}$, so that $\Gamma_{i}(\alpha)$ are the orbits
of $G_{\alpha}$ on $V \Gamma \backslash\{\alpha\}$. Define $k_{i}=\left|\Gamma_{i}(\alpha)\right|$. Some well-known properties of the integers $k_{i}$ are given in [16, 1.1]; in particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}<k_{i} \text { for all } i \text { such that } 2 \leq i \leq d-1 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $\Gamma_{1}(\alpha)$ is one of the shortest two orbits $\Gamma_{i}(\alpha)$.
Let $\Omega=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ be a set of $n$ points permuted naturally by $G$, and write $H=G_{\alpha}$, a maximal subgroup of $G$. The proof is carried out in three sections, according as $H^{\Omega}$ is intransitive (Section 2), transitive and imprimitive (Section 3), or primitive (Section 4). As remarked above, the permutation character $\pi=l_{H}^{G}$ is multiplicity-free, and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
|G: H| \leq \sum X(1), \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the summation is over all irreducible characters $X$ of $G$.

## 2. The intransitive case

In this section we deal with the case where $H^{\Omega}$ is intransitive, so that by the maximality of $H$ in $G$, we have $H=\left(S_{k} \times S_{n-k}\right) \cap G$ for some $k$ with $l \leq k<n / 2$. We can therefore identify $V \Gamma$ with $\Omega^{\{k\}}$, the set of $k$-subsets of $\Omega$. If $k=1$ then $r$ is the complete graph $K_{n}=J(n, 1)$; and if $k=2$ then $G$ has rank 3 on $\Omega^{\{k\}}$, so $\Gamma$ is $J(n, 2)$ or $\overline{J(n, 2)}$, as in (1.1) and (1.2). Thus we assume that $k \geq 3$. If $\alpha=A \in \Omega^{\{k\}}$ then the $H$-orbits on $\Omega^{\{k\}}$ are

$$
\Delta_{i}(A)=\left\{B \in \Omega^{\{k\}}:|A \cap B|=k-i\right\}
$$

for $0 \leq i \leq k$. Let $\Gamma_{1}(\alpha)=\Delta_{i}(A)$ for some $i \geq 1$. If $i=1$ then $\Gamma$ is $J(n, k)$, so assume that $i \geq 2$. Let $A=\{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $B=B_{0} \cup\{k+1, \ldots, k+i\}$, where $B_{0}$ is $\varnothing$ if $i=k$ and $\{1, \ldots, k-i\}$ if $i<k$. Then $B \in \Gamma_{1}(A)$. Also

$$
C=(A \backslash\{k\}) \cup\{k+i+1\} \in \Gamma_{1}(B) \cap \Delta_{1}(A),
$$

and, provided that $n \geq k+i+2$,

$$
D=(A \backslash\{k-1, k\}) \cup\{k+i+1, k+i+2\} \in \Gamma_{1}(B) \cap \Delta_{2}(A)
$$

and, provided that $i<k$,

$$
E=(A \backslash\{1, k-1, k\}) \cup\{k+1, k+i+1, k+i+2\} \in \Gamma_{1}(B) \cap \Delta_{3}(A)
$$

This shows that $\Gamma$ is not distance transitive unless $i=k$ and $n=2 k+1$; in this latter case $\Gamma$ is the odd graph $O_{k}$ as in (1.3).

To complete the proof in the intransitive case, we show that Aut $\Gamma \cong S_{n}$ for the graphs $\Gamma$ in (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3). For suppose that this is false, so that $A u t \Gamma>G \cong S_{n}$ for some such graph $\Gamma$. Then Aut $\Gamma$ is given by [6]; in each case we see that Aut $\Gamma$ has smaller rank on $V \Gamma$ than $G$, which is impossible since $G$ acts distance transitively on $\Gamma$.

## 3. The imprimitive case

We next deal with the case where $H^{\Omega}$ is transitive and imprimitive, so that by the maximality of $H$ in $G$, we have $H=\left(S_{k}\right.$ wr $\left.S_{\ell}\right) \cap G$ with $k \ell=n, k>1$ and $\ell>1$. For a partition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots\right)$ of $n$ we denote by $\Omega^{\lambda}$ the set of cosets of the subgroup $S_{\lambda_{1}} \times S_{\lambda_{2}} \times \ldots$ in $S_{n}$, and by $\pi^{\lambda}$ the permutation character of $S_{n}$ on $\Omega^{\lambda}$, as in [17]; we also denote by $x^{\lambda}$ the irreducible character of $S_{n}$ corresponding to $\lambda$, as in [17].

LEMMA 3.1. One of the following holds:
(i) $\ell=2$;
(ii) $k=2$;
(iii) $(k, \ell)$ is one of $(3,3),(3,4),(4,3)$ and $(5,3)$.

Proof. It is well-known (see [17], 2.1) that for $1 \leq r \leq n / 2$ the permutation character $\pi^{(n-r, r)}$ of $G$ on $\Omega^{\{r\}}$ is given by

$$
\pi^{(n-r, r)}=1+\chi^{(n-1,1)}+\ldots+\chi^{(n-r, r)}=\pi^{(n-r+1, r-1)}+\chi^{(n-r, r)}
$$

Consequently since $\pi=1_{H}^{G}$ is multiplicity-free,
$\left(\pi, \pi^{(n-r, r)}\right)_{G} \leq 1+\left(\pi, \pi^{(n-r+1, r-1)}\right)_{G} \leq \ldots \leq r-1+\left(\pi, \pi^{(n-1,1)}\right)_{G}=r$,
the last equality holding since $H$ is transitive on $\Omega$. In particular $H$ has at most $r$ orbits on $\Omega^{\{r\}}$.

If $k \geq 4, \ell \geq 4$ then it is easy to see that $H$ has at least five orbits on $\Omega^{\{4\}}$, which is not so. If $\ell=3, k \geq 6$ or $k=3, \ell \geq 6$ then $H$ has seven orbits on $\Omega^{\{6\}}$, which is again false. Finally, let $k=3, \ell=5$. We claim that if $G=S_{15}$ then. $X^{(9,4,2)}$ appears in $1_{H}^{G}$ with multiplicity 2 , which is a contradiction. For by the determinantal rule $[9,2.3 .15]$, we have
$\pi^{(9,4,2)}=\chi^{(9,4,2)}+\pi^{(9,5,1)}+\pi^{(10,3,2)}+\pi^{(11,4)}-\pi^{(10,5)}-\pi^{(11,3,1)}$ and so, if $n_{\lambda}$ denotes the number of orbits of $H$ on $\Omega^{\lambda}$, the multiplicity of $X^{(9,4,2)}$ in $1_{H}^{G}$ is

$$
n_{(9,4,2)}-n_{(9,5,1)}-n_{(10,3,2)}^{-n_{(11,4)}+n_{(10,5)}+n_{(11,3,1)} .}
$$

A straightforward calculation shows that this number is 2 , as claimed.

REMARK. In fact $S_{n}$ is multiplicity-free on $\left(S_{n}: S_{k} w r_{\ell}\right)$ with $k$, \& as in (i). (ii) or (iii) of Lemma 3.1; this is [17, 2.2 and 2.3] in cases (i) and (ii), and can be verified by calculation in case (iii).

We deal separately with cases (i), (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 3.1.
(3.2) Case $\ell=2$. Here $n=2 k$ and $H=\left(S_{k} w r S_{2}\right) \cap G$. We identify VT with the set of partitions of $\Omega$ into two subsets $\{A, \bar{A}\}$ of size $k$. If $\alpha=\left\{A_{r} \bar{A}\right\}$ then the $H$-orbits on $V \Gamma$ are

$$
\Sigma_{i}(\alpha)=\{\{B, \bar{B}\} \in V T:|B \cap A|=i \quad \text { or } \quad|\bar{B} \cap A|=i\}
$$

for $0 \leq i \leq[k / 2]$. If $k \leq 5$ then $G$ has rank 2 or 3 on $V \Gamma$ and $\Gamma$ is as in (1.1), (1.4) or (1.5). Thus we assume that $k \geq 6$. Now $\left|\Sigma_{i}(\alpha)\right|=\binom{k}{i}^{2}$, so the shortest two $H$-orbits on $V \Gamma \backslash\{\alpha\}$ are $\Sigma_{1}(\alpha)$ and $\Sigma_{2}(\alpha)$. Hence by (1.9), $\Gamma_{1}(\alpha)$ is one of these. If $\Gamma_{1}(\alpha)=\Sigma_{1}(\alpha)$ then $\Gamma$
is $J(2 k, k)^{\prime}$ as in (1.4), so assume that $\Gamma_{1}(\alpha)=\Sigma_{2}(\alpha)$. Write $A=\{1, \ldots, k\}, B=\{1, \ldots, k-2, k+1, k+2\}, C=\{1, \ldots, k-1, k+3\}$,
$D=\{1, \ldots, k-3, k+1, k+3, k+4\}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta=\{B, \bar{B}\} \in \Gamma_{1}(\alpha), \\
& \{C, \bar{C}\} \in \Gamma_{1}(\beta) \cap \Sigma_{1}(\alpha), \\
& \{D, \bar{D}\} \in \Gamma_{1}(\beta) \cap \Sigma_{3}(\alpha) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\Gamma_{2}(\alpha)$ contains $\Sigma_{1}(\alpha) \cup \Sigma_{3}(\alpha)$ and so $\Gamma$ is not distance transitive, a contradiction.
(3.3) Case $k=2$. Here $n=2 \ell$ and $H=\left(S_{2} w r S_{\ell}\right) \cap G$. First let $\ell=3$. Here $G$ has rank 3 on the 15 points $(G: H)$. Now $G$ has just one other primitive action of degree 15 , namely that on $\Omega^{\{2\}}$. In each of these actions, $N_{S_{15}}(G)=N_{A_{15}}(G) \cong S_{6}$, and it follows that the two actions of $G$ are conjugate in $S_{15}$. Hence the graphs $\Gamma$ on ( $G: H$ ) here are $J(6,2)$ and its complement.

Thus we assume now that $\ell \geq 4$. We identify $V \Gamma$ with the set of partitions of $\Omega$ into $\ell$ blocks of size 2 . Let $\alpha=\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{\ell}\right\} \in V T$ (with $\left|A_{i}\right|=2$ for all $i$ ), and for each $\beta \in V \Gamma$ define the graph $\Delta(\beta)$ to have as vertices $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{\ell}$, with $A_{i}$ and $A_{j}(i \neq j)$ adjacent whenever some block of $\beta$ consists of a point of $A_{i}$ and a point of $A_{j}$. For $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ let $a_{i}$ be the number of connected components of size $i$ of $\Delta(\beta)$. Note that each such component is just a cycle of length $i$. Thus $\beta$ corresponds to the partition $\rho_{\beta}=\left(1^{a_{1}}, 2^{a_{2}}, \ldots, \ell^{a_{\ell}}\right)$ of $\ell=\sum i a_{i}$. It is easy to check that if $G=S_{n}$ then the orbits of $H=G_{\alpha}$ on $V T$ are the sets

$$
\Sigma(\rho, \alpha)=\left\{\beta \in V \Gamma \mid \rho_{\beta}=\rho\right\}
$$

where $\rho$ is a partition of $\ell$. If $G=A_{n}$, the sets $\Sigma(\rho, \alpha)$ may split into two $H$-orbits of equal size - however, no such splitting occurs if, for example, $a_{1} \geq 1$ or $a_{3} \geq 1$ (since in these cases, for $B \in \Sigma(\rho, \alpha)$, there
is an odd permutation of $S_{n}$ fixing both $\alpha$ and $\left.B\right)$. Note that $\Sigma\left(\left(1^{\ell}\right), \alpha\right)=\{\alpha\}$. Write

$$
|\Sigma(p, \alpha)|=\sigma_{\ell}(\rho)
$$

LEMMA 3.3.1. For $\rho=\left(1^{a_{1}}, \ldots, \ell^{a_{\ell}}\right)$ a partition of $\ell$, we have

$$
\sigma_{\ell}(\rho)=\ell!2^{\ell-\sum a_{i}}, \prod_{i=1}^{\ell}\left(a_{i}!i^{a_{i}}\right) .
$$

Proof. We count the number of $\beta$ in $\Sigma(\rho, \alpha):$
(a) Choose $a_{1}$ common blocks for $\alpha$ and $\beta$, in $\binom{\ell}{a_{1}}$ ways.
(b) For $i \geq 2$, at the $i^{\text {th }}$ step choose $i a_{i}$ blocks from the remaining $\ell-\sum_{1}^{i-1} j a_{j}$ blocks of $\alpha$, and distribute the $2 i a_{i}$ points of $\Omega$ which they contain into $i a_{i}$ blocks of $\beta$ in such a way that $a_{i}$ components of size $i$ in $\Delta(\beta)$ are obtained. The number of ways in which this can be done is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\ell-\sum_{i a_{i}}^{i-1} j a_{j}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{l}
\prod_{j=1}^{a_{i}}(j i-1) \ldots(j i-i+1)
\end{array}\right) \cdot 2^{(i-1) a_{i}} \\
& \quad=\left(\ell-\sum^{i-1} j a_{j}\right)!2^{(i-1) a_{i}} /\left(\ell-\sum^{i} j a_{j}\right)!a_{i}!i^{q_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that this formula is valid even if $a_{i}=0$. The result follows.
LEMMA 3.3.2. For $\ell \geq 3$, the smallest value of $\sigma_{\ell}(\rho)$ with $\rho \neq\left(1^{\ell}\right)$ is $\ell(\ell-1)$. Further, $\sigma_{\ell}(\rho)=\ell(\ell-1)$ if and only if $\rho=\left(1^{\ell-2}, 2^{1}\right)$, unless $\ell=4$, when $\sigma_{4}\left(1^{2}, 2^{1}\right)=\sigma_{4}\left(2^{2}\right)=12$.

Proof. The result is true for $\ell \leq 4$ by 3.3.1. Now assume that $\ell \geq 5$. We proceed by induction on $\ell$. If $\rho=\left(\ell^{l}\right)$ then $\sigma_{\ell}(\rho)=(\ell-1): 2^{\ell-1}>\ell(\ell-1)$. So suppose that $\rho=\left(1^{a_{1}}, \ldots, \ell^{a_{\ell}}\right) \neq\left(\ell^{1}\right)$.

Let $i<\ell$ be the smallest integer for which $a_{i} \neq 0$. Then $\ell-i \geq 3$. Define $\rho^{*}=\left(1^{a_{1}^{*}}, \ldots,(\ell-i)^{a^{*} \ell-i}\right)$ to be the partition of $\ell-i$ with

$$
a_{j}^{*}= \begin{cases}a_{j} & , \text { if } i \neq j \\ a_{i}-1, & \text { if } \quad i=j\end{cases}
$$

Then, using (3.3.1) and induction, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\sigma_{\ell}(\rho)=\sigma_{\ell-i}\left(\rho^{*}\right) \cdot 2^{i-1} \cdot \ell!/ i a_{i}(\ell-i)!\geq \sigma_{\ell-i^{(1}}^{\ell-i-2}, 2^{1}\right) \cdot 2^{i-1} \cdot \ell 1 / i \alpha_{i} .(\ell-i)! \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\sigma_{\ell-i}\left(1^{\ell-i-2}, 2^{1}\right)=(\ell-i)(\ell-i-1)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\ell}(\rho) \geq 2^{i-1} \ell(\ell-1) \ldots(\ell-i-1) / i \alpha_{i} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $i \geq 2$ then the right hand side is greater than $\ell(\ell-1)$, since $i a_{i} \leq \ell$. And if $i=1$, it is $\ell(\ell-1)(\ell-2) / \alpha_{1} \geq \ell(\ell-1)$, with equality if and only if $\rho=\left(1^{l-2}, 2^{1}\right)$.

COROLLARY 3.3.3. (a) If $\ell \geq 5$ then the wique shortest orbit of $B$ on $V T \backslash\{\alpha\}$ is $\sum(\rho, \alpha)$ with $\rho=\left(1^{\ell-2}, 2^{l}\right)$; it has size $\ell(\ell-1)$.
(b) If $\ell=4$ and $G=S_{8}$ then the shortest two orbits of $H$ on $V \backslash\{\alpha\}$ are $\Sigma(\rho, \alpha)$ with $\rho=\left(1^{2}, 2^{1}\right)$ or (2), each of size 12 .

Proof. This follows from 3.3.2 if $G=S_{2 \ell}$, so assume that $G=A_{2 \ell}$. For $\ell=4$ it is easy to check that (b) holds, so we take $\ell \geq 5$. Suppose that (a) is false, so that there is a partition $\rho=\left(1^{a_{1}}, \ldots, \ell^{\alpha_{\ell}}\right)$ of $\ell$ different from $\left(1^{\ell}\right)$ and $\left(1^{\ell-2}, 2^{1}\right)$, and an $H$-orbit $\Delta \subseteq \Sigma(\rho, \alpha)$ such that $|\Delta| \leq \ell(\ell-1)$. If $a_{1} \neq 0$ then $\Delta=\Sigma(\rho, \alpha)$, so $|\Delta|>\ell(\ell-1)$ by 3.3.2. Hence $a_{1}=0$ and so $i \geq 2$ in the notation of the proof of 3.3.2. But now (2) of that proof shows that $|\Delta| \geq \sigma_{\ell}(\rho) / 2>\ell(\ell-1)$, a contradiction.

LEMMA 3.3.4. (a) If $\ell \geq 7$ then $\Sigma\left(\left(1^{\ell-3}, 3^{l}\right), \alpha\right)$ is the wique second shortest orbit of $H$ on $V T \backslash \alpha\}$, and has size $4 \ell(\ell-1)(\ell-2) / 3$.
(b) If $\ell=6$ and $G=S_{12}$ then $\Sigma\left(\left(2^{3}\right), \alpha\right)$ is the unique second shortest orbit of $H$ on $V T \backslash\{\alpha\}$, of size 120 ; if $\ell=6$ and $G=A_{12}$ the shortest three orbits of $H$ have sizes $30,60,60$ and their union is $\Sigma\left(\left(1^{4}, 2^{1}\right), \alpha\right) \cup \Sigma\left(\left(2^{3}\right), \alpha\right)$.
(c) If $\ell=5$ then $\Sigma\left(\left(1,2^{2}\right), \alpha\right)$ is the unique second shortest orbit of $H$ on $V T \backslash\{\alpha\}$, of size 60 .

Proof. We first check the result for $\ell \leq 7$, using 3.3.1. The only point here which is not immediate is that for $\ell=6$ and $G=A_{12}$, the set $\sum\left(\left(2^{3}\right), \alpha\right)$ splits into two $H$-orbits of length 60 , since no odd permutation in $S_{12}$ fixes both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ with $\beta \in \Sigma\left(\left(2^{3}\right), \alpha\right)$. Thus we take $\ell \geq 8$. Suppose that (a) is false, and choose $\ell$ minimal such that there is a partition $\rho=\left(1^{a_{1}}, \ldots, l^{a^{\ell}}\right)$ of $\ell$ with $\rho \neq\left(1^{\ell}\right),\left(1^{\ell-2}, 2^{1}\right),\left(1^{\ell-3}, 3^{1}\right)$ and an $H$-orbit $\Delta \subseteq \Sigma(\rho, \alpha)$ such that $|\Delta| \leq 4 \ell(\ell-1)(\ell-2) / 3$. As in the proof of 3.3 .2 we have $\rho \neq\left(\ell^{l}\right)$, and define $i$ to be minimal such that $a_{i} \neq 0$. Now define $\rho^{*}$ as in 3.3.2.

First suppose that $i=1$, so that $\Delta=\Sigma(\rho, \alpha)$ and $a_{1}<\ell-3$. Then $\rho^{*}$ is not $\left(1^{\ell-1}\right)$ or $\left(1^{\ell-3}, 2^{l}\right)$, so by the minimality of $\ell$ we have $\sigma_{\ell-1}\left(\rho^{*}\right) \geq 4(\ell-1)(\ell-2)(\ell-3) / 3$ (note that $\left.\ell-1 \geq 7\right)$. Hence, using (1) in 3.3.2,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\Delta|=\sigma_{\ell}(\rho) & \geq \sigma_{\ell-1}\left(\rho^{*}\right) \cdot \ell!/ \alpha_{1} \cdot(\ell-1): \\
& \geq 4 \ell(\ell-1)(\ell-2)(\ell-3) / 3 \alpha_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $|\Delta|>4 \ell(\ell-1)(\ell-2) / 3$ since $a_{1}<\ell-3$; this is a contradiction. Next assume that $i \geq 3$. Then by (2) of 3.3.2,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\Delta| & \geq \sigma_{\ell}(\rho) / 2 \geq 2^{i-2} \ell(\ell-1) \ldots(\ell-i-1) / i a_{i} \\
& \geq 2(\ell-1)(\ell-2)(\ell-3)(\ell-4)>4 \ell(\ell-1)(\ell-2) / 3,
\end{aligned}
$$

again a contradiction.
Thus $i=2$, and again by (2),

$$
|\Delta| \geq \sigma_{\ell}(\rho) / 2 \geq \ell(\ell-1)(\ell-2)(\ell-3) / 2 a_{2}
$$

Consequently $a_{2} \geq 3(\ell-3) / 8$. In particular, $a_{2} \geq 2$. Now define $\rho^{\star *}=\left(2^{a_{2}^{-2}}, 3^{a_{3}}, 4^{a_{4}} \ldots .\right.$, a partition of $\ell-4$, By (l) of 3.3 .2 applied to $\rho^{*}$, we have

$$
\sigma_{\ell-2}\left(\rho^{*}\right)=\sigma_{\ell-4}\left(\rho^{* *}\right) \cdot 2 \cdot(\ell-2): / 2\left(a_{2}-1\right) \cdot(\ell-4)!
$$

Hence, noting that $\ell-4 \geq 4$ and using 3.3.2, we have

$$
\sigma_{\ell-2}\left(\rho^{*}\right) \geq 2(\ell-2)(\ell-3)(\ell-4)(\ell-5) / 2\left(a_{2}-1\right)
$$

and so by (1) again, using the fact that $2 a_{2} \leq \ell$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\Delta| & \geq \sigma_{\ell}(\rho) / 2 \geq \sigma_{\ell-2}\left(\rho^{*}\right) \cdot \ell!/ 2 a_{2} \cdot(\ell-2)! \\
& \geq(\ell-2)(\ell-3)(\ell-4)(\ell-5) \cdot 2 \ell(\ell-1) / 2 a_{2}\left(2 a_{2}-2\right) \\
& \geq 2(\ell-1)(\ell-3)(\ell-4)(\ell-5)>4 \ell(\ell-1)(\ell-2) / 3
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction. This completes the proof.
Now we consider the distance transitive graph $\Gamma$. First suppose that $\Gamma_{1}(\alpha)=\Sigma\left(\left(1^{\ell-2}, 2^{1}\right), \alpha\right)$. Then it is easily seen that $\Gamma_{2}(\alpha)$ contains both $\Sigma\left(\left(1^{\ell-3}, 3^{1}\right), \alpha\right)$ and $\Sigma\left(\left(1^{\ell-4}, 2^{2}\right), \alpha\right)$, contrary to distance transitivity. Similarly, if $\Gamma_{1}(\alpha)=\Sigma\left(\left(1^{\ell-3}, 3^{1}\right), \alpha\right)$ then $\Gamma_{2}(\alpha)$ contains $\Sigma\left(\left(1^{\ell-2}, 2^{1}\right), \alpha\right)$ and $\Sigma\left(\left(1^{\ell-4}, 4^{1}\right), \alpha\right)$, a contradiction. Hence, since by (1.9), $\Gamma_{1}(\alpha)$ is one of the shortest two $H$-orbits on $V \Gamma \backslash\{\alpha\}$, it follows from 3.3.3 and 3.3.4 that $\ell$ is 4,5 or 6 .

Let $\ell=4$. Since $\left(S_{2} w r S_{4}\right) \cap A_{8}<A G L_{3}(2)<A_{8}$, we have $G=S_{8}$ here, so by $3.3 .3(b), \Gamma_{1}(\alpha)=\Sigma\left(\left(2^{2}\right), \alpha\right)$. Then $\Gamma_{2}(\alpha)$ contains $\Sigma\left(\left(1^{2}, 2^{l}\right), \alpha\right)$ and $\Sigma\left(\left(4^{1}\right), \alpha\right)$, which is false.

Next let $\ell=5$. By 3.3.3 and 3.3.4, $\Gamma_{1}(\alpha)=\Sigma\left(\left(1^{1}, 2^{2}\right), \alpha\right)$ and we see that $\Gamma_{2}(\alpha)$ contains $\Sigma\left(\left(1^{3}, 2^{l}\right), \alpha\right)$ and $\Sigma\left(\left(1^{l}, 4^{l}\right), \alpha\right)$, which is not so.

$$
\text { Finally, let } \ell=6 \text {. If } G=S_{12} \text { then } \Gamma_{1}(\alpha)=\Sigma\left(\left(2^{3}\right), \alpha\right) \text { and }
$$ $\Gamma_{2}(\alpha)$ contains $\Sigma\left(\left(1^{4}, 2^{1}\right), \alpha\right)$ and $\Sigma\left(\left(1^{2}, 4^{l}\right), \alpha\right)$, a contradiction; and if $G=A_{12}$ then by $3.3 .4,\left|\Gamma_{1}(\alpha)\right|=60$. This is impossible by (1.9), as $H$ has further orbits of sizes 30 and 60.

(3.4) Case (iii) of Lemma 3.1. Here $n=k \ell$ and ( $k, \ell$ ) is one of ( 3,3 ), $(3,4),(4,3)$ and $(5,3)$. It is convenient to describe the orbits of $H$ on $V \Gamma$ as follows. We identify $V \Gamma$ with the set of partitions of $\Omega$ into $\ell$ blocks of size $k$. Let $\alpha=\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{\ell}\right\} \in V \Gamma$ (with all $\left|A_{i}\right|=k$ ) . For $\beta=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{\ell}\right\} \in V \Gamma$ define $M_{\beta}$ to be the $\ell \times \ell$ matrix with $(i, j)$-entry $\left|A_{i} \cap B_{j}\right|$. If

$$
\begin{aligned}
M=\{M \mid M & \text { an } \ell \times \ell \text { matrix over } \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\} \text { with all } \\
& \text { row- and column-sums equal to } k\}
\end{aligned}
$$

then $M_{\beta} \in M$. Define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on $M$ by

$$
M_{1} \sim M_{2} \Leftrightarrow M_{2}=P M_{1} Q \text { for some } \ell \times \ell \text { permutation matrices } P, Q
$$

Clearly all the possible choices for $M_{\beta}$ (for a given $\beta$ ) are equivalent, and moreover, $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ lie in the same $\left(S_{k} w r S_{\ell}\right)$-orbit if and only if $M_{B_{1}} \sim M_{B_{2}}$. For $M \in M$, let $\bar{M}$ be the equivalence class containing $M$. Thus the $\left(S_{k} w r S_{\ell}\right)$-orbits on $V \Gamma$ are the sets

$$
\Sigma(\bar{M})=\left\{\beta \mid M_{\beta} \in \bar{M}\right\}
$$

We observe that if $M_{\beta}$ has an entry which is at least 2 then there is an odd permutation in $S_{k \ell}$ fixing $\alpha$ and $\beta$, and hence $\Sigma\left(\bar{M}_{\beta}\right)$ is also an orbit of $\left(S_{k} w r S_{\ell}\right) \cap A_{k \ell}$.
(3.4.1) Case $(k, \ell)=(3,3)$. Here the rank of $G$ on $(G: H)$ is 5, and the suborbits are $\Sigma\left(\bar{M}_{i}\right), 0 \leq i \leq 4$, with $M_{i}$ and $\left|\Sigma\left(\bar{M}_{i}\right)\right|$ as follows:

| i | $M_{i}$ | $\left\|\Sigma\left(\bar{M}_{i}\right)\right\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\left(\begin{array}{lll}3 & & \\ & 3 & \\ & & 3\end{array}\right)$ | 1 |
| 1 | $\left(\begin{array}{lll}3 & & \\ & 2 & 1 \\ & 1 & 2\end{array}\right)$ | 27 |
| 2 | $\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$ | 36 |
| 3 | $\left(\begin{array}{lll}2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2\end{array}\right)$ | 54 |
| 4 | $\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2\end{array}\right)$ | 162 |

Note that $\Sigma\left(\bar{M}_{2}\right)$ is indeed an orbit of $\left(S_{3} w r S_{3}\right) \cap A_{9}$ : for let

$$
\alpha=\{\{123\},\{456\},\{789\}\}, \beta_{2}=\{\{147\},\{258\},\{369\}\}
$$

Then $\beta_{2} \in \Sigma\left(\bar{M}_{2}\right)$ and the odd permutation (14)(25)(36) fixes both $\alpha$ and $\beta_{2}$.

Now by (1.9), $\Gamma_{1}(\alpha)$ is either $\Sigma\left(\bar{M}_{1}\right)$ or $\Sigma\left(\bar{M}_{2}\right)$. First suppose $\Gamma_{1}(\alpha)=\Sigma\left(\bar{M}_{1}\right)$. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{1}=\{\{123\},\{457\},\{689\}\}, \gamma_{1}=\{\{457\},\{126\},\{389\}\}, \\
& \gamma_{2}=\{\{457\},\{128\},\{369\}\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\beta_{1} \in \Gamma_{1}(\alpha), \gamma_{1} \in \Gamma_{1}\left(\beta_{1}\right) \cap \Sigma\left(\bar{M}_{3}\right)$ and $\gamma_{2} \in \Gamma_{1}\left(\beta_{1}\right) \cap \Sigma\left(\bar{M}_{4}\right)$, so that $\Gamma_{2}(\alpha)$ contains $\Sigma\left(\bar{M}_{3}\right)$ and $\Sigma\left(\bar{M}_{4}\right)$, a contradiction. Similarly, if $\Gamma_{1}(\alpha)=\Sigma\left(\bar{M}_{2}\right)$ we see that $\Gamma_{2}(\alpha)$ contains $\Sigma\left(\bar{M}_{1}\right)$ and $\Sigma\left(\bar{M}_{4}\right)$, which is again false.
(3.4.2) Case $(k, \ell)=(3,4)$. In this case the rank of $G$ on ( $G: H)$ is 12 , and the shortest two orbits of $H$ on $V T \backslash\{\alpha\}$ are $\Sigma\left(\bar{M}_{1}\right), \Sigma\left(\bar{M}_{2}\right)$, of sizes 54, 144 respectively, where

$$
M_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
3 & & & \\
& 3 & & \\
& & 2 & 1 \\
& & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right), \quad M_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
3 & & & \\
& 1 & 1 & 1 \\
& 1 & 1 & 1 \\
& 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Hence by (1.9), $\Gamma_{1}(\alpha)$ is $\Sigma\left(\bar{M}_{1}\right)$ or $\Sigma\left(\bar{M}_{2}\right)$. As in (3.4.1), we see that in each case $\Gamma_{2}(\alpha)$ contains more than one $H$-orbit, contrary to distance transitivity.
(3.4.3) Case $(k, \ell)=(4,3)$. Here the rank of $G$ on ( $G: H$ ) is 9 and the shortest two $H$-orbits on $V \Gamma \backslash\{\alpha\}$ are $\Sigma\left(\bar{M}_{1}\right), \sum\left(\bar{M}_{2}\right)$ of sizes 48, 54, where

$$
M_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
4 & & \\
& 3 & 1 \\
& 1 & 3
\end{array}\right) \quad, \quad M_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
4 & & \\
& 2 & 2 \\
& 2 & 2
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We obtain a contradiction as above.
(3.4.4) Case $(k, \ell)=(5,3)$. The rank of $G$ here is 13 , and the shortest two $H$-orbits on $V \Gamma \backslash\{\alpha\}$ are $\sum\left(\bar{M}_{1}\right), \Sigma\left(\bar{M}_{2}\right)$ of sizes 75,250 , where

$$
M_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
5 & & \\
& 4 & 1 \\
& 1 & 4
\end{array}\right) \quad, \quad M_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
4 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 4 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 4
\end{array}\right)
$$

This gives the usual contradiction.
To complete the proof of the theorem in the imprimitive case, it remains to show that $A u t \Gamma \cong S_{n}$ for $\Gamma$ as in (1.4) or (1.5). Let $\Gamma$ be such a graph. Clearly Aut $\Gamma$ contains a subgroup $G \cong S_{n}$; moreover, it follows from [6] that for $n \geq 8, G$ is a maximal subgroup of either Alt $(V \Gamma)$ or $\operatorname{sym}(V \Gamma)$ (since $G^{V \Gamma}$ contains the subgroup $S_{n-1}$ acting on ( $k-1$ )-sets), and hence $G=$ Aut $\Gamma$, as required.

## 4. The primitive case

In this section we complete the proof of the theorem by dealing with the case where $H^{\Omega}$ is primitive and $H \neq A_{n}$. It is stated in [17, Remark, p341] that for $n$ large enough, $H^{\Omega}$ must be 2-transitive. We give a proof here for completeness:

LEMMA 4.1. The group $H$ is 2-transitive on $\Omega$ provided that $n \geq 6$.
Proof. If $n \leq 11$ the assertion is easily checked using [18]. Thus we take $n \geq 12$. Write $n_{i}$ for the number of orbits of $H$ on $\Omega^{\{i\}}$. If $n_{2}=1$ then $H^{\Omega}$ is 2-transitive: for if not then $|H|$ is odd and hence, by the maximality of $H$ in $G$, we have $H=A G L_{1}(p) \cap G$ with $n=p$, a prime. Then the bound (1) below is violated. Now suppose that $H$ is not 2-transitive. Then by (*) in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we have $n_{2}=2$, $n_{3} \leq 3$ and $n_{4} \leq 4$. We shall obtain a contradiction.

We colour the complete graph on $\Omega$ with two colours $r, b$ so that two edges have the same colour if and only if they lie in the same $H$-orbit on $\Omega^{\{2\}}$. The monochrome subgraphs are both regular and connected and have valency at least 3 (see [14, Lemma 3 and Theorem 5]). By Ramsey's Theorem, there is a monochrome triangle, say of colour $r$. Since the $r$-monochrome subgraph is connected, there must also be triangles of type rrb. And since the valency of the $b$-graph is at least 3 , there must also be triangles with at least two sides coloured $b$. It follows that $n_{3}=3$ and the three types of triangles are $r r r, r r b$ and $r b b$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { For } \omega \in \Omega \text { and } c \in\{r, b\} \text {, set } \\
& \qquad \Sigma_{c}(\omega)=\{\beta \mid\{\omega, \beta\} \text { has colour } c\} \text { and } v_{c}=\left|\Sigma_{c}(\omega)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\Omega=\{\omega\} \cup \Sigma_{r}(\omega) \cup \Sigma_{b}(\omega)$. Since there are no $b b b$-triangles, counting the $b$-edges between $\Sigma_{b}(\omega)$ and $\Sigma_{r}(\omega)$ gives $v_{b}\left(v_{b}-1\right) \leq v_{r} v_{b}$. Hence $v_{r} \geq v_{b}-1$, whence in fact $v_{r^{*}} \geq v_{b}$ (see [14, Theorem 3]). Also $v_{b}\left(v_{b}-1\right) \geq v_{r}$.

Suppose first that $v_{r}=v_{b}$. Now $H_{\omega}$ is 2-homogeneous on $\Sigma_{b}(\omega)$ since $H$ is transitive on bbr-triangles. Also $H_{\omega}$ cannot be 2-transitive
on $\Sigma_{b}(\omega)$ by [14, Theorem 4]. Hence by [14, Theorem 1], $\left|H_{\omega}\right|$ is odd and so $|H|$ is odd since $n=2 v_{r}+1$. As in the first paragraph of this proof, this is impossible by the bound (1) below.

Hence $v_{r}>v_{b}$. We next consider tetrahedra, that is, subgraphs of the complete graph on $\Omega$ isomorphic to $K_{4}$. Firstly, since $v_{b} \geq 3$ and there are no $b b b$-triangles, there is an rrr-triangle in $\Sigma_{b}(\omega)$, so there is a tetrahedron with three $b$-edges and an $r P r$-triangle. Secondly, since $v_{r} \geq 6$, by Ramsey's Theorem there are $r r-$ triangles in $\Sigma_{r}(\omega)$, so there is a monochrome $r$-tetrahedron. Thirdly, there is a tetrahedron with three $r$ and three $b$-edges but no rrr-triangle: for let $\beta \in \Sigma_{b}(\omega), \gamma \in \Sigma_{b}(\beta)$ with $\gamma \neq \omega$ (so that $\gamma \in \Sigma_{r^{\prime}}(\omega)$ ); then $\Sigma_{b}(\omega) \notin \Sigma_{b}(\gamma)$, so we can choose $\delta \in \Sigma_{b}(\omega) \cap \Sigma_{r}(\gamma)$ - then $\{\omega, \beta, \gamma, \delta\}$ is the required tetrahedron. Fourthly, there is a tetrahedron with only one or two non-incident $b$-edges: for if $\beta \in \Sigma_{b}(\omega)$, there are two points $\gamma, \delta \in \Sigma_{p}(\omega) \cap \Sigma_{r}(\beta)$ (since as $v_{r}>v_{b}$, there are at least two r-edges from $\beta$ into $\left.\Sigma_{r}(\omega)\right)$. Finally, there is a tetrahedron with a total of two $b$-edges, these being incident: for if $\beta \in \Sigma_{r}(\omega)$ then as $v_{r}>v_{b}$, there are points $\gamma, \delta \in \Sigma_{r}(\beta) \cap \Sigma_{b}(\omega)$.

All these five tetrahedra are of distinct types and so must lie in distinct $H$-orbits, contradicting the fact that $n_{4} \leq 4$. This completes the proof.

Now by (1.10) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|G: H| \leq t_{n}(G) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{n}(G)=\sum \chi(1)$, the sum being over all irreducible characters $\chi$ of $G$. By a theorem of Schur, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{n}\left(S_{n}\right)=\left|\left\{g \in S_{n} \mid g^{2}=1\right\}\right| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see $[17, \mathrm{p} .341]) . \operatorname{By}[9, \mathrm{p} .66]$, if $\lambda$ is a partition of $n$ and $\lambda^{\prime}$ the conjugate partition, with $\lambda \neq \lambda^{\prime}$, then $\chi_{A_{n}}^{\lambda}=\chi_{A_{n}}^{\lambda^{\prime}}$ is an irreducible character of $A_{n}$; and if $\lambda=\lambda$, then $\chi_{A_{n}}^{\lambda}$ is a sum of two distinct irreducible characters $X_{1}^{\lambda} \chi_{2}^{\lambda}$ of $A_{n}$ of equal degrees. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{n}\left(A_{n}\right)=\left(t_{n}\left(S_{n}\right)+\sum_{\lambda=\lambda^{\prime}} x^{\lambda}(1)\right) / 2 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that of course $t_{n}\left(A_{n}\right)<t_{n}\left(S_{n}\right)$. For a given value of $n$, the degrees $\chi^{\lambda(1)}$ for $\lambda=\lambda^{\prime}$ can be calculated using [9, 2.3.15].

Now $|H|<4^{n}$ by [15] and hence, as in [17, p.342], the bound (1) forces $n \leq 60$. Also $H^{\Omega}$ is 2-transitive by 4.1, so it is either contained in an affine group or it is one of the groups listed in [2, 5.3]. Using (1), (2) and (3), we see that in fact $n$ is at most 12 .
(4.2) Case $n=12$. The 2-transitive groups which are maximal in $A_{12}$ or $S_{12}$ are $L_{2}(11) .2$ and $M_{12}$ (see [2], or [18]). If $H=L_{2}(11) .2$ then $G=S_{12}$ and (1) gives a contradiction. Hence $H=M_{12}$ and $G=A_{12}$. We sketch a description of the action of $G$ on $\Sigma=(G: H)$, and refer the reader to [5] for further details. The group $G$ has rank 4 on $\Sigma$ (see $[4, p .91]$ ) and, taking $\alpha=H \in \Sigma$, the orbits of $H$ on $\Sigma \backslash\{\alpha\}$ are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Sigma_{1}=\{H x \mid x & \text { a } \left.3 \text {-cycle in } A_{12}\right\}, \\
\Sigma_{2}=\{H x \mid x & \text { a } \left.2^{2} \text {-element in } A_{12}\right\}, \text { of size } 440, \\
\Sigma_{3}=\{H x \mid x & \text { a } \left.5 \text {-cycle in } A_{12}\right\},
\end{array}
$$

for clearly $H$ fixes these sets, and hence they are $H$-orbits since the rank of $G$ is 4 . We may pick 3 -cycles $a, b, c$ and $2^{2}$-elements $d, e, f$ in $A_{12}$ such that $a b$ is a $2^{2}$-element, $a c$ and $d \hat{f}$ are 5-cycles and de is a 3-cycle.

Now by (1.9), $\Gamma_{1}(\alpha)$ is $\Sigma_{1}$ or $\Sigma_{2}$. If $\Gamma_{1}(\alpha)=\Sigma_{1}$ then $\beta=H a \in \Gamma_{1}(\alpha)$ and $H a b \in \Gamma_{1}(\beta) \cap \Sigma_{2}$, Hac $\in \Gamma_{1}(\beta) \cap \Sigma_{3}$, contrary to the distance transitivity of $\Gamma$. And if $\Gamma_{1}(\alpha)=\Sigma_{2}$ then $\gamma=H d \in \Gamma_{1}(\alpha)$ and $H d e \in \Gamma_{1}(\gamma) \cap \Sigma_{1}, H d f \in \Gamma_{1}(\gamma) \cap \Sigma_{3}$, again a contradiction. (4.3) Case $n=11$. Here, by (1) and [18], we have $H=M_{11}$ and $G=A_{11}$. By $[4, p .75]$ the rank of $G$ on $\Delta=(G: H)$ is 5 . Taking $M_{12}, A_{12}$ to act naturally on the set $\{1, \ldots, 12\}$, we regard $H, G$ as the stabilizers
in $M_{12}, A_{12}$ of the point 1 . There is a $G$-isomorphism
$H x \rightarrow M_{12} x \quad\left(x \in A_{11}\right)$ between $\Delta$ and $\Sigma=\left(A_{12}: M_{12}\right)$, and we identify $\Delta$ and $\Sigma$ via this isomorphism.

Since $H$ fixes the set $\left\{H x \mid x\right.$ a 3-cycle in $\left.A_{11}\right\}$ of size 330 , we see that the set $\Sigma_{1}$ described above in (4.2) must break up into two $H$ orbits, of sizes 110 and 330 . Thus if $\alpha=H \in \Delta$, the orbits of $H$ on $\Delta \backslash\{\alpha\}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{1}=\left\{M_{12} y \mid y \text { a 3-cycle in } A_{12} \text { involving } 1\right\}, \text { of size } 110, \\
& \Delta_{2}=\left\{H x \mid x \text { a 3-cycle in } A_{11}\right\}, \quad \text { of size } 330, \\
& \Delta_{3}=\left\{H x \mid x \text { a } 2^{2} \text {-element in } A_{11}\right\}, \text { of size } 495, \\
& \Delta_{4}=\left\{H x \mid x \text { a 5-cycle in } A_{11}\right\}, \text { of size } 1584 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (1.9), $\Gamma_{1}(\alpha)$ is $\Delta_{1}$ or $\Delta_{2}$. If $\Gamma_{1}(\alpha)=\Delta_{2}$ we obtain a contradiction as in (4.2). Thus let $\Gamma_{1}(\alpha)=\Delta_{1}$. Pick $\beta=M_{12}(1,2,3)$. Then $B$ corresponds to the coset $M_{11} g(1,2,3)$, where $g \in M_{12}$ and $g(1,2,3)$ fixes 1 (so that $1^{g}=3$ ). Since $M_{12}$ is 5 -transitive on $\{1, \ldots, 12\}$, we may choose $h, k \in M_{12}$ such that $1^{h}=4,2^{h}=5,3^{h}=3$ and $1^{k}=4,2^{k}=5,3^{k}=2$. Let $\beta_{1}=M_{11} h(1,2,4) \quad, \beta_{2}=M_{11} k(1,2,4)$. Then $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2} \in \Gamma_{1}(\alpha)$. Applying the elements $h(1,2,4)$ and $k(1,2,4)$ of $A_{11}$ to the edge between $\alpha$ and $\beta$, we see that $\beta_{1}$ is joined to $B h(1,2,4)$ and $\beta_{2}$ is joined to $B k(1,2,4)$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
B h(1,2,4) & =M_{11} g(1,2,3) h(1,2,4)=M_{11} g h(4,5,3)(1,2,4), \\
& =M_{11} g h(1,2,4,5,3), \\
B k(1,2,4) & =M_{11} g k(4,5,2)(1,2,4)=M_{11} g k(1,2)(4,5) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $g h, g k \in M_{12}$, we see that $\beta h(1,2,4), B k(1,2,4)$ correspond to the cosets $M_{12}(1,2,4,5,3), M_{12}(1,2)(4,5)$, and hence $B h(1,2,4) \in \Delta_{4}$, $\beta k(1,2,4) \in \Delta_{3}$. This means that $\Gamma_{2}(\alpha)$ contains $\Delta_{3}$ and $\Delta_{4}$, a contradiction.
(4.4) Case $n=10$. Here, by [18], we have either $H=M_{10}, G=A_{10}$ or $H=P \Gamma L_{2}(9), G=S_{10}$.
(4.4.1) Suppose first that $H=M_{10}, G=A_{10}$. We claim that $1_{H}^{G}$ is not multiplicity-free, a contradiction. Write $X=A_{12}, M=M_{12}$, acting on $\{1, \ldots, 12\}$. Since the rank of $X$ on $(X: M)$ is 4 and the rank of $X_{1}$ on $M_{1}$ is 5 , by the Schur branching law ( $[9,2.4 .3]$ ), there is an irreducible constituent $x^{\lambda}$ of $1_{M}^{X}$ with $\lambda=\left(1^{a_{1}}, \ldots, 12^{a_{12}}\right.$, such that at least two of the $a_{i}$, say $a_{r}$ and $a_{s}$, are non-zero. Using the Schur branching rule again twice, we see that the character $\chi^{\mu}$ of $G$ appears in $1_{H}^{G}$ with multiplicity at least 2 , where $\mu$ is the partition of 10 obtained from $\lambda$ by decreasing one part of size $r$ and one part of size $s$ both by 1 . Hence ${ }_{1}^{G}$ is not multiplicity-free, as claimed. (4.4.2) Now let $H=P \Gamma L_{2}(9), G=S_{10}$. With $X, M$ as above, we may take $G=X_{\{1,2\}}$ and $H=M \cap G$. There is a $G$-isomorphism between $(G: H)$ and $(X: M)$, and we identify these sets via this isomorphism. Calculation shows that the orbit $\Sigma_{1}$ of $X$ as in (4.2) splits into the three $H$-orbits

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma_{11}=\{M x \mid x \text { a 3-cycle involving } 1 \text { and } 2\}, \text { of size } 20, \\
& \Sigma_{12}=\{M x \mid x \text { a 3-cycle involving one point of }\{1,2\}\}, \text { of size } 180, \\
& \Sigma_{13}=\{M x \mid x \text { a 3-cycle in } G\}, \text { of size } 240 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The orbit $\Sigma_{2}$ splits into three $H$-orbits

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma_{21}=\{M x \mid x=(1,2)(a, b)\}, \text { of size } 45, \\
& \Sigma_{22}=\{M x \mid x=(1, a)(2, b)\}, \text { of size } 90, \\
& \Sigma_{23}=\{M x \mid x=(i, a)(b, c) \text { with } i \in\{1,2\}\}, \text { of size } 360,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a, b, c$ range over triples of distinct elements of $\{3, \ldots, 12\}$. The orbit $\Sigma_{3}$ splits into three $H$-orbits of sizes $144,720,720$. Thus by (1.9), $\Gamma_{1}(\alpha)$ is $\Sigma_{11}$ or $\Sigma_{21}$. If $\Gamma_{1}(\alpha)=\Sigma_{11}$ then $\Gamma_{2}(\alpha)$ contains $M(1,2,3)(1,2,4)$ and $M(1,2,3)(1,4,2)$, and hence contains
$\Sigma_{22}$ and $\Sigma_{12}$, which is a contradiction. We obtain a similar contradiction if $\Gamma_{1}(\alpha)=\Sigma_{21}$.
(4.5) Case $n=9$. By [18], $(H, G)$ is $\left(P \Gamma L_{2}(8), A_{9}\right),\left(A S L_{2}(3), A_{9}\right)$ or $\left(A G L_{2}(3), S_{9}\right)$. In the first case $G$ has rank 3 on ( $G: H$ ), giving the graphs $\Sigma_{120}, \bar{\Sigma}_{120}$ under (1.6). In fact $A_{9}$ here is contained in the larger rank 3 group $0_{8}^{+}(2)$ of degree 120 (see [4, p. 85]); since by [11], the only group lying between $0_{8}^{+}(2)$ and $A_{120}$ is the 2-transitive group $S p_{8}(2)$, we have $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Sigma_{120}\right) \cong O_{8}^{+}(2)$, as claimed in the theorem. (4.5.1) Now let $H=A S L_{2}(3), G=A_{9}$. We claim that $1_{H}^{G}$ is not multiplicity-free here. By [13, Appendix] the permutation character of $S_{9}$ on $\left(S_{9}: A G L_{2}(3)\right)$ contains both $\chi^{\left(4^{2}, 1\right)}$ and $\chi^{\left(3,2^{3}\right)}$. Since these restrict to the same character of $A_{9}$, our claim follows. (4.5.2) To complete (4.5), let $H=A G L_{2}(3), G=S_{9}$. Let $P$ be the affine plane corresponding to $H$, and for distinct $a, b \in \Omega$, let $\ell(a, b)$ be the line in $P$ containing $a$ and $b$. We describe the orbits of $H$ on $(G: H) \backslash\{H\}$. First, we have the $H$-orbit

$$
\Phi_{1}=\{H x \mid x \text { a } 2 \text {-cycle in } G\} \text {, of size } 36
$$

The set $\{H x \mid x$ a 3 -cycle in $G\}$ splits into the two $H$-orbits

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{2}=\{H(a b c) \mid c \in \ell(a, b)\} \text { of size } 8 \\
& \Phi_{3}=\{H(a b c) \mid c \notin \ell(a, b)\} \text { of size } 144
\end{aligned}
$$

The set $\left\{H x \mid x\right.$ a $2^{2}$-element of $\left.G\right\}$ splits into three H-orbits: writing $x=(a b)(c d)$, we have $\Phi_{1}=\{H x \mid \ell(a, b) \cap \ell(c, d)=\varnothing\}$. For the the other two orbits $\Phi_{4}, \Phi_{5}$, write $\{e\}=\ell(a, b) \cap \ell(c, d)$. Then

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Phi_{4}=\{H x \mid e \&\{a, b, c, d\}\} & \text { of size } 27 \\
\Phi_{5}=\{H x \mid e \in\{a, b, c, d\}\} & \text { of size } 216
\end{array}
$$

In this fashion it can be seen that there are precisely three further $H$ -
orbits, of sizes 48, 144 and 216 .
We now argue with the parameters $k_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}$ of the distance transitive graph $\Gamma$, as defined in [19]. Here $k_{i}=\left|\Gamma_{i}(\alpha)\right|$, and if $d(\alpha, \beta)=i$, then the number of vertices adjacent to $\beta$ and at distance $i-1$ or $i+1$ from $\alpha$ is $c_{i}$ or $b_{i}$, respectively. From [19], we have
(4)

$$
k_{1}>b_{1} \geq \ldots \geq b_{d-1} \text { and } 1=c_{1} \leq c_{2} \leq \ldots \leq c_{d}
$$

Some consequences of this for the $k_{i}$ are given in [16, 1.1].
Now by (1.9), $k_{1}$ is 8 or 27 . If $k_{1}=8$ then $k_{2}$ must be 48 , so by $[16,1.1]$ we must have $k_{9}=27, k_{8}=36$ and $k_{7} \in\{144,216\}$. This forces $b_{7}=1$ and $b_{8}>1$, contradicting (4). Hence $k_{1}=27$. By $[16,1.1], k_{2}$ is 36 or 48 . First let $k_{2}=48$. Then
$\left(b_{1}, c_{2}\right)=(16,9)$. Now $k_{3}$ is 144 or 216 . If $k_{3}=144$ then $\left(b_{2}, c_{3}\right)$ must be $(6,18)$ or (3,9) (using (4)); and $k_{4}$ is 144 or 216, so $\left(b_{3}, c_{4}\right)$ is $(a, a)$ or $(3 a, 2 a)$ for some integer $a$. Neither of these is possible by (4). Hence $k_{3}=216$. Then $\left(b_{2}, c_{3}\right)$ is $(9 a, 2 a)$ for some integer $a$, whence by (4) we have $b_{1}=16 \geq 9 a$ and $c_{2}=9 \leq 2 a$, an impossibility.

Thus $k_{2}=36$ and so $\left(b_{1}, c_{2}\right)=(4 a, 3 a)$ for some integer $a$. Then $k_{3}$ is 48 or 144 . If $k_{3}=144$ then $\left(b_{2}, c_{3}\right)=(4 b, b)$ for some $b$, and so by (4), we have $4 a \geq 4 b, 3 a \leq b$, a contradiction. Hence $k_{3}=48$ and so $k_{4}$ is 144 or 216 . In both cases (4) is again violated. Thus no distance transitive graph arises in (4.5.2). (4.6) Case $n=8$. If $G=A_{8}$ then $H$ must be $A G L_{3}(2)$ by [18] (since $L_{2}(7)$ of degree 8 is contained in $\left.A G L_{3}(2)\right)$. But then $G$ is 2-transitive on $(G: H)$, so $\Gamma$ is the complete graph $K_{15}=J(15,1)$. Hence we may take $G=S_{8}$, and by [18], $H=L_{2}(7) .2$. We consider $H$ as $L_{3}(2) .2$ embedded in $G=L_{4}(2) .2$, with $V=V_{4}(2)$ the natural module for
$G^{\prime}$. Then $H$ is the stabilizer of a pair $\alpha=\left\{U_{0}, W_{0}\right\}$ of subspaces of $V$ satisfying $V=U_{0} \oplus W_{0}, \operatorname{dim} U_{0}=1, \operatorname{dim} W_{0}=3$. Regarding $V \Gamma$ as the set of all such pairs $\{U, W\}$ of subspaces, the orbits of $H$ on $V \Gamma \backslash\{\alpha\}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{1}=\left\{\{U, W\} \mid U=U_{0} \text { or } W=W_{0}\right\} \backslash\{\alpha\}, \text { of size } 14, \\
& \Delta_{2}=\left\{\{U, W\} \mid U_{0} \leq W, U \leq W_{0}\right\}, \text { of size } 28, \\
& \Delta_{3}=\left\{\{U, W\} \mid U_{0} \leq W, U \neq W_{0} \text { or } U_{0} \neq W, U \leq W_{0}\right\}, \text { of size } 56, \\
& \Delta_{4}=\left\{\{U, W\} \mid U_{0} \neq W \text { and } U \neq W_{0}\right\}, \text { of size } 21 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus by (1.9), $\Gamma_{1}(\alpha)$ is $\Delta_{1}$ or $\Delta_{4}$. If $\Gamma_{1}(\alpha)=\Delta_{1}$ it is easily seen that $\Gamma_{2}(\alpha)$ contains $\Delta_{3} \cup \Delta_{4} ;$ and if $\Gamma_{1}(\alpha)=\Delta_{4}$ then $\Gamma_{2}(\alpha)$ contains $\Delta_{1} \cup \Delta_{2}$. This contradicts the distance transitivity of $\Gamma$. (4.7) Case $n=7$. If $G=A_{7}$ then $H=L_{2}(7)$ by [18], and $G$ is 2transitive on $(G: H)$, so $\Gamma=K_{15}$. Thus we take $G=S_{7}$, whence by [18], $H=F_{42}$, a Frobenius group of order 42. By [13, Appendix], $G$ has rank 7 on ( $G: H$ ) . Elementary calculation shows that the orbit sizes of $H$ on $(G: H)$ are $1,7,14,14,21,21,42$. Hence by $[16,1.1]$ we have $k_{1}=7, k_{2}=14$ and $k_{3}=21$. Since $H$ is 2-transitive on $\Gamma_{1}(\alpha)$ we have $b_{1}=6$, and hence $c_{2}=3, b_{2}=3$ and $c_{3}=2$. But then $c_{2}>c_{3}$, contradicting the inequalities (4).
(4.8) Case $n=6$. By [18], $H$ is $L_{2}(5)$ or $L_{2}(5) .2$ and $|G: H|=6$; moreover $G$ is 2-transitive on $(G: H)$, so $\Gamma$ is $K_{6}$. (4.9) Case $n=5$. Here $|G: H|=6$ and $\Gamma$ is again $K_{6}$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

## 5. Final remarks on Aut $A_{6}$

To conclude, we complete the proof of the corollary to the theorem by dealing with the case where $G \leq A u t A_{6}$ and $G \not \$ S_{6}$ (in the notation of the statement of the corollary). Let $\alpha \in V \Gamma$ and $H=G_{\alpha}$. From [4] we
see that $|G: H|$ is 10,36 or 45 . If $|G: H|=10$ then $G$ is 2transitive on $V \Gamma$, so $\Gamma=K_{10}$. Thus we suppose that $|G: H|$ is 36 or 45 .

First let $|G: H|=36$, so that $H \cap A_{6}=D_{10}$. It is easy to see that the permutation character of $A_{6}$ on ( $A_{6}: D_{10}$ ) is

$$
1+x^{(5,1)}+x^{\left(3^{2}\right)}+x^{(4,2)}+x_{1}^{(3,2,1)}+x_{2}^{(3,2,1)}
$$

a sum of irreducible characters of $A_{6}$ of degrees $1,5,5,9,8,8$. Thus (see $[4, \mathrm{p} .5]$ ) if $G=$ Aut $A_{6}$ then $1_{H}^{G}=1+x_{9}+x_{10}+x_{16}$, where $x_{i}$ is an irreducible character of $G$ of degree $i$. Then $G$ has rank 4 on $(G: H)$, and the subdegrees must be $1,5,10,20$. Hence by (1.9), $k_{1}$ is 5 or 10 . If $k_{1}=5$ then $\Gamma$ is the distance transitive graph $\Sigma_{36}$ as in (1.7) (see [1, p.153]); and if $k_{1}=10$ then $\Gamma$ is the graph obtained by joining vertices at distance 3 in $\Sigma_{36}$, which is easily seen not to be distance transitive. And if $G<$ Aut $A_{6}$ then the subdegrees of $G$ on ( $G: H$ ) are either $1,5,10,20$ or $1,5,10,10,10$; in the first case $\Gamma$ is $\Sigma_{36}$ again, and in the second $k_{1}=5$ by [16, 1.1], whence $\Gamma \cong \Sigma_{36}$ and $G$ is not distance transitive on $\Gamma$, a contradiction. Thus in all cases $\Gamma \cong \Sigma_{36}$. Finally we remark that Aut $\Sigma_{36} \cong$ Aut $A_{6}$, as is well known (see [1, p.153]).

Now let $|G: H|=45$. The permutation character of $A_{6}$ on the cosets of $H \cap A_{6}=D_{8}$ is

$$
1+x^{(5,1)}+x^{\left(2^{3}\right)}+2 x^{(4,2)}+x_{1}^{(3,2,1)}+x_{2}^{(3,2,1)}
$$

a sum of characters of degrees $1,5,5,2 \times 9,8,8$. If $G=$ Aut $A_{6}$ then $G$ has rank 5 on $(G: H)$, and hence has subdegrees $1,4,8,16,16$. Then by (1.9), $k_{1}$ is 4 or 8 . If $k_{1}=4$ then $\Gamma$ is $\Sigma_{45}$ as in (1.8), the line graph of the 8 -cage (see [20, Chapter 8]); and if $k_{1}=8$ then $\Gamma$ is the graph obtained from $\Sigma_{45}$ by joining vertices at distance 2 ,
which is easily seen not to be distance transitive. If $G<A u t A_{6}$ then $G$ has subdegrees $1,4,8,8,8,16$, so $\Gamma$ is not distance transitive by [16, l.1]. Finally, it is well known that Aut $\Sigma_{45} \cong$ Aut $A_{6}$.

Final Remark. While this paper was in preparation we received a preprint from A. Ivanov [8] which contains some of our results. Much of our work was done several years ago, and our paper is independent of his.
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