



# Turbulent plumes above a heated plate

# Jamie P. Webb<sup>1</sup>,<sup>†</sup>, Nick H. Wise<sup>1</sup> and Gary R. Hunt<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge, Trumpington St., Cambridge CB2 1PZ, UK

(Received 4 March 2022; revised 12 November 2022; accepted 13 February 2023)

Our focus concerns the turbulent convective flow above a uniformly heated high-aspect-ratio rectangular plate on z = 0. Prior to this study, classic plume theory could not be applied directly as the Richardson number is ill-defined at the plate. Guided by observation, conservation equations are posed for the near-plate region where the attached buoyant flow is predominantly horizontal. Analytical solutions under the Boussinesq approximation reveal this to be a dynamically invariant region where the attached 'plumes' grow linearly toward the plate centreline, their merger forming an 'apparent' source for the vertical plume above. Coupling predictions with data from flow visualisation and temperature measurement, we deduce the half-width  $0.72b_0$ , height  $z = 0.46b_0$  and finite Richardson number (~18) of an apparent source from which plume theory can be applied to model the plume above a heated plate of width  $2b_0$ . Finally, practical implications of this advancement to the analytical theory of turbulent plumes are noted.

Key words: plumes/thermals, turbulent convection

# 1. Introduction

This theoretical and experimental study examines the turbulent flow of miscible fluid above a horizontal rectangular plate, of width  $2b_0$  and length  $L \gg b_0$ , whose surface emits a steady uniform heat flux q (Wm<sup>-2</sup>). This flux is assumed to be small enough that the density differences induced in the fluid are small relative to the ambient density  $\rho_{\infty}$ and, therefore, we treat the flow as Boussinesq. Despite numerous practical occurrences, including the convective flow above a slender heated panel in an otherwise unheated floor, a chilled beam set in a ceiling or a straight section of road heated by the sun, an analytical description of the bulk convective flow immediately above a plate (§ 2), that develops into a classic far-field pure plume (§ 3), has not been developed until now.

†Email address for correspondence: jpw63@cam.ac.uk

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.



Figure 1. Shadowgraph images of the turbulent airflow above a rectangular heated plate of aspect ratio 6.6 at  $Ra \approx 3 \times 10^{10}$ : (*a*) instantaneous and (*b*) time averaged. (*c*) Intensity profiles on AA' (blue), BB' (red), CC' (yellow), DD' (purple) and EE' (green).



Figure 2. Representation of the mean flow above a plate on z = 0 emitting a uniform heat flux q (Wm<sup>-2</sup>) over  $x \in [0, 2b_0]$  and  $y \in [-\infty, \infty]$  into an environment of density  $\rho_{\infty}$ . Here A denotes a horizontal plume with velocity u, height h and density  $\rho$ ; B denotes the transitional region in which the horizontal plumes converge to form a vertical plume, labelled C. The height and half-width of the plume neck ( $z_n$  and  $b_n$ ) and apparent source ( $z_a$  and  $b_a$ ) are indicated. Dot-dash lines (- - -) indicate slender boundary layers.

From a modelling perspective, flow visualisation (figure 1) indicates that the turbulent flow above the plate consists of three distinct regions, as shown schematically in figure 2: a predominantly horizontal region of inward flow from the edges towards the plate centre, which we refer to as a horizontal plume, labelled A; a central transitional region where these plumes merge, labelled B, and within which the flow is turned vertically; and a plume-like region of predominantly vertical flow, labelled C, formed as buoyant fluid rises from region B.

The nature of the horizontal plume A is determined by the Prandtl Pr and Rayleigh  $Ra = g\beta q(2b_0)^4/\mathcal{K}\nu\kappa$  numbers (Fan *et al.* 2021), where g is the gravitational acceleration and  $\beta$ ,  $\nu$ ,  $\kappa$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  are, respectively, the coefficient of thermal expansion, the kinematic viscosity, thermal diffusivity and thermal conductivity of the fluid. Our focus is primarily on thermal plumes in air, for which  $Pr \approx 1$ . The horizontal plume is observed to be laminar for  $Ra \leq O(10^3)$  (Fan *et al.* 2021) and the bulk of the existing work has focused on this case, using boundary layer theory to estimate the heat transfer from the plate (Stewartson 1958; Gill, Zeh & del Casal 1965; Rotem & Claassen 1969; Pera & Gebhart 1973a; Ackroyd & Lighthill 1976; Kozanoglu & Lopez 2007; Jiang, Nie & Xu 2019b). Kitamura *et al.* (2015) found the critical value of  $Ra_{crit} = 5 \times 10^5$  for the transition to turbulence for

a plate of aspect ratio  $L/b_0 = 6$ . In our experiments (§ 4),  $L/b_0 = 6.6$ ,  $Ra = O(10^{10})$  and we observed the flow to be turbulent.

By contrast, theoretical models based on classic plume theory have been developed for vertical, turbulent plumes C above high-aspect-ratio rectangular area sources with non-zero specific source momentum flux ( $M_0 \neq 0$ ), and plume behaviour classified (van den Bremer & Hunt 2014) in terms of the source Richardson number

$$\Gamma_0 = C \frac{B_0 Q_0^3}{M_0^3}.$$
 (1.1)

In (1.1),  $B_0$  and  $Q_0$  are the physical fluxes per unit length (y direction, figure 2) of buoyancy and volume, respectively, at the physical source, and C is a constant. The significance of  $M_0 \neq 0$  is that the source supplies fluid, whereas for the heated plate,  $M_0 \equiv 0$ . van den Bremer & Hunt (2014) consider top-hat profiles for the cross-stream variation of buoyancy and velocity, defining  $C = (2\alpha_h)^{-1}$ , where  $\alpha_h$  is the horizontal entrainment coefficient for a well-established, i.e. pure, vertical planar plume. 'Lazy' or buoyancy-dominated releases, for which a heated plate represents the limiting case given  $M_0 \equiv 0$ , correspond to  $\Gamma_0 > 1$ . The virtual origin of a plume is the location  $z = z_{avs}$  of a line source of buoyancy flux only that gives rise to the same asymptotic behaviour as above the physical source at z = 0. For a plume that is lazy at source, it is readily shown (van den Bremer & Hunt 2014) that the virtual origin is at

$$\frac{z_{avs}}{b_0} = \frac{\mathcal{F}(\Gamma_0) - 3}{3\alpha_h \Gamma_0^{1/3}} \quad \text{where } \mathcal{F}(\Gamma_0) = \sum_{j=1}^{j=\infty} \left( \left( \prod_{i=1}^{i=j} (i-2/3) \right) \frac{\left(\frac{\Gamma_0 - 1}{\Gamma_0}\right)^j}{(j-1/3)j!} \right), \quad (1.2)$$

and for  $\Gamma_0 > 2$ , the plume contracts to a minimum half-width  $b_n$ , or neck, where locally  $\Gamma = \Gamma_n = 2$ , at a height  $z_n$  where

$$\frac{b_n}{b_0} = \left(\frac{\Gamma_n}{\Gamma_0}\right)^{2/3} \left(\frac{\Gamma_0 - 1}{\Gamma_n - 1}\right)^{1/3} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{z_n}{b_0} = \frac{(\Gamma_0 - 1)^{1/3}}{3\alpha_h \Gamma_0^{2/3}} \int_2^{\Gamma_0} \frac{\mathrm{d}Y}{Y^{1/3}(Y - 1)^{4/3}}.$$
(1.3*a*,*b*)

Solutions (1.2) and (1.3) are based on the assumption of an invariant entrainment coefficient. Modelling the flow above a heated plate using plume theory is problematic given  $M_0 \equiv 0$  and, thus,  $\Gamma_0$  is undefined. Alternatively, if one considers the limit  $M_0 \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\Gamma_0 \rightarrow \infty$  and the plume is predicted to contract to a width of zero (1.3*a*) and  $z_n/b_0 \rightarrow 0$  (1.3*b*), i.e. the neck is coincident with the physical source. Moreover, from (1.2),  $z_{avs}/b_0 \rightarrow 0$ , which suggests that the physical plume can be represented as that from the virtual line source ( $B_0 > 0$ ,  $Q_0 = M_0 = 0$ ) at the same elevation as the physical source. This contradictory prediction is clearly non-physical. Evidently, existing plume models (Kaye 2008; Woods 2010; Hunt & van den Bremer 2011) cannot accurately capture the bulk features of the time-averaged turbulent flow above a heated plate and an improved description of the near-plate plume flow is required, providing motivation for the current study.

Only in the past twenty five years has information on the large-scale turbulent motion above a heated plate at high Ra been reported. Kitamura, Chen & Kimura (2001) focused on laminar-turbulent transition, with flow visualisation revealing a near-plate horizontal convergent flow that turns to become vertical. Tsitsopoulos (2013) considered theoretically

# J.P. Webb, N.H. Wise and G.R. Hunt

the axisymmetric flow above a heated disc, however, this model became intractable due to mathematical difficulties attributed to the geometry. We initially adopt his approach to model the flow above a rectangular plate ( $\S$  2). Notably, the recent review of Fan *et al.* (2021) cites no examples of previous theoretical studies on the turbulent case.

Our approach is as follows. In § 2 we develop the first theoretical description of the attached horizontal plumes and successfully avoid the aforementioned contradiction(s). We model the horizontal plume growing from one edge of the plate in isolation, assuming the mean flow is two dimensional, and solve analytically the resulting conservation equations. By assuming that the vertically rising plume-like flow is supplied at its apparent source by a horizontal plume growing from each edge of the heated surface, in § 3 we characterise theoretically the apparent source. With these predictions, we use the theory for planar plumes of van den Bremer & Hunt (2014) to predict the neck height above the heated plate. In § 4 we detail shadowgraph experiments and temperature measurements that are compared with the theory of §§ 2 and 3, confirming that our model captures the observed flow well and that the plume from a heated plate may now be described by applying classic plume theory from the apparent source we identify.

# 2. Governing equations for the horizontal plume

Consider the horizontal plume on a heated plate of width  $2b_0$  that extends infinitely in the spanwise direction (y axis into the page, figure 2). A steady uniform heat flux q > 0 (Wm<sup>-2</sup>) is supplied on z = 0 for  $0 \le x \le 2b_0$ , and q = 0 on z = 0 for x < 0 and  $x > 2b_0$ . These unheated regions are assumed to be perfectly insulated from the heated region and remain isothermal with temperature identical to the surrounding ambient. The environment for z > 0 is unbounded, of uniform density  $\rho_{\infty}$  and is treated as an ideal gas. The heat flux is equivalent to a uniform buoyancy flux of  $F = (g/\rho_{\infty}T_{\infty}c_p)q$  per unit area, where  $c_p$  denotes the specific heat capacity. The convective flow so driven is treated as incompressible, fully turbulent and independent of the Reynolds number, so the effects of molecular diffusion and viscosity are neglected. While we acknowledge that the effects of viscosity play a key role in the development of slender boundary layers within the near-plate region of A, we neglect altogether the presence of boundary layers and any associated loss of momentum in the horizontal plume due to contact with the plate; our solutions therefore do not satisfy the no-slip condition and velocities may be interpreted as those outside the boundary layer. Whilst a simplifying assumption, the results of Rotem & Claassen (1969) and Pera & Gebhart (1973b) would appear to aid us in justifying such an approach. Indeed, they found that the boundary layer growing from the plate edge separated when the local Grashof number  $Gr_x = g\beta x^3 \Delta T/v^2 \approx 100$ , where  $\Delta T$  is the temperature difference between the plate surface and the ambient. For the experimental conditions we consider, separation is therefore expected at  $x \approx 5$  mm, i.e. after only  $\sim 3\%$  of the plate half-width of  $b_0 \sim 152$  mm, meaning that the majority of the flow we are modelling is not expected to be a classic boundary layer flow but a convective turbulent flow. Accordingly, given a primary aim is to establish how bulk entrainment and plume merger (§ 3) ultimately control the 'apparent source' conditions for C, we make the simplifying assumption that the cross-stream profiles of both mean horizontal velocity u(x) and density  $\rho(x)$  are uniform.

The mean quantities considered are those averaged in time and spatially in y. The interface at z = h(x) is gravitationally unstable and subject to shear. The resulting Rayleigh–Taylor instabilities and turbulent engulfment give rise to mixing at this interface, causing a net downward transport of fluid, with velocity  $w_e$  and density  $\rho_{\infty}$ , into the plume (region A, figure 2). The mean height of the plume h(x) thereby increases in the

#### Turbulent plumes above a heated plate

*x* direction. Adapting the entrainment assumption, cf. Taylor (1945) for vertical plumes, the entrainment velocity  $w_e$  is proportional to a local characteristic velocity in the plume, i.e.  $w_e = -\alpha_v u$ , where  $\alpha_v > 0$  is the vertical entrainment coefficient. As confirmed in § 4.1.4, it is to be expected that  $\alpha_v > \alpha_h$  due to both the gravitational and shear instabilities at the horizontal plume perimeter, compared with just the shear instability at the vertical plume boundary. Under these assumptions, approximating the fluid as a Boussinesq, ideal gas it is readily shown (Appendix A) that statements of conservation of mass, specific momentum and energy reduce to

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}Q}{\mathrm{d}x} = \alpha_v \frac{M}{Q}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}M}{\mathrm{d}x} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} \left(\frac{BQ^3}{M^2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}B}{\mathrm{d}x} = F, \quad (2.1a-c)$$

respectively, where Q = uh,  $M = u^2h$ , B = g'uh and the reduced gravity  $g' = g(\rho_{\infty} - \rho)/\rho_{\infty}$ . The boundary conditions are Q = M = B = 0 at x = 0. The equations for volume flux Q and buoyancy flux B, (2.1*a*) and (2.1*c*), are identical to those for a vertical wall plume (Cooper & Hunt 2010). The difference in the momentum flux equation (2.1*b*) is due to the fact that the increase in specific momentum flux M in the horizontal plume is driven by a horizontal pressure gradient, whereas in a wall plume it is driven by buoyancy. While there is no naturally arising characteristic length scale for the horizontal plume flow above a semi-infinite plate, we have elected below to non-dimensionalise using the physical plate half-width,  $b_0$ , given our ultimate goal concerns the plume above a plate of finite width. With lengths scaled on  $b_0$ , indicated with hatted variables, we introduce the dimensionless fluxes  $\hat{Q}$ ,  $\hat{M}$  and  $\hat{B}$  via

$$\hat{Q} = \frac{Q}{\alpha_v b_0 U}, \quad \hat{M} = \frac{M}{\alpha_v b_0 U^2}, \quad \hat{B} = \frac{B}{b_0 F}, \quad (2.2a-c)$$

where U is a horizontal velocity scale. Accordingly, (2.1) and the boundary conditions reduce to

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{Q}}{\mathrm{d}\hat{x}} = \frac{\hat{M}}{\hat{Q}}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{M}}{\mathrm{d}\hat{x}} = \frac{b_0 F}{2U^3} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\hat{x}} \left(\frac{\hat{B}\hat{Q}^3}{\hat{M}^2}\right), \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{B}}{\mathrm{d}\hat{x}} = 1, \quad (2.3a-c)$$

and  $\hat{Q} = \hat{M} = \hat{B} = 0$  on  $\hat{x} = 0$ . Defining U as the velocity at the centre of the plate, i.e.  $U = (b_0 F/2)^{1/3}$  as confirmed in (2.6), the governing equations are rendered independent of the source conditions. Equations (2.3b) and (2.3c) may be integrated directly, thence

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{Q}}{\mathrm{d}\hat{x}} = \frac{\hat{M}}{\hat{Q}}, \quad \frac{\hat{B}\hat{Q}^3}{\hat{M}^3} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{B} = \hat{x}. \tag{2.4a-c}$$

That the ratio of fluxes  $\hat{B}\hat{Q}^3/\hat{M}^3$ , a Richardson number for the horizontal plume, is invariant enables comparisons to be drawn with the analogous dynamical invariance in the far field of a vertical plume. Moreover, (2.4c) indicates, as expected for a uniformly distributed buoyancy input, that the buoyancy flux increases linearly with  $\hat{x}$ . Solving (2.4) we obtain

$$\hat{Q} = \frac{3}{4}\hat{x}^{4/3}, \quad \hat{M} = \frac{3}{4}\hat{x}^{5/3} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{B} = \hat{x},$$
 (2.5*a*-*c*)

or, in terms of the height, velocity and reduced gravity of the horizontal plume

$$h = \frac{3}{4}\alpha_v x, \quad u = U\left(\frac{x}{b_0}\right)^{1/3} \text{ and } g' = \frac{4}{3\alpha_v}\left(\frac{2F^2}{x}\right)^{1/3}.$$
 (2.6*a*-*c*)

That  $u(x = b_0) = U$  confirms  $U = (b_0 F/2)^{1/3}$  as a natural choice for the velocity scale. The linear dependence of plume height (2.6*a*) and the singular behaviour  $g' \to \infty$  as  $x \to 0^+$  (2.6*c*) are assessed in § 4.

# 3. Source conditions for the vertical plume

The Richardson number  $\Gamma_a$ , cf. (1.1), that characterises the 'apparent' source governs the classification and, thereby, behaviour of the vertical plume C. The vertical fluxes of volume, specific momentum and buoyancy at the apparent source are taken to be  $(Q_a, M_a, B_a) = (2Q_c, 2\lambda M_c, 2B_c)$ , where the fluxes in the horizontal plumes (2.5) are evaluated on the centreline, *viz*.

$$Q_c = \frac{3}{4} \alpha_v b_0 U, \quad M_c = \frac{3}{4} \alpha_v b_0 U^2, \quad B_c = b_0 F.$$
 (3.1*a*-*c*)

In other words, the combined volume fluxes of the horizontal plumes at the centre of the plate form the apparent source volume flux and we have assumed that the vertical momentum flux at the apparent source should scale so as to be proportional to the magnitude of the horizontal momentum (evaluated on the centreline), an assumption routinely applied to plume-boundary impingements (Jiang *et al.* 2019*a*).

Without further scaling, these fluxes lead to an invariant Richardson number of  $B_a Q_a^3/M_a^3 = 4/\lambda^3$ . Given our goal is to apply the results of plume theory from this apparent source, we rescale so as to be consistent with that required for a pure plume to have a Richardson number of unity. Accordingly, with reference to (1.1) with  $C = (2\alpha_h)^{-1}$ ,

$$\Gamma_a = \frac{B_a Q_a^3}{2\alpha_h M_a^3} = \frac{b_0 F}{\alpha_h \lambda^3 U^3} = \frac{2}{\alpha_h \lambda^3}.$$
(3.2)

Given measurements indicate that  $\alpha_v \approx 1.2$  (§ 4.1.4), from (2.6),  $h \approx b_0$  at  $x = b_0$  and, thus, it is not reasonable to treat the heated plate as a  $\Gamma_0 = 2/\alpha_h \lambda^3$  source at z = 0 using plume theory; indeed, our observations (§ 4) show that the neck is higher and narrower than that predicted based on such a treatment. Thus, we proceed by predicting the apparent source height,  $z_a$ , half-width,  $b_a$  (figure 2), and its virtual origin location,  $z_{avs,a}$ , that would match the observed  $b_n$  and  $z_n$ . To do this, we relate  $z_a$ ,  $b_a$  and  $z_{avs,a}$  to the quantities we can directly measure,  $b_n$  and  $z_n$ , and infer  $z_{avs}$  (§ 4) using the relationship derived below.

On dimensional grounds, we define, for the constants  $\{k, m, p\} > 0$ ,

$$\hat{b}_n = k, \quad \hat{z}_n = m \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{z}_{avs} = -p.$$
 (3.3*a*-*c*)

Given  $\Gamma_n = 2$ , the ratio of the neck and apparent source half-widths is, from (1.3),

$$\frac{b_n}{b_a} = \left(\frac{\Gamma_n}{\Gamma_a}\right)^{2/3} \left(\frac{\Gamma_a - 1}{\Gamma_n - 1}\right)^{1/3} \implies \hat{b}_a = k(\alpha_h \lambda^3 (2 - \alpha_h \lambda^3))^{-1/3}.$$
 (3.4)

The location of the apparent source  $\hat{z}_a$  is given by the distance between the actual and apparent neck heights, i.e.  $\hat{z}_a = \hat{z}_n - \hat{z}_{n,a}$  (figure 2), hence,

$$\hat{z}_a = m - kI$$
 where  $I = \frac{1}{2^{2/3} 3\alpha_h} \int_2^{2/(\alpha_h \lambda^3)} \frac{\mathrm{d}Y}{Y^{1/3} (Y-1)^{4/3}},$  (3.5)

cf. (1.3). If the plume from the apparent source is an accurate model for the far-field data, the experimentally observed virtual origin, measured by linearly tracing the far-field plume perimeter back to a line of intersection, and the theoretical apparent virtual origin

#### Turbulent plumes above a heated plate

will be coincident. In other words, if the model is accurate, the far-field perimeter of the plume above the heated plate, and that of a theoretical plume issuing from the apparent source will be the same. The measured virtual origin is determined relative to the physical source (i.e. the physical plate), while the apparent virtual origin is located relative to the apparent source. The virtual origin for the plume from the apparent source,  $\hat{z}_{avs,a}$ , relative to the apparent source is therefore given by the distance between the virtual origin location relative to the plate and the apparent source location, i.e.  $\hat{z}_{avs,a} = \hat{z}_{avs} - \hat{z}_a$ . Equating this expression with (1.2) and using (3.5) gives

$$\hat{z}_{avs,a} = kI - p - m = -\frac{k}{3} \frac{3 - \mathcal{F}(\Gamma_a)}{[\alpha_h^4 \Gamma_a (2 - \alpha_h)]^{1/3}}.$$
(3.6)

While  $\Gamma_a$  has been established above,  $b_a$  and  $z_a$  must be inferred from experimental measurements of the neck width, neck height and asymptotic virtual origin location, parameterised by k, m and p, respectively. Thus, in what follows we report on measurements of k and m, and predict the value of p. This choice was made as p would need to be established from the far-field gradient of the width. In contrast, k and m can be established solely from measurements made nearer to the source – this near-field measurement approach offers significant advantages for the study of convection above large-aspect-ratio plates given the true far field is often not accessible to measurement.

Throughout this section the constant of proportionality,  $\lambda$ , was retained in the analysis, however, we set  $\lambda = 1$  in § 4. Here  $\lambda = 1$  corresponds to the least lazy apparent source. However, it transpires that the question of identifying the most appropriate value for  $\lambda$  is not problematic as knowledge of the neck location and width (empirically observed constants in the model we develop) determines the plume perimeter below that height. For  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , the apparent source is increasingly lazy, wider and further below the neck (closer to the plate) and, as such, the predicted plume perimeter is extended nearer to the plate, but forms a smooth continuation of the predicted plume perimeter for  $\lambda = 1$ .

# 4. Experimental investigation

The heat source was a rectangular brass plate ( $L = 1000 \pm 1$  mm,  $2b_0 = 305 \pm 1$  mm, thickness  $6 \pm 0.1$  mm) mounted directly atop four electrically heated discs (diameter  $\phi = 154$  mm, maximum heat output 1 kW) aligned along the plate centreline with centres at 232 mm spacing. Shadowgraph experiments were conducted in air for  $2.6 \times 10^{10} < Ra < 8.0 \times 10^{10}$ , a range achieved by varying the plate temperature. Temperature profile measurements were made for  $Ra \approx 4 \times 10^{10}$ . The plumes were interrogated by means of flow visualisation for  $\hat{z} \leq 3$  (§ 4.0.1) and direct temperature measurements for  $\hat{z} \lesssim 4$  (§ 4.0.2). Yokoi (1960) established that the plume from a slender rectangular source departs from the two-dimensional scaling  $(g' \sim z^{-1})$  toward the axisymmetric scaling  $(g' \sim z^{-5/3})$  at elevations of z/L = 6 - 7; given  $\hat{z} = 4$  corresponds to  $z/L \approx 0.6$ , our measurements are firmly within the region in which two-dimensional flow is to be expected. The plate temperature was measured using type-K thermocouples (Omega Engineering (OE),  $\phi = 0.025$  mm, response time  $t_r = 0.15$  s), surface mounted at (x, y) = (40, 30), (40, 270), (153, 150) and (153, 390) mm  $(\pm 1 \text{ mm})$ . Across all experiments, the time-averaged ensemble mean surface temperature spanned  $\langle T_p \rangle = 69.2 - 125.2^{\circ} C(\pm 0.1^{\circ} C)$  and the instantaneous room temperature  $T_{\infty} = 23.4 - 25.1^{\circ} C(\pm 0.1^{\circ} C)$ ; the  $\pm$  range given for  $\langle T_p \rangle$  and  $T_{\infty}$  was dictated by the precision of the instrument. The variation of temperature recorded across the plate is given in table 1, Appendix B. Accordingly, we take the properties of air at  $T_{\infty} = 297$  K and standard atmospheric pressure (1.01325 bar) (Cimbala & Cengel 2008). Finally, we

#### J.P. Webb, N.H. Wise and G.R. Hunt

note that van den Bremer & Hunt (2014) identify the non-dimensional vertical length over which non-Boussinesq effects are important scales as  $\mathcal{L}_{NB-B} \sim (4F^2/(b_0g^3\alpha_h^2))^{1/3}$ . Whilst expressed as a length scale,  $\mathcal{L}_{NB-B}$  incorporates effects of both the size and strength of the buoyancy source. For a typical shadowgraph experiment,  $\mathcal{L}_{NB-B} \approx 0.1$  (taking  $g = 9.81 \text{ ms}^{-2}$ ); given this length scale is measured from the virtual origin at  $\hat{z}_{avs} \approx -1$ (see § 4.1.3), the vertical plume is expected to be Boussinesq at all heights.

#### 4.0.1. Shadowgraph

The flow was visualised using direct shadowgraphy in diverging light (Settles 2001). Light rays passing through each x-z plane are continuously deflected due to the inhomogeneous density field. Each shadowgraph image therefore captures the superposition of the deflections over all x-z planes between light source and camera, and thereby provides a spanwise integrated view of the flow. Images were recorded at 12.5 frames per second using a JAI SP-5M CCD camera and Kowa 16 mm lens. Instantaneous images so obtained, figure 1(*a*), show turbulent motion above the plate, an increase in the depth *h* of the horizontal plume with *x* and the contraction in the vertical flow to a neck.

A characteristic time scale for the horizontal plume is

$$\tau = \frac{b_0}{U} = \left(\frac{2b_0^2 c_p T_\infty \rho_\infty}{qg}\right)^{1/3} \approx 1s.$$
(4.1)

Each experiment was recorded for a period of 90 s  $\gg \tau$ , and the corresponding 1125 instantaneous images combined to produce a single time-and-space averaged image, cf. figure 1(*b*). For  $\hat{z} \gtrsim 0.2$ , the intensity distribution is near Gaussian for each row of the resulting image (the coefficient of determination  $R^2 = \{0.985, 0.985, 0.976, 0.937\}$  for profiles AA' to DD', respectively) and, hence, the plume perimeter was defined as the locations  $x = b_{lhs}$  and  $x = b_{rhs}$ , at which the intensity had fallen to  $1/\sqrt{e}$  of the row maximum, where  $\ln(e) = 1$ . Accordingly, the normalised plume half-width was defined as  $\hat{b} = (b_{rhs} - b_{lhs})/2b_0$ .

#### 4.0.2. Temperature measurements

Cross-stream profiles of time-averaged temperature  $\bar{T}(x, z)$  at y = L/2 were obtained by horizontally traversing a type-K thermocouple (OE,  $\phi = 0.25$  mm,  $t_r < 1$  s) from  $x = \{-60, 370\}$  mm in 10 mm increments at elevations of  $z = \{0, 5, 10\}$  mm, and from  $z = \{20, 290\}$  mm in 10 mm increments. Temperatures at each location were sampled by the datalogger every second for  $\sim 60\tau$ , from which  $\bar{T}$  was calculated. Consistent with shadowgraph experiments, the plume perimeter was defined as the x locations at which  $\bar{T} = \bar{T}_m/\sqrt{e}$ , where  $\bar{T}_m$  denotes the maximum time-averaged temperature at that elevation.

#### 4.1. Results

# 4.1.1. Width measurements

Figure 3(*a*) plots profiles of the normalised half-width inferred from 21 shadowgraph experiments with different  $\overline{T}_p$ , and their ensemble median. The plate temperature for each of the shadowgraph experiments is detailed in Appendix B. Half-widths obtained from the temperature traverses are overlaid as crosses. While values differ, both approaches capture the same general trends; the morphology of the high-*Ra* plume is invariant and is



Figure 3. (a) Normalised half-width from: (—, light red) 21 shadowgraph experiments; (—, blue) the ensemble median (from which  $\hat{z}_n = 1.37$ ,  $\hat{b}_n = 0.423$ , as marked on (c)); (×, black) temperature data. (b) Linear best fits to data in (a) for  $\hat{z} > 2$ ; gradients are 7.82 (temperature) and 7.89 (shadowgraph), with correlation coefficients 0.720 and 0.945, respectively. (c) Prediction of our model for an impingement region of: (—, red) zero width; (- - -, red) finite width with an aspect ratio of 2. The shadowgraph measurements were recorded for 2.6 × 10<sup>10</sup> <  $Ra < 8.0 × 10^{10}$  and the temperature traverses for  $Ra \approx 4 × 10^{10}$ .

characterised by a far-field linearly expanding region ( $\S$  4.1.2), a height at which the plume is narrowest ( $\S$  4.1.3) and a near-field linearly contracting region ( $\S$  4.1.4).

The shadowgraph data are noisy immediately above the plate (figure 3(*a*) for  $\hat{z} \leq 0.35$ ) and this is evident in the ensemble average. The cause is twofold: firstly, as the shadowgraphy was in diverging light, the magnification of the flow above the plate edge nearest the light source is 15% greater than that above the farthest edge (as estimated from Settles (2001) given the light source and plate centreline were 911 and 196 cm from the visualisation screen, respectively) and this creates some uncertainty as to the plate location in an image; secondly, the intensity profiles here are not Gaussian, cf. profile *EE'* in figure 1(*b*,*c*), and, as such, the thresholding of the intensity breaks down.

Figures 4(*a*) and 4(*b*) plot the dimensionless neck width and neck height, respectively, against non-dimensional plate temperature,  $\theta_p = (\langle T_p \rangle - T_\infty)/T_\infty$ . It is clear that both  $\hat{b}_n$  and  $\hat{z}_n$  are approximately constant over the range of  $\theta_p$  measured. For each shadowgraph experiment,  $\hat{b}_n$  was taken as the smallest value of  $\hat{b}$ , and  $\hat{z}_n$  as the height at which this minimum occurred. The greater spread observed in the neck height measurements, compared with the neck width measurements, is expected; near the neck  $d\hat{b}/d\hat{z} \rightarrow 0$ , so measurements of neck width are relatively robust to errors in the shadowgraph, whereas the neck height measurements are more sensitive (there is a large range of values of  $\hat{z}$  with  $\hat{b} \approx \hat{b}_n$ ). Transition to turbulence in the horizontal plume could provide an explanation for the increased spread in the measurements for  $\theta_p \lesssim 2.3$ .

# 4.1.2. Far-field region

Irrespective of the actual, or apparent, source Richardson number,  $\Gamma \to 1$  as  $\hat{z} \to \infty$ (van den Bremer & Hunt 2014), a dynamically invariant region where the entrainment coefficient  $\alpha_h$  can be inferred from the constant growth rate of the vertical plume. While the Richardson & Hunt (2022) assessment of the literature on line plumes and their own independent measurements based on the plume-induced flow show  $\alpha_h \approx 0.11$ , for completeness we estimate  $\alpha_h$  from our own measurements. Figure 3(*b*) plots the half-width data and linear best fits for  $\hat{z} > 2$ . Given the cross-stream profiles are approximately Gaussian (see profiles AA'-DD', figure 1),  $\alpha_h = (\sqrt{\pi}/2)d\hat{b}/d\hat{z}$  (van den Bremer & Hunt 2014) from which the respective gradients lead to  $\alpha_h \approx 0.113$ 



Figure 4. (a) Normalised neck width versus plate temperature; - - - neck width  $\hat{b}_n = 0.423$  corresponding to the ensemble-averaged shadowgraph data. (b) Normalised neck height versus plate temperature; - - - neck height  $\hat{z}_n = 1.37$  corresponding to the ensemble-averaged shadowgraph data. Horizontal error bars show the standard error of the mean  $(\sigma/\sqrt{N})$ , where  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation and N the number of observations. Vertical error bars reflect the 15% difference in magnification along the plate.

(temperature data) and 0.112 (shadowgraph data). That these estimates agree closely with the established value provides confidence in our data and, moreover, we may assert that the shadowgraph offers a robust approach for interrogating this source geometry.

Substituting  $\alpha_h = 0.11$  into (3.2) and (3.6) gives

$$\Gamma_a = 18.2, \quad I = 2.16 \quad \text{and} \quad p + m = 6.51k,$$
 (4.2*a*-*c*)

to three significant figures.

#### 4.1.3. Apparent source

Substituting our empirical measurements k = 0.423 and m = 1.37, figure 3(c), into (3.4) and (3.5), the half-width and location of the apparent source are, respectively,

$$b_a = 0.423 \times 1.69 = 0.715$$
 and  $\hat{z}_a = 1.37 - (0.423 \times 2.16) = 0.456$ , (4.3*a*,*b*)

to three significant figures. Given  $b_0 > 0$  and  $Q_0 \equiv 0$  the heated plate was anticipated to produce a contracting plume and, hence, it was to be expected that (i)  $b_a/b_0 < 1$  (4.3) and (ii)  $\hat{z}_a < \hat{z}_n$  given previous results show  $\Gamma \approx 1$  above the neck. Additionally, compatibility with  $\Gamma_a = 2/\alpha_h$  (given  $\lambda = 1$ ), as predicted by our theory, requires  $p = (6.51 \times 0.423) - (6.51 \times 0.423)$ 1.37 = 1.38 (from (4.2c) with  $\alpha_h = 0.11$ ). Figure 3(c) overlays the perimeter predicted by the classic model of van den Bremer & Hunt (2014) for a plume emanating from our prediction of the apparent plume source ( $b_a = 0.715b_0, z_a = 0.456b_0, \Gamma_a = 18.2$ ) with the widths inferred from temperature measurements, and the ensemble median shadowgraph data. The data are well described by this approach, notably our predicted virtual origin location (-p = -1.38) is within 12% of the measured value – the latter given by the intercept of the trendline in figure 3(b) with the vertical axis,  $\hat{z}_{avs} = -p = -1.56$ . For  $\hat{z} > \hat{z}_n$ ,  $d\hat{b}/d\hat{z}$  increases, asymptotically approaching the far-field value. It is therefore expected that measurements at greater heights would give a smaller value for p. By taking the neck as the point of minimum width in the ensemble median rather than, for example, smoothing the data with a moving average, it is also expected that our value for k = 0.423is a lower bound. It is natural to consider whether our assuming the horizontal plumes impinge and turn at the centre of the plate, rather than in a control volume of finite width



Figure 5. Horizontal plume. (a) Variation of  $\hat{h}$  with  $\hat{x}$  obtained from the ensemble-averaged shadowgraph data (×, blue) and temperature measurements for  $Ra \approx 4 \times 10^{10}$  (×, blue), with linear best fits to the data (- - - -, red). Profiles of  $\theta$  at  $\hat{z} = 0.033$  on (b) linear and (c) logarithmic axes. In (c) the solid lines show the average temperature profile between the peaks, and the dashed lines show a linear best fit.

has also introduced error. The analysis considered herein can readily be extended to an impingement region of finite width; this changes the values of  $b_a$ ,  $z_a$  and  $\Gamma_a$ , but does not change the predicted plume perimeter, only extends it to lower heights, the same qualitative effect as taking a value of  $\lambda$  from the range  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . The dashed red line in figure 3(c) shows this extension for an impingement region of aspect ratio 2, for which  $\hat{b}_a = 0.876$ ,  $\hat{z}_a = 0.395$  and  $\Gamma_a = 34.5$ . Whilst there are then a multiplicity of potential apparent sources, in the interests of simplicity we advocate for use of the model (§ 3) with a zero-width impingement region,  $\lambda = 1$ , and apparent source

$$b_a = 0.72b_0, \quad z_a = 0.46b_0, \quad \Gamma_a = 18.2.$$
 (4.4*a*-*c*)

Despite the assumptions made, our model describes the data well, extending our predictive capability to the near field, in addition to providing 'source' conditions for the far field.

#### 4.1.4. Near-source region

Figure 5(*a*) plots our data for the growth of the horizontal plume. Assuming symmetry about  $x = b_0$ ,  $\hat{x} = 1 - \hat{b}$  and  $\hat{h} = \hat{z}$ . Both shadowgraph (×, blue) and temperature measurements (×, black) exhibit a linear evolution  $\hat{h} \propto \hat{x}$ , consistent with (2.6*a*), that extends over  $0 \leq \hat{z} \leq 0.6$ . The gradients of the respective trendlines (- - - , red) are 0.960 and 0.860. For  $\hat{z} = \hat{h} \leq 0.35$ , measurements using the shadowgraph method were unreliable for the reasons outlined in § 4.1.1. Comparing  $dh/dx = 3\alpha_v/4$  from (2.6*a*) with these gradients gives  $\alpha_v \approx 1.28$  and  $\alpha_v \approx 1.15$ , respectively, and thereby, the average estimate

$$\alpha_v = 1.21. \tag{4.5}$$

Thus, near-source entrainment exceeds the far-field entrainment ( $\alpha_h \approx 0.11$ ) by an order of magnitude. That  $\alpha_v > \alpha_h$  is consistent with previous evidence of enhanced entrainment in the near-source region of lazy plumes (Kaye & Hunt 2009; Marjanovic, Taub & Balachandar 2017; Ciriello & Hunt 2020).

Treating air as an ideal gas at room temperature  $(T_{\infty} = 297 \text{ K}), \theta = (\overline{T} - T_{\infty})/T_{\infty} = 0.023 \hat{x}^{-1/3}$  from (2.6c); thus,  $\theta \sim \hat{x}^{-1/3}$  for  $\hat{x} \in [0, 1], \theta \sim (2 - \hat{x})^{-1/3}$  for  $\hat{x} \in [1, 2]$  and behaviour is singular for  $\hat{x} = \{0, 2\}$ . Figure 5(b) plots profiles of  $\theta(\hat{x})$  recorded close to the plate and their mean. The temperatures peak close to the plate edges, giving a

distinctive 'cat-ears' shape. Figure 5(c) replots the mean profile on log-log axes; the data for  $\hat{x} \in [0, 1]$  has a gradient of -0.201 (blue) and -0.563 for  $\hat{x} \in [1, 2]$  (red). Data for  $\hat{x} \in [1, 2]$  has been reflected about  $\hat{x} = 1$  to aid comparison and as the profile is expected to be symmetric about  $\hat{x} = 1$ . If the data are in agreement with (2.6c), a gradient of -1/3 is expected; our data yields an average gradient of -0.382. Evidently viscous effects become important as  $\hat{x} \to 0^+$  and  $2^-$ , preventing the singular behaviour predicted.

In summary, the behaviour observed supports the predictions.

# 5. Conclusions

Our dual theoretical and laboratory investigation concerned the region of quasi-steady miscible Boussinesq flow in the near field above a high-aspect-ratio rectangular heated plate in quiescent surroundings. Having zero momentum flux at source, the heated plate represents the limiting case of an infinitely lazy plume source that, prior to this study, was not amenable to solution based on the application of classic plume theory.

Guided by flow visualisation in air, our thesis was (i) that the merger of two convergent horizontal flows that propagate along the plate controls the (apparent) source conditions for the classic two-dimensional plume above, and (ii) by determining these conditions plume theory may be successfully applied to capture the bulk convective flow above a heated plate. Our analytical solutions demonstrate that the two horizontal plumes grow linearly, controlled by vertical entrainment, with an associated coefficient that we measure to be  $\alpha_v = 1.2$ . In this region, the temperature profile takes the form of characteristic 'cat ears', i.e. with a temperature peaking slightly inboard of the plate edges. Based on our findings we assert that both the far-field flow and the contracting-expanding flow in the near field, above a plate of width  $2b_0$ , can be modelled using plume theory applied from an apparent source of width  $b_a = 0.72b_0$ , located at  $z_a = 0.46b_0$  and with Richardson number  $\Gamma_a \approx 18$ . Notably, our approach accurately captures the behaviour in the neck region; this would not be possible on modelling the plate as a line source located at a virtual origin.

The significance of this advancement to the analytic theory of turbulent plumes is in opening up its extension to an entire class of sources, namely, infinitely lazy sources, and thereby in our ability to predict the bulk convective flow established above heated (or below cooled) plates, for which there are many practical examples, underfloor heating in a room and cooling from a chilled beam to name but a few.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to extend personal thanks to Professor C. Middleton and the Laing O'Rourke Centre for Construction Engineering and Technology at the University of Cambridge.

**Funding.** We are grateful for the financial support of Innovate UK (grant no. 106163 Product Based Building Solutions – High Productivity Digital Integrated Assured DFMA for Lifecycle Performance) in collaboration with Laing O'Rourke.

Declaration of interests. The authors report no conflict of interest.

#### Author ORCIDs.

- Jamie P. Webb https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1194-5582;
- Nick H. Wise https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7619-7477;
- <sup>D</sup> Gary R. Hunt https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9875-9274.

# Appendix A. Conservation equations

# A.1. Conservation of mass

For a two-dimensional horizontal plume flow, with horizontal velocity u, vertical velocity w and density  $\rho$ , conservation of mass can be expressed as

$$\frac{\partial(\rho u)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial(\rho w)}{\partial z} = 0 \implies \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_0^\infty \rho u \, dz = -[\rho w]_0^\infty, \tag{A1}$$

on integrating vertically. With reference to figure 2, turbulent entrainment gives rise to a net vertical flow, across the plume perimeter, of fluid with density  $\rho_{\infty}$  at velocity  $w_e$ , hence, (A1) becomes

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_0^\infty \rho u \, \mathrm{d}z = -\rho_\infty w_e. \tag{A2}$$

Assuming that the horizontal velocity and density have top-hat profiles, we obtain

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}[\rho uh] = -\rho_{\infty}w_e. \tag{A3}$$

Applying the entrainment hypothesis, i.e. writing  $w_e = -\alpha_v u$ , where  $\alpha_v$  is the vertical entrainment coefficient and the negative sign indicates the velocity is downwards, yields

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}[\rho uh] = \rho_{\infty} \alpha_v u. \tag{A4}$$

On making the Boussinesq approximation (A4) reduces to the statement of conservation of volume in (2.1a).

# A.2. Conservation of momentum

The horizontal momentum equation from Navier-Stokes, neglecting the viscous term gives

$$\rho u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \rho w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial x}.$$
(A5)

With reference to (A1) this can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\partial(\rho u^2)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial(\rho u w)}{\partial z} = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial x},\tag{A6}$$

which, noting that  $u|_{z=\infty} = w|_{z=0} = 0$ , can be integrated vertically to give

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[\rho u^2 h] = \int_0^\infty -\frac{\partial P}{\partial x} \,\mathrm{d}z. \tag{A7}$$



Figure 6. Schematic of the control volume *ABCD* and associated quantities considered in deriving (A16). At section *AB*, *A* has elevation z = h, the pressure  $P = P_I$  and the density is  $\rho$ . At section *CD*, *D* has elevation  $z = h + \Delta h$ , the pressure  $P = P_{II}$  and the density is  $\rho + \Delta \rho$ .

To resolve the pressure gradient, consider the pressure  $P_I$  along the vertical segment AB (figure 6),

$$P_I = k_I - \rho gz, \tag{A8}$$

where  $k_I$  is a constant for a given value of x. The pressure is continuous across the plume boundary; therefore, at A,  $P_I|_{z=h} = P_0 - \rho_{\infty}gh = k_I - \rho gh$ , where  $P_0$  is the pressure in the ambient at z = 0. Thus,  $k_I = P_0 - (\rho_{\infty} - \rho)gh$  and, hence, (A8) becomes

$$P_I = P_0 - (\rho_\infty - \rho)gh - \rho gz. \tag{A9}$$

Similarly considering the pressure,  $P_{II}$ , along vertical segment CD, parallel to AB gives

$$P_{II} = P_0 - (\rho + \Delta \rho)gz - (\rho_{\infty} - \rho)g(h + \Delta h) + \Delta \rho gh + O(\Delta^2).$$
(A10)

The pressure gradient can then be written as

$$\frac{P_{II} - P_I}{\Delta x} = \frac{-(\rho_{\infty} - \rho)g\Delta h + \Delta\rho g(h - z)}{\Delta x},$$
(A11)

which, on taking the limit as  $\Delta x \rightarrow 0$  yields

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial x} = -(\rho_{\infty} - \rho)g\frac{dh}{dx} - (z - h)g\frac{d\rho}{dx}.$$
(A12)

The horizontal momentum equation then becomes

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}[\rho u^2 h] = +\int_0^\infty \left( (\rho_\infty - \rho)g\frac{\mathrm{d}h}{\mathrm{d}x} + (z - h)g\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho}{\mathrm{d}x} \right) \,\mathrm{d}z,\tag{A13}$$

which gives

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}[\rho u^2 h] = (\rho_{\infty} - \rho)g\frac{\mathrm{d}h}{\mathrm{d}x}h - \frac{gh^2}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho}{\mathrm{d}x}.$$
(A14)

This can be rearranged to give

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}[\rho u^2 h] = (\rho_{\infty} - \rho)gh\frac{\mathrm{d}h}{\mathrm{d}x} + \frac{gh^2}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}[\rho_{\infty} - \rho],\tag{A15}$$

and use of the chain rule gives

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}[\rho u^2 h] = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}[g(\rho_{\infty} - \rho)h^2].$$
(A16)

On taking the Boussinesq approximation, (A16) reduces to the statement of conservation of momentum in (2.1b).

# A.3. Conservation of energy

Following the method of Rooney & Linden (1996), starting from the internal energy equation for a system capable of expansion work only and assuming an ideal gas gives

$$\frac{c_p}{R} \int_{\tau_p} P \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \, \mathrm{d}\tau = \dot{Q} - \frac{c_p}{R} \int_{\tau_p} \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla P \, \mathrm{d}\tau, \tag{A17}$$

where  $\tau_p$  is the volume enclosed by the plume, v is the velocity vector, Q is the total heating power input to the system and R is the gas constant. For the two-dimensional geometry considered, (A17) becomes

$$\frac{c_p}{R} \int_{\tau_p} P\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}\right) d\tau = \dot{Q} - \frac{c_p}{R} \int_{\tau_p} \left(u\frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + w\frac{\partial P}{\partial z}\right) d\tau.$$
(A18)

Differentiating with respect to x and rearranging yields

$$q = \frac{\mathrm{d}\dot{Q}}{\mathrm{d}x} = \frac{c_p}{R} \int_0^h \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (uP) \,\mathrm{d}z + \frac{c_p}{R} \int_0^h \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (wP) \,\mathrm{d}z. \tag{A19}$$

Assuming an ideal gas so that  $P = \rho RT$ , where T is the plume temperature,

$$q = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_0^h (c_p \rho u T) \, \mathrm{d}z + c_p \rho_\infty w_e T_\infty, \tag{A20}$$

where  $T_{\infty}$  is the ambient temperature. Assuming a top-hat profile for T (consistent with that for  $\rho$ ) gives

$$\frac{q}{c_p \rho_{\infty} T_{\infty}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} \left[ \frac{\rho u h T}{\rho_{\infty} T_{\infty}} \right] + w_e.$$
(A21)

Taking the Boussinesq approximation yields

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}[uh] = \alpha_v u + \frac{q}{c_p T_\infty \rho_\infty},\tag{A22}$$

on taking  $w_e = -\alpha_v u$ . Combining (A22) with (A4) and multiplying by g gives

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}[g'uh] = \frac{g}{c_p T_\infty \rho_\infty} q,\tag{A23}$$

the statement of conservation of buoyancy in (2.1c).

#### Appendix B. Experimental data

$\langle T_p \rangle$	$T_{\infty}$	$\theta_p$	SEM	$\hat{b}_n$	$\hat{z}_n$
69.2	23.9	1.90	0.0661	0.386	1.87
69.8	24.0	1.91	0.0836	0.351	1.18
69.9	24.1	1.90	0.0837	0.245	1.44
77.4	24.1	2.21	0.0933	0.365	1.64
77.3	24.2	2.20	0.0825	0.287	1.84
77.1	24.2	2.18	0.0764	0.337	1.63
85.7	24.3	2.53	0.0864	0.427	1.90
85.4	24.4	2.50	0.0921	0.429	1.37
85.9	24.5	2.51	0.101	0.413	1.56
96.9	24.5	2.95	0.124	0.416	1.49
99.1	24.5	3.04	0.142	0.419	1.77
97.5	24.6	2.97	0.116	0.424	1.64
106	24.6	3.33	0.127	0.399	1.40
107	24.6	3.33	0.159	0.410	1.85
107	24.6	3.35	0.114	0.422	1.52
117	24.7	3.76	0.197	0.418	1.39
116	24.7	3.69	0.157	0.404	1.34
115	24.8	3.62	0.169	0.401	1.42
123	24.9	3.95	0.214	0.407	1.43
125	25.0	4.01	0.192	0.423	1.37
121	25.1	3.84	0.162	0.408	1.43

Table 1. Shadowgraph experiment data (to 3 significant figures), plotted in figure 4. The standard error of the mean is defined by  $SEM = \sigma/\sqrt{N}$  where  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation and N is the number of observations of the plate temperature.

#### REFERENCES

- ACKROYD, J.A.D. & LIGHTHILL, M.J. 1976 Laminar natural convection boundary layers on near-horizontal plates. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 352 (1669), 249–274.
- VAN DEN BREMER, T.S. & HUNT, G.R. 2014 Two-dimensional planar plumes and fountains. J. Fluid Mech. **750**, 210–244.
- CIMBALA, J.M. & ÇENGEL, Y.A. 2008 Essentials of Fluid Mechanics: Fundamentals and Applications. McGraw-Hill.
- CIRIELLO, F. & HUNT, G.R. 2020 Analytical solutions and virtual origin corrections for forced, pure and lazy turbulent plumes based on a universal entrainment function. J. Fluid Mech. 893, A12.
- COOPER, P. & HUNT, G.R. 2010 The ventilated filling box containing a vertically distributed source of buoyancy. J. Fluid Mech. 646, 39–58.
- FAN, Y., ZHAO, Y., TORRES, J.F., XU, F., LEI, C., LI, Y. & CARMELIET, J. 2021 Natural convection over vertical and horizontal heated flat surfaces: a review of recent progress focusing on underpinnings and implications for heat transfer and environmental applications. *Phys. Fluids* 33 (10), 101301.
- GILL, W.N., ZEH, D.W. & DEL CASAL, E. 1965 Free convection on a horizontal plate. Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 16 (4), 539–541.
- HUNT, G.R. & VAN DEN BREMER, T.S. 2011 Classical plume theory: 1937–2010 and beyond. *IMA J. Appl. Maths* 76, 424–448.
- JIANG, L., CREYSSELS, M., HUNT, G.R. & SALIZZONI, P. 2019a Control of light gas releases in ventilated tunnels. J. Fluid Mech. 872, 515–531.
- JIANG, Y., NIE, B. & XU, F. 2019b Lapping flow and starting plume on an evenly heated horizontal plate. Intl J. Heat Mass Transfer 138, 235–243.
- KAYE, N.B. 2008 Turbulent plumes in stratified environments: a review of recent work. Atmos. Ocean 46, 433–441.

- KAYE, N.B. & HUNT, G.R. 2009 An experimental study of large area source turbulent plumes. Intl J. Heat Fluid Flow 30 (6), 1099–1105.
- KITAMURA, K., CHEN, X-A. & KIMURA, F. 2001 Turbulent transition mechanisms of natural convection over upward-facing horizontal plates. JSME Intl J. B Fluid Therm. Engng 44 (1), 90–98.
- KITAMURA, K., MITSUISHI, A., SUZUKI, T. & KIMURA, F. 2015 Fluid flow and heat transfer of natural convection adjacent to upward-facing, rectangular plates of arbitrary aspect ratios. *Intl J. Heat Mass Transfer* 89, 320–332.
- KOZANOGLU, B. & LOPEZ, J. 2007 Thermal boundary layer and the characteristic length on natural convection over a horizontal plate. *Heat Mass Transfer* **43** (4), 333–339.
- MARJANOVIC, G., TAUB, G.N. & BALACHANDAR, S. 2017 On the evolution of the plume function and entrainment in the near-source region of lazy plumes. *J. Fluid Mech.* **830**, 736–759.
- PERA, L. & GEBHART, B. 1973a Natural convection boundary layer flow over horizontal and slightly inclined surfaces. Intl J. Heat Mass Transfer 16 (6), 1131–1146.
- PERA, L. & GEBHART, B. 1973b On the stability of natural convection boundary layer flow over horizontal and slightly inclined surfaces. *Intl J. Heat. Mass Transfer* 16 (6), 1147–1163.
- RICHARDSON, J. & HUNT, G.R. 2022 What is the entrainment coefficient of a pure turbulent line plume? *J. Fluid Mech.* **934**, A11.
- ROONEY, G.G. & LINDEN, P.F. 1996 Similarity considerations for non-Boussinesq plumes in an unstratified environment. J. Fluid Mech. 318, 237–250.
- ROTEM, Z. & CLAASSEN, L. 1969 Natural convection above unconfined horizontal surfaces. J. Fluid Mech. **39** (1), 173–192.
- SETTLES, G.S. 2001 Schlieren and Shadowgraph Techniques, 1st edn. Springer.
- STEWARTSON, K. 1958 On the free convection from a horizontal plate. Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 9 (3), 276-282.
- TAYLOR, G.I. 1945 Dynamics of a mass of hot gas rising in air. MDDC 919. LADC 276. U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.
- TSITSOPOULOS, V. 2013 Modelling of buoyant flows associated with large area fires and indirect free convection. PhD thesis, University of Manchester.
- WOODS, A.W. 2010 Turbulent plumes in nature. Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 42, 391-412.
- YOKOI, S. 1960 Study on the prevention of fire-spread caused by hot upward current. *Tech. Rep.* 34. Building Research Institute, Japanese Ministry of Construction.