European Psychiatry S389

**Methods:** We collected sociodemographic and clinical data from 109 patients after discharge from a psychiatric hospitalization unit. **Results:** Patients who consumed cannabis (N=35) were younger than non-consumers (M=31.4; SD=10.0 vs M=44.3; SD=11.4; t (107)=5.71; p<.01), with no significant gender differences, hospital stay durations, or proportions of schizophrenia diagnoses (33.3%). The readmission rates and time to readmission were similar between both groups. Interestingly, 54.2% of cannabis consumers required emergency care  $(X^2_{(1, N=109)}=4.1, p=.04)$ , with 73.6% not needing admission  $(X^2_{(1, N=109)}=5.5, p=.01)$ , in contrast to 33.7% and 56% in the non-consumer group. The time to the first urgent care visit was shorter in the consumer group (M=59.5; SD=56.3) compared to the non-consumer group (M=105.8; SD=93.1;  $t_{(107)}=1.92$ ; p=.03).

Conclusions: This study reveals that patients with psychosis and cannabis consumption tend to visit ER services more frequently despite utilizing fewer hospital resources like hospitalizations. Notably, despite the increased ER visits, there hasn't been a corresponding rise in hospital readmissions. These would be due to individuals experiencing cannabis-related psychotic episodes receiving suitable assessment and treatment in the ER, obviating the need for prolonged hospitalization. Furthermore, some psychotic episodes may naturally resolve over time, particularly with reduced or discontinued cannabis consumption. Our result highlights the need for personalized care approaches targeting this group, effectively addressing acute episodes related to cannabis use and psychosis. Addressing this trend requires a multidisciplinary approach involving mental health professionals, addiction specialists, and emergency response teams.

Disclosure of Interest: None Declared

## **EPP0722**

## Clinical predictors of treatment effectiveness in late onset schizophrenia and schizophrenia-like psychosis

V. Pochueva<sup>1\*</sup>, T. Safarova<sup>1</sup> and V. Sheshenin<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>FSBSI "Mental Health Research Center", Moscow, Russian Federation \*Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2024.800

**Introduction:** Clinical features and structural changes in the brain of patients with late-onset schizophrenia and schizophrenia-like psychosis are important in predicting the effectiveness of treatment. **Objectives:** Identification the dependence of effectiveness of psychopharmacotherapy on the clinical features and structural brain changes in late-onset schizophrenia and schizophrenia-like psychosis.

**Methods:** 111 patients, age from 52 to 89 years with ICD-10 diagnosis F20, F25, F22.8, F06.2 were investigated for 28 days. Clinical, psychometric methods with PANSS, CGI, HAMD, CDSS, MMSE scales were used. MRI/CT were performed. Effectiveness of treatment was measured in two ways: 1. Percentage ratio of reduction in total scores to the 1<sup>st</sup> value of scales. 2. The number of responders (patients with a decrease in PANSS by 30% or more). **Results:** The effectiveness of treatment in the overall group was

29,4% on the PANSS scale (from -13,6% to 77,2%). The greatest

effectiveness was on subscale of positive syndromes (34,9%), the lowest – on the subscale of negative syndromes (18,6%). The number of responders (R) was 43 patients (38,7%), non-responders(NR) – 68 patients (61,3%). The responder group was characterized by a greater severity of acute psychosis before the begging of treatment. Early insomnia, excitement and anxiety, decreased appetite, valuated by HAMD scale were significantly more pronounced. Treatment effectiveness had negative correlates (p<0,05) with number of acute attacks, number of hospitalizations and the duration of current attack. The predominance of negative symptoms has a negative correlation with effectiveness by PANSS and CGI scales. According to the results of MRI/CT examination, cortical atrophy, vascular changes and leucoaraiosis were more often represented in NR group.

**Conclusions:** The connection between the effectiveness of treatment and the clinical and psychopathological features and structural changes in late onset schizophrenia and schizophrenia-like psychosis was shown.

Disclosure of Interest: None Declared

## **EPP0723**

## **Peer Support in Psychosis Care: A Valuable Resource** for Recovery

F. Cunha<sup>1</sup>\*, I. Santos<sup>1</sup>, N. Castro<sup>1</sup>, R. Andrade<sup>1</sup>, E. Almeida<sup>1</sup>, J. Abreu<sup>1</sup>, J. Martins<sup>1</sup>, R. Vaz<sup>1</sup> and S. Borges<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centro Hospitalar Tondela-Viseu, Viseu, Portugal

\*Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2024.801

**Introduction:** A variety of peer support workers have been integrated in the mental health workforce in several countries. The effectiveness of this approach is still inconclusive. However, some data reveals promising results. Some projects have integrated peer support intervention in the treatment of psychosis. In fact, UK clinical guidelines for psychosis advise the inclusion of peer support within Early Intervention in Psychosis services.

**Objectives:** The current study aims to evaluate how peer support may assist the intervention in psychosis and highlight challenges ahead in this field.

Methods: Narrative review of the available scientific literature.

Results: Research suggests that consistent and frequent peer support enhances social support and boosts self-confidence and the overall quality of life for people going through psychosis. Individuals diagnosed with severe mental illnesses who receive peer support reportedly experience an increased sense of control, hopefulness, and empowerment, enabling them to initiate positive changes in their lives. People going through psychosis experience internalized stigma. Destigmatization of psychotic experiences is a central theme of intervention in psychosis. Participants viewed peer support as a valuable form of assistance that could offer advantages to both peers (service users) and peer support workers. Conclusions: Peer support makes a strong contribution to destigmatising psychosis. The available date is promising and supports the effectiveness of peer support in such instances. As projects of peer support in psychosis continue to be implemented, further