



Corrigendum: ‘An embedding theorem for abelian monoidal categories, Compositio Math. 132 (2002), 27–48’

Phùng Hồ Hai

The discussion at the beginning of §3.5 is incorrect. Since A^{op} is not an ind-category but rather a pro-category, the tensor product on it preserves limits but not colimits; hence one cannot extend the monoidal structure from A^{op} to ${}_R\text{Mod}$ as indicated there. Therefore, Theorem 3.2 is merely a conjecture. The following weaker form of Theorem 3.2 holds true.

THEOREM A. *Let C be a small Abelian monoidal category with the tensor product being exact. Assume that C has an injective finite cogenerator J (i.e. each object of C is a subobject of a finite direct sum of copies of J). Then C^{op} admits a right exact monoidal embedding into the category ${}_R\text{Mod}_R$, $X \mapsto \text{Hom}_C(X \odot J, J)$, where $R := \text{Hom}_C(J, J)$.*

Proof. The functor $\text{Hom}_C(-, J) : C^{\text{op}} \rightarrow {}_R\text{Mod}$ defines an equivalence between C^{op} and the category of finitely generated left R -modules. Consequently, it extends to an equivalence between the ind-category of C^{op} and ${}_R\text{Mod}$. Thus we can extend the tensor product on C^{op} , which is exact, to an exact tensor product on ${}_R\text{Mod}$. Hence we can apply Theorem 2.4 and obtain a monoidal right exact embedding $\omega := \text{Hom}_C(- \odot J, J) : C^{\text{op}} \rightarrow {}_R\text{Mod}_R$. \square

Consequently, Theorem 3.3, the proof of which relies on Theorem 3.2, is merely a conjecture. The following weaker form of it is a consequence of Theorem A above.

THEOREM B. *Let C be a small Abelian monoidal rigid category. Assume that C has an injective finite cogenerator. Then C admits an exact monoidal embedding into the category of bimodules over a ring. Further, the embedding is extendable to an exact embedding of the ind-category of C , which commutes with colimits.*

Proof. The proof is the same as that of Theorem 3.3. \square

Examples of categories satisfying the conditions of Theorem B are finite tensor categories, studied in [EO04].

The results of §4, which rely on Theorem B, therefore hold true only under the assumption that C has finitely many simple objects. In fact, the tensor category constructed in [Del90, 2.19] is a counterexample to the statement of Proposition 4.1 and of Theorem 4.4.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank Professor P. Deligne for pointing out the mistakes.

Received 18 December 2007, accepted in final form 20 February 2008.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification 18D10.

Keywords: embedding theorem, monoidal category.

This journal is © Foundation Compositio Mathematica 2008.

REFERENCES

- Del90 P. Deligne, *Catégories tannakiennes*, in *The Grothendieck Festschrift*, vol. II, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 87 (Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1990), 111–195.
- EO04 P. Etingof and V. Ostrik, *Finite tensor categories*, *Mosc. Math. J.* **4** (2004), 627–654.

Phùng Hồ Hai hai.phung@uni-due.de

Institute of Mathematics, Hanoi, Vietnam and Department of Mathematics, University of Duisburg-Essen, Germany