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#### Abstract

We consider classifying spaces of a family of $p$-groups and prove that mod $p$ cohomology enriched with Bockstein spectral sequences determines their homotopy type among $p$-completed CW-complexes.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $p$ be a prime number. A naive way of describing the Bousfield-Kan $p$-completion functor [1] is to say that it transforms mod $p$ cohomology isomorphisms into actual homotopy equivalences. It is then therefore natural to think that the homotopy type of a $p$-complete space $X$ should be characterized in some sense by its $\bmod p$ cohomology ring $H^{*}(X)$. Classifying spaces of finite $p$-groups provide nice examples of $p$-complete spaces. Then the following question arises: given a finite $p$-group $P$, and a $p$-complete space $X$ such that $H^{*}(X) \cong H^{*}(B P)$, is $X \simeq B P$ ?
One would like to give a positive answer to the question above, but the very first step towards that positive answer is to understand, or to give the appropriate meaning to, the isomorphism $H^{*}(X) \cong H^{*}(B P)$.
It is well known that there are infinitely many examples of non-isomorphic finite $p$-groups (hence infinitely many examples of non-homotopic $p$-complete spaces) having isomorphic $\bmod p$ cohomology rings, even as unstable algebras (see [4] for a general proof of this fact in the case when $p=2$ ). This is not surprising, since $p$-completion does not invert abstract $\bmod p$ cohomology isomorphisms, but inverts just those which are induced by continuous maps, and these compare unstable algebras plus secondary operations.

In this regard, Broto and Levi [2] suggested that $\bmod p$ cohomology rings of finite $p$-groups should be considered objects in the category $\mathcal{K}_{\beta}$ of unstable algebras endowed with Bockstein spectral sequences (see $\S 2$ for precise definitions). Here we follow that line and consider the family of groups studied by Leary in $[\mathbf{7}]$, proving the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Let $p$ be an odd prime and define the finite $p$-group

$$
P(p, n)=\left\langle A, B, C \mid A^{p}=B^{p}=C^{p^{n-2}}=[A, C]=[B, C]=1,[A, B]=C^{p^{n-3}}\right\rangle
$$

Given $X$, a p-complete CW-complex:
(a) if $n=3,4$ and $H^{*}(X) \cong H^{*}(B P(p, n))$ as unstable algebras, then $X \simeq B P(p, n)$;
(b) if $n \geqslant 5$ and $H_{\beta}^{*}(X) \cong H_{\beta}^{*}(B P(p, n))$ as objects in $\mathcal{K}_{\beta}$, then $X \simeq B P(p, n)$.

Proof. Statement (a) is proved in Corollary 4.6 for $n=3$, and Corollary 4.7 (a) for $n=4$. Statement (b) is proved in Corollary 4.7 (b).

Besides its own topological interest, the result above and the techniques developed in its proof may be appealing from a group theoretical point of view. First, since the classifying space of a finite $p$-group is a $p$-complete CW-complex, Theorem 1.1 provides a cohomological characterization of $P(p, n)$.
Theorem 1.2. Let $p$ be an odd prime and let $G$ be a finite $p$-group. Then $G \cong P(p, n)$ if and only if $H_{\beta}^{*}(B G) \cong H_{\beta}^{*}(B P(p, n))$.
Second, the ideas in the proof of Theorem 1.1 can be used to obtain a cohomological characterization of $P(p, n)$ as a complement for some $N \unlhd G$. This characterization can be seen as a generalization of Tate's cohomological criteria of $p$-nilpotency [ $\mathbf{9}]$.

Theorem 1.3. Let $p$ be an odd prime and let $G$ be a finite group such that $P(p, n) \leqslant$ $G$. Then $P(p, n)$ is a complement for some $N \unlhd G$ if and only if one of the following holds:
(a) $n=3,4$ and there exists $\psi: H^{*}(B P(p, n)) \rightarrow H^{*}(B G)$ as unstable algebras such that $\left.(\mathrm{res} \circ \psi)\right|_{H_{\beta}^{1}(B P(p, n))}$ is the identity;
(b) $n \geqslant 5$ and there exists

$$
\psi: H_{\beta}^{*}(B P(p, n)) \rightarrow H_{\beta}^{*}(B G) \quad \text { in } \mathcal{K}_{\beta}
$$

such that $\left.(\operatorname{res} \circ \psi)\right|_{H_{\beta}^{1}(B P(p, n))}$ is the identity.
Proof. If $P(p, n)$ is a complement for some $N \unlhd G$, then the induced projection $G \xrightarrow{\pi} G / N \cong P(p, n)$ gives rise to a map between classifying spaces $B G \xrightarrow{B \pi} B P(p, n)$ that provides the desired cohomological morphism $\psi=B \pi^{*}$.

The converse is proven in Proposition 5.1 for the case $n=3$, and in Proposition 5.2 for the case $n>3$.

### 1.1. Organization of the paper

In $\S 2$ we introduce the notation used in the paper. In $\S 3$ the group $P(p, n)$ is defined and the $\bmod p$ cohomology ring of its classifying space is described. In $\S 4$, we explore endomorphisms of the $\bmod p$ cohomology ring of $B P(p, n)$ and we conclude that $\bmod p$ cohomology determines the homotopy type of $B P(p, n)$. Finally, in $\S 5$ we apply the ideas developed in the previous section to the group theoretical framework.

## 2. Definitions and notation

We follow the notation and conventions in $[\mathbf{2}, \S 2]$. As our study is done for a fixed odd prime $p$, we just recall the definitions in this case.

All the spaces considered here have the homotopy type of a $p$-complete CW-complex. Unless otherwise stated, $H^{*}(X)$ refers to the cohomology of the space $X$ with trivial coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$.

Definition 2.1. Let $p$ be an odd prime and let $K$ be an unstable algebra. A Bockstein spectral sequence (BSS) for $K$ is a spectral sequence of differential graded algebras $\left\{E_{i}(K), \beta_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ where the differentials have degree 1 and such that
(a) $E_{1}(K)=K$ and $\beta_{1}=\beta$ is the primary Bockstein operator,
(b) if $x \in E_{i}(K)^{\text {even }}$ and $x^{p} \neq 0$ in $E_{i+1}(K), i \geqslant 1$, then $\beta_{i+1}\left(x^{p}\right)=x^{p-1} \beta_{i}(x)$.

We work in the category $\mathcal{K}_{\beta}$, whose objects are pairs $\left(K ;\left\{E_{i}(K), \beta_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}\right)$, where $K$ is an unstable algebra and $\left\{E_{i}(K) ; \beta_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a BSS for $K$. A morphism $f: K \rightarrow K^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{K}_{\beta}$ is a family of morphisms $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, where $f_{1}: K \rightarrow K^{\prime}$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{A}_{p}$-algebras and for each $i \geqslant 2, f_{i}: E_{i}(K) \rightarrow E_{i}\left(K^{\prime}\right)$ is a morphism of differential graded algebras, which, as a morphism of graded algebras, is induced by $f_{i-1}$.

The $\bmod p$ cohomology of a space $X$ is an object of $\mathcal{K}_{\beta}$ that is denoted by $H_{\beta}^{*}(X)$.
Definition 2.2. We say that two spaces $X$ and $Y$ are comparable if $H_{\beta}^{*}(X)$ and $H_{\beta}^{*}(Y)$ are isomorphic objects in the category $\mathcal{K}_{\beta}$. We say that $X$ is determined by cohomology if, given a space $Y$ comparable to $X$, there is a homotopy equivalence $X \simeq Y$.

Definition 2.3. Let $K_{\beta}$ be an object in $\mathcal{K}_{\beta}$. Let $K$ be the underlying unstable algebra over $\mathcal{A}_{p}$. We say that $K_{\beta}$ is weakly generated by $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ if any endomorphism $f$ of $K_{\beta}$ such that the restriction of $f$ to the vector subspace of $K$ generated by $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ is an isomorphism is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{K}_{\beta}$.

## 3. The cohomology of some $p$-groups

In this section, the $p$-group $P(p, n), p$ an odd prime, $n \geqslant 3$, is introduced, and in what follows the notation in [7] is used.

The group

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(p, n)=\left\langle A, B, C \mid A^{p}=B^{p}=C^{p^{n-2}}=[A, C]=[B, C]=1,[A, B]=C^{p^{n-3}}\right\rangle \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has order $p^{n}$ and fits in a central extension:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / p^{n-2} \rightarrow P(p, n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / p \times \mathbb{Z} / p \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The cohomology of $P(p, n)$ is calculated in [7].
Theorem 3.1 (Leary [7, Propositions 3, 8 and Theorem 7]). $H^{*}(B P(3,3))$ is generated by elements $y, y^{\prime}, x, x^{\prime}, Y, Y^{\prime}, X, X^{\prime}, z$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg}(y) & =\operatorname{deg}\left(y^{\prime}\right)=1 \\
\operatorname{deg}(x) & =\operatorname{deg}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{deg}(Y)=\operatorname{deg}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=2 \\
\operatorname{deg}(X) & =\operatorname{deg}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=3 \\
\operatorname{deg}(z) & =6
\end{aligned}
$$

subject to the following relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
y y^{\prime} & =0, & Y Y^{\prime} & =x x^{\prime}, \\
x y^{\prime} & =x^{\prime} y, & Y^{2} & =x Y^{\prime}, \\
y Y & =y^{\prime} Y^{\prime}=x y^{\prime}, & Y^{\prime 2} & =x^{\prime} Y, \\
y Y^{\prime} & =y^{\prime} Y, & y X & =x Y-x x^{\prime}, \\
y^{\prime} X^{\prime} & =x^{\prime} Y^{\prime}-x x^{\prime}, & X Y & =x^{\prime} X, \\
X y^{\prime} & =x^{\prime} Y-x Y^{\prime}, & X^{\prime} Y^{\prime} & =x X^{\prime}, \\
X^{\prime} y & =x Y^{\prime}-x^{\prime} Y, & X Y^{\prime} & =-X^{\prime} Y, \\
x X^{\prime} & =-x^{\prime} X, & X X^{\prime} & =0, \\
x\left(x Y^{\prime}+x^{\prime} Y\right) & =-x x^{\prime 2}, & x^{3} y^{\prime}-x^{\prime 3} y & =0, \\
x^{\prime}\left(x Y^{\prime}+x^{\prime} Y\right) & =-x^{\prime} x^{2}, & x^{3} x^{\prime}-x^{\prime 3} x & =0, \\
x^{3} Y^{\prime}+x^{\prime 3} Y & =-x^{2} x^{\prime 2} & x^{3} X^{\prime}+x^{\prime 3} X & =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, the action of the mod 3 Steenrod algebra is determined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta(y) & =x, & \mathcal{P}^{1}(X)=x^{2} X+z y \\
\beta\left(y^{\prime}\right) & =x^{\prime}, & \mathcal{P}^{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=x^{2} X^{\prime}-z y^{\prime} \\
\beta(Y) & =X, & \mathcal{P}^{1}(z)=z c_{2} \\
\beta\left(Y^{\prime}\right) & =X^{\prime}, &
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{2}=x Y^{\prime}-x^{\prime} Y-x^{2}-x^{2}$.
Theorem 3.2 (Leary [7, Propositions 3, 8 and Theorem 6]). For an odd prime $p \geqslant 5$, the cohomology $H^{*}(B P(p, 3))$ is generated by elements $y, y^{\prime}, x, x^{\prime}, Y, Y^{\prime}, X, X^{\prime}$,
$d_{4}, \ldots, d_{p}, c_{4}, \ldots, c_{p-1}$ and $z$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg}(y) & =\operatorname{deg}\left(y^{\prime}\right)=1 \\
\operatorname{deg}(x) & =\operatorname{deg}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{deg}(Y)=\operatorname{deg}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=2 \\
\operatorname{deg}(X) & =\operatorname{deg}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=3 \\
\operatorname{deg}\left(d_{i}\right) & =2 i-1 \\
\operatorname{deg}\left(c_{i}\right) & =2 i \\
\operatorname{deg}(z) & =2 p
\end{aligned}
$$

subject to the following relations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
y y^{\prime}=0, \quad x y^{\prime}=x^{\prime} y, \quad y Y=y^{\prime} Y^{\prime}=0, \quad y Y^{\prime}=y^{\prime} Y \\
Y^{2}=Y^{\prime 2}=Y Y^{\prime}=0, \quad y X=x Y, \quad y^{\prime} X^{\prime}=x^{\prime} Y^{\prime} \\
X y^{\prime}=2 x Y^{\prime}+x^{\prime} Y, \quad X^{\prime} y=2 x^{\prime} Y+x Y^{\prime} \\
X Y=X^{\prime} Y^{\prime}=0, \quad X Y^{\prime}=-X^{\prime} Y, \quad x X^{\prime}=-x^{\prime} X \\
x\left(x Y^{\prime}+x^{\prime} Y\right)=x^{\prime}\left(x Y^{\prime}+x^{\prime} Y\right)=0 \\
x^{p} y^{\prime}-x^{\prime p} y=0 \\
x^{p} x^{\prime}-x^{\prime p} x=0 \\
x^{p} Y^{\prime}+x^{\prime p} Y=0 \\
x^{p} X^{\prime}+x^{\prime p} X=0
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{i} Y= \begin{cases}0 & c_{i} Y^{\prime}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } i<p-1, \\
-x^{p-1} Y & -x^{p-1} Y^{\prime} \\
\text { for } i=p-1,\end{cases} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{i} c_{j}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } i+j<2 p-2, \\
x^{2 p-2}+x^{\prime 2 p-2}-x^{p-1} x^{p-1} & \text { for } i=j=p-1,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{i} x= \begin{cases}0 & d_{i} x^{\prime}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \text { for } i<p-1, \\
-x^{p-1} y \\
-x^{p-1} y^{\prime} & \text { for } i=p-1, \\
-x^{p-1} X & \text { for } i=p,
\end{array}, ~\right.\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{i} Y=0, \quad d_{i} Y^{\prime}=0, \\
& d_{i} X= \begin{cases}0 & d_{i} X^{\prime}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \text { for } i \neq p-1, \\
-x^{p-1} Y & -x^{\prime p-1} Y^{\prime} \\
\text { for } i=p-1,
\end{array}, ~\right.\end{cases} \\
& d_{i} d_{j}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } i<p \text { or } j<p-1, \\
x^{2 p-3} Y+x^{\prime 2 p-3} Y^{\prime}+x^{p-1} x^{\prime p-2} Y^{\prime} & \text { for } i=p, j=p-1,\end{cases} \\
& d_{i} c_{j}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } i<p-1 \text { or } j<p-1, \\
-x^{2 p-3} y+x^{\prime 2 p-3} y^{\prime}-x^{p-1} x^{\prime p-2} y^{\prime} & \text { for } i=j=p-1, \\
-x^{2 p-3} X+x^{\prime 2 p-3} X^{\prime}-x^{p-1} x^{\prime p-2} X^{\prime} & \text { for } i=p, j=p-1 .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, the action of the $\bmod p$ Steenrod algebra is determined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\beta(y)=x, \quad \beta\left(y^{\prime}\right)=x^{\prime}, \quad \beta(Y)=X, \quad \beta\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=X^{\prime}, \\
\beta\left(d_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}c_{i} & \text { for } i<p, \\
0 & \text { for } i=p,\end{cases} \\
\mathcal{P}^{1}(z)=z c_{p-1}, \\
\mathcal{P}^{1}(X)=x^{p-1} X+z y, \\
\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=x^{\prime p-1} X^{\prime}-z y^{\prime}, \\
\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(c_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}i z c_{i-1} & \text { if } 2 \leqslant i<p-1, \\
-z c_{p-2}+x^{2 p-2}+x^{\prime 2 p-2}-x^{p-1} x^{\prime p-1} & \text { if } i=p-1,\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $c_{1}=y y^{\prime}$, and $c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ are non-zero multiples of $x Y^{\prime}+x^{\prime} Y$ and $X X^{\prime}$ respectively.
Remark 3.3. It is straightforward to check from the relations in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 that the $\mathbb{F}_{p}$-vector spaces $H^{*} B P(p, 3)$ for $p \geqslant 3$ and $*=1,2,3,4$ have as basis

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{y, y^{\prime}\right\}, \\
\left\{x, x^{\prime}, Y, Y^{\prime}\right\}, \\
\left\{x y, x y^{\prime}, x^{\prime} y^{\prime}, y Y^{\prime}, X, X^{\prime}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left\{x^{2}, x^{\prime 2}, x x^{\prime}, x Y, x Y^{\prime}, x^{\prime} Y, x^{\prime} Y^{\prime}\right\},
$$

respectively. Also notice that the generator $z$ is free, i.e.

$$
H^{*} B P(p, 3)=\langle z\rangle \otimes\left(H^{*} B P(p, 3) /\langle z\rangle\right) .
$$

Finally, consider the quotient map $p: H^{*} B P(p, 3) \rightarrow H^{*} B P(p, 3) / I$, where $I$ is the ideal generated by all generators but $x$ and $x^{\prime}$, and consider the map $i: \mathbb{F}_{p}\left[x, x^{\prime}\right] \rightarrow$ $H^{*} B P(p, 3)$. As the first relation involving only $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ occurs at degree $2 p+2$, it is clear that $p \circ i$ is an isomorphism in degrees $*<2 p+2$.

Remark 3.4. It is well known [3, Proposition 2.3] that, given a group $G$, one can make the identification $H^{1}(G) \cong \operatorname{hom}(G, \mathbb{Z} / p) \cong \operatorname{hom}\left(G_{\mathrm{ab}}, \mathbb{Z} / p\right)$, where $G_{\mathrm{ab}}$ stands for the abelianization of $G$. Therefore, it is possible to describe the one-dimensional classes in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 in terms of group morphisms $P(p, 3)_{\mathrm{ab}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / p$ or $P(p, 3) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / p$.

Note that $P(p, 3)_{\mathrm{ab}}=\langle\bar{A}, \bar{B}\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z} / p \times \mathbb{Z} / p$ where $\bar{g}$ denotes the image of the element $g \in P(3, p)$ by the abelianization morphism. Since aut $(P(p, 3))$ acts transitively on the generators of $P(p, 3)_{\mathrm{ab}}\left[\mathbf{5}\right.$, Lemma A.5], the classes $y$ and $y^{\prime}$ can be identified (up to a change of base) with the morphisms $\bar{A}^{*}: P(p, 3) \rightarrow\langle\bar{A}\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z} / p$ and $\bar{B}^{*}: P(p, 3) \rightarrow\langle\bar{B}\rangle \cong$ $\mathbb{Z} / p$ respectively [7, pp. 68 and 73 ].

Remark 3.5. As stated in [7, p. 71], one can verify that in the cohomology ring $H^{*}(B P(p, 3)), p \geqslant 5$, any product of the generators $y, y^{\prime}, x, x^{\prime}, Y, Y^{\prime}, X, X^{\prime}$ in degree greater than 6 may be expressed in the form

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f_{1}+f_{2} Y+f_{3} Y^{\prime} & \text { for even total degree } \\
f_{1} y+f_{2} y^{\prime}+f_{3} X+f_{4} X^{\prime} & \text { for odd total degree }
\end{array}
$$

where each $f_{i}$ is a polynomial in $x$ and $x^{\prime}$. So, if we define $d_{1}=d_{2}=d_{3}=0$, then for $1 \leqslant n \leqslant p$ any element $u \in H^{2 n-1}(B P(p, 3))$ can be expressed as

$$
u=a d_{n}+f_{1} y+f_{2} y^{\prime}+f_{3} X+f_{4} X^{\prime}
$$

where $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ and each $f_{i}$ is a polynomial in $x$ and $x^{\prime}$.
Remark 3.6. Note that the product of any two generators other than $z$ can be expressed as a sum of products of the generators $y, y^{\prime}, x, x^{\prime}, Y, Y^{\prime}, X$ and $X^{\prime}$. Therefore, any decomposable element in $H^{*}(B P(p, 3)), p \geqslant 5$, of degree greater than 6 that does not involve the generator $z$ may be expressed as described in the previous remark.

Theorem 3.7 (Leary [7, Theorem 4]). For $n \geqslant 4, H^{*}(B P(p, n))$ is generated by elements $u, y, y^{\prime}, x, x^{\prime}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots, c_{p-1}, z$, with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg}(u) & =\operatorname{deg}(y)=\operatorname{deg}\left(y^{\prime}\right)=1 \\
\operatorname{deg}(x) & =\operatorname{deg}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=2 \\
\operatorname{deg}\left(c_{i}\right) & =2 i \\
\operatorname{deg}(z) & =2 p
\end{aligned}
$$

subject to the following relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x y^{\prime}=x^{\prime} y, \quad x^{p} y^{\prime}=x^{p} y, \quad x^{p} x^{\prime}=x^{p} x,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{i} c_{j}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } i+j<2 p-2, \\
x^{2 p-2}+x^{\prime 2 p-2}-x^{p-1} x^{p-1} & \text { for } i=j=p-1 .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, we have the following operations of the $\bmod p$ Steenrod algebra:

$$
\beta(y)=x, \quad \beta\left(y^{\prime}\right)=x^{\prime}, \quad \beta(u)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } n>4 \\ y^{\prime} y & \text { for } n=4\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{P}^{1}(z)=z c_{p-1}, \quad \mathcal{P}^{1}\left(c_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}i z c_{i-1} & \text { for } i<p-1 \\ -z c_{p-2}+x^{2 p-2}+x^{\prime 2 p-2}-x^{p-1} x^{p-1} & \text { for } i=p-1\end{cases}
$$

where $c_{1}=y^{\prime} y$.
Remark 3.8. Consider for $n \geqslant 4$ and $p$ an odd prime the homomorphism of rings $i: \mathbb{F}_{p}\left[x, x^{\prime}, c_{p-1}\right] \rightarrow H^{*} B P(p, n)$ and the quotient map $p: H^{*} B P(p, n) \rightarrow H^{*} B P(p, n) / I$, where $I$ is the ideal generated by all generators except $x, x^{\prime}$ and $c_{p-1}$. From the relations the map $p \circ i$ is an isomorphism in degrees $*<2 p$ and has kernel $\mathbb{F}_{p}\left[c_{p-1} x+x^{p}, c_{p-1} x^{\prime}+\right.$ $\left.x^{\prime p}\right]$ in degree $*=2 p$.

We also have a map $i: \mathbb{F}_{p}\left[c_{p-2}, z\right] \rightarrow H^{*} B P(p, n)$ and a quotient $p: H^{*} B P(p, n) \rightarrow$ $H^{*} B P(p, n) / I$, where $I$ is the ideal generated by all generators except $c_{p-2}$ and $z$. From the relations we deduce that $p \circ i$ is an isomorphism in all degrees.

Remark 3.9. In order to give a complete description of $H_{\beta}^{*}(B P(p, n))$ for $n \geqslant 4$ as an object in $\mathcal{K}_{\beta}$, we have to describe its Bockstein spectral sequence (Definition 2.1): the Bockstein spectral sequence is completely determined by mod $p$ Steenrod algebra and a higher Bockstein operator (differential) $\beta_{n-3}(u)=y y^{\prime}\left[7\right.$, p. 66]. In particular, $\beta_{i}(u)=0$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-4$, and $u$ survives to the $E_{n-3}$-page of the Bockstein spectral sequence.

Remark 3.10. Following the notation presented in Remark 3.4 for $n \geqslant 4$ we have

$$
P(p, n)_{\mathrm{ab}}=\langle\bar{C}, \bar{A}, \bar{B}\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z} / p^{n-3} \times \mathbb{Z} / p \times \mathbb{Z} / p
$$

(note that $\bar{C}$ has order $p^{n-3}$ ), and we can identify the classes $y, y^{\prime}$ and $u$ with the morphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{A}^{*}: P(p, n) \rightarrow\langle\bar{A}\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z} / p \\
& \bar{B}^{*}: P(p, n) \rightarrow\langle\bar{B}\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z} / p
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\bar{C}^{*}: P(p, n) \rightarrow\langle\bar{C}\rangle /\left\langle\bar{C}^{p}\right\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z} / p
$$

respectively [7, p. 66].
The existence of the higher Bockstein of the class $u$ described in Remark 3.9 has its group theoretical interpretation in the fact that the morphism $\bar{C}^{*}$ can be extended to a group morphism $P(p, n) \rightarrow\langle\bar{C}\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z} / p^{n-3}$.

The following result gives a characterization of the cohomology class that determines a central extension by $\mathbb{Z} / p$.

Lemma 3.11. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / p \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\pi} K \rightarrow 1 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the central extension classified by $c \in H^{2}(B K)$. Then ker $\left.\pi^{*}\right|_{H^{2}(B K)}=\mathbb{F}_{p}\{c\}$. Moreover, for any non-zero scalar $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$, the central extension classified by $\lambda c$ gives rise to a group isomorphic to $G$.

Proof. The proof of the first statement is done by inspection of $\left(E_{*}^{*, *}, d_{*}\right)$, the LearySerre spectral sequence [8, Chapters 5 and 6$]$ associated to the exact sequence (3.3). Define $H^{*}(B \mathbb{Z} / p)=E(u) \otimes \mathbb{F}_{p}[v] ;$ then $E_{2}^{*, *}=H^{*}(B \mathbb{Z} / p) \otimes H^{*}(B K)$ and $c \in H^{2}(B K)$ classifies the central extension (3.3) if and only if $d_{2}(u)=c$. By dimensional reasons $E_{\infty}^{2,0}=H^{2}(B K) / \mathbb{F}_{p}\{c\}$, and by means of the edge morphism we obtain $\left.\operatorname{ker} \pi^{*}\right|_{H^{2}(B K)}=$ $\mathbb{F}_{p}\{c\}(\mathrm{cf} .[8$, Theorem 6.8]).

Now, let $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ be a non-zero scalar, and let

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / p \rightarrow \tilde{G} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} K \rightarrow 1
$$

be the central extension classified by $\lambda c$.
Multiplication by $\lambda$ in $\mathbb{Z} / p$ induces a group morphism $\quad \cdot \lambda: \mathbb{Z} / p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / p$, and therefore a continuous map $B^{2}\left({ }_{-} \cdot \lambda\right): B^{2} \mathbb{Z} / p \rightarrow B^{2} \mathbb{Z} / p$ that maps the fundamental class $\iota \in$ $H^{2}\left(B^{2} \mathbb{Z} / p\right)$ to $\lambda \iota \in H^{2}\left(B^{2} \mathbb{Z} / p\right)$. At the level of central group extensions, $\quad \cdot \lambda$ gives rise to a group morphism $G \xrightarrow{f} \tilde{G}$ that makes the following diagram commute:


This shows that $G$ and $\tilde{G}$ are isomorphic groups.
The description of the cohomology classes classifying the central extensions involved in the $p$-central series of $P(p, n)$ follows from the previous lemma.

Proposition 3.12. Consider the groups $\mathbb{Z} / p^{i} \times \mathbb{Z} / p \times \mathbb{Z} / p$ and fix the following notation for the cohomology:

$$
H^{*}\left(B \mathbb{Z} / p^{i} \times B \mathbb{Z} / p \times B \mathbb{Z} / p\right)=E\left(u_{i}, y, y^{\prime}\right) \otimes \mathbb{F}_{p}\left[v_{i}, x, x^{\prime}\right], \quad \beta_{i}\left(u_{i}\right)=v_{i}
$$

where generators are sorted as components. Then, for $n \geqslant 4$, there is a tower of extensions:
$P(p, n) \xrightarrow{\pi_{n-3}} \mathbb{Z} / p^{n-3} \times \mathbb{Z} / p \times \mathbb{Z} / p \xrightarrow{\pi_{n-4}} \mathbb{Z} / p^{n-4} \times \mathbb{Z} / p \times \mathbb{Z} / p \rightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{\pi_{1}} \mathbb{Z} / p \times \mathbb{Z} / p \times \mathbb{Z} / p$, where each extension $\pi_{i}$, for $1 \leqslant i<n-3$, is classified by $\beta_{i}\left(u_{i}\right), \pi_{n-3}$ is classified by $\beta_{n-3}\left(u_{n-3}\right)-y y^{\prime}$, and where $\pi_{n-3}$ is the abelianization morphism $P(p, n) \rightarrow P(p, n)_{\mathrm{ab}} \cong$ $\mathbb{Z} / p^{n-3} \times \mathbb{Z} / p \times \mathbb{Z} / p$.

Proof. According to Lemma 3.11, the extension $\pi_{i}$ is classified (up to isomorphism) by a generator of $\left.\operatorname{ker} \pi_{i}^{*}\right|_{H^{2}}$. Note that $\left.\pi_{i}^{*}\right|_{H^{1}}$ is always an isomorphism, then $\left.\operatorname{ker} \pi_{i}^{*}\right|_{H^{2}}$ can easily be calculated by comparison of the Bockstein spectral sequences of the groups involved.

## 4. Cohomological uniqueness

Let $p$ be an odd prime, let $n \geqslant 3$ and let $P(p, n)$ be the group defined in (3.1). In this section we prove that the homotopy type of the classifying space of $P(p, n)$ is determined by its cohomology (Definition 2.2). The initial step towards that result is to study the behaviour of some endomorphisms of the $\bmod p$ cohomology ring of $B P(p, n)$.

First we consider the case $n \leqslant 4$. In this case we do not need to use higher Bocksteins and it is enough to consider the structure of unstable algebra.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\varphi: H^{*}(B P(3,3)) \rightarrow H^{*}(B P(3,3))$ be a homomorphism of $\mathcal{A}_{3}$-algebras which restricts to the identity in $H^{1}$. Then $\varphi$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. In this proof we follow the notation in Theorem 3.1 for generators and relations in cohomology.

By hypothesis, $\varphi(y)=y$ and $\varphi\left(y^{\prime}\right)=y^{\prime}$. Now, since $\beta(y)=x$ and $\beta\left(y^{\prime}\right)=x^{\prime}$, we have $\varphi(x)=\varphi(\beta(y))=\beta(\varphi(y))=\beta(y)=x$ and, analogously, $\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=x^{\prime}$. Moreover, by Remark 3.3,

$$
\varphi(Y)=a Y+b Y^{\prime}+c x+d x^{\prime}
$$

for some $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_{3}$. Because $y Y=x y^{\prime}$, we obtain

$$
x y^{\prime}=\varphi\left(x y^{\prime}\right)=\varphi(y Y)=y \varphi(Y)=a y Y+b y Y^{\prime}+c y x+d y x^{\prime}
$$

and, by regrouping terms,

$$
x y^{\prime}=(a+d) x y^{\prime}+b y Y^{\prime}+c y x
$$

From Remark 3.3 we obtain $a+d=1$ and $b=c=0$, and $\varphi(Y)=a Y+d x^{\prime}$ with $a+d=1$. Analogously $\varphi\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=b Y^{\prime}+c x$ with $b, c \in \mathbb{F}_{3}$ and $b+c=1$. Now, as $Y^{2}=x Y^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(Y)^{2} & =x \varphi\left(Y^{\prime}\right) \\
a^{2} Y^{2}+d^{2} x^{2}+2 a d Y x^{\prime} & =b x Y^{\prime}+c x^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 3.3 now implies that $c=d=0$ and $a^{2}=a=b=1$. So $\varphi(Y)=Y$ and $\varphi\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=Y^{\prime}$, and, applying Bockstein again, $\varphi(X)=X$ and $\varphi\left(X^{\prime}\right)=X^{\prime}$ too. So $\varphi$ is the identity up to dimension 5 and it remains to check where it maps $z$.

Using the first Steenrod power of $X$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi\left(\mathcal{P}^{1}(X)\right) & =\mathcal{P}^{1}(\varphi(X)), \\
\varphi\left(x^{2} X+z y\right) & =\mathcal{P}^{1}(X), \\
x^{2} X+\varphi(z) y & =x^{2} X+z y, \\
\varphi(z) y & =z y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\varphi(z)=z+\alpha$ where $\alpha y=0$ and $\alpha \in\left\langle y, y^{\prime}, x, x^{\prime}, Y, Y^{\prime}, X, X^{\prime}\right\rangle$. So $\varphi(\alpha)=\alpha, z=$ $\varphi(z-\alpha)$ and $\varphi$ is an epimorphism. In fact, because $H^{*}(B P(3,3))$ is a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_{3}$-vector space in each dimension, $\varphi$ is an isomorphism dimension-wise and thus $\varphi$ is an isomorphism.

Theorem 4.2. Let $p \geqslant 5$ be a prime. If $\varphi: H^{*}(B P(p, 3)) \rightarrow H^{*}(B P(p, 3))$ is a homomorphism of $\mathcal{A}_{p}$-algebras that restricts to the identity in $H^{1}$, then $\varphi$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Consider the notation of generators and relations in cohomology given in Theorem 3.2. We calculate the image under $\varphi$ of every generator of $H^{*}(B P(p, 3))$.

As $\varphi$ is the identity on $y$ and $y^{\prime}$, by applying Bockstein operations we get that $\varphi(x)=x$ and $\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=x^{\prime}$.

As $Y$ is of degree 2, there exist coefficients $a, b, c$ and $d$ such that

$$
\varphi(Y)=a x+b x^{\prime}+c Y+d Y^{\prime}
$$

Using the relation $Y^{2}=0$, we get $\varphi(Y)^{2}=0$, which implies via Remark 3.3 that $a=b=$ 0 , and so $\varphi(Y)=c Y+d Y^{\prime}$. The relation $y Y=0$ implies $0=y \varphi(Y)=d y Y^{\prime}$, so $d=0$, yielding that there exists $c$ such that $\varphi(Y)=c Y$. Using the same arguments, there exists $d$ such that $\varphi\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=d Y^{\prime}$.
According to Remark 3.5, there are $a_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ and $f_{n, i}$ polynomials in $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ such that, for $4 \leqslant n \leqslant p$,

$$
\varphi\left(d_{n}\right)=a_{n} d_{n}+f_{n, 1} y+f_{n, 2} y^{\prime}+f_{n, 3} X+f_{n, 4} X^{\prime}
$$

and, by applying the Bockstein operation, we get that, for $4 \leqslant n \leqslant p-1$,

$$
\varphi\left(c_{n}\right)=a_{n} c_{n}+f_{n, 1} x+f_{n, 2} x^{\prime}
$$

The relation $c_{p-1} x=-x^{p}$ gives rise to the following equalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
-x^{p} & =\varphi\left(-x^{p}\right) \\
& =\varphi\left(c_{p-1} x\right) \\
& =\varphi\left(c_{p-1}\right) \varphi(x) \\
& =\varphi\left(c_{p-1}\right) x \\
& =a_{p-1} c_{p-1} x+f_{p-1,1} x^{2}+f_{p-1,2} x x^{\prime} \\
& =-a_{p-1} x^{p}+f_{p-1,1} x^{2}+f_{p-1,2} x x^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

so $\left(a_{p-1}-1\right) x^{p}=f_{p-1,1} x^{2}+f_{p-1,2} x x^{\prime}$. By Remark 3.3 we can simplify to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{p-1,1} x+f_{p-1,2} x^{\prime}=\left(a_{p-1}-1\right) x^{p-1} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Doing the same computations using the relation $c_{p-1} x^{\prime}=-x^{\prime p}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{p-1,1} x+f_{p-1,2} x^{\prime}=\left(a_{p-1}-1\right) x^{p-1} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, comparing (4.1) and (4.2) and again using Remark 3.3, we get $a_{p-1}=1, \varphi\left(c_{p-1}\right)=$ $c_{p-1}$.

We now show that $\varphi\left(c_{n}\right)=a_{n} c_{n}$, for $4 \leqslant n<p-1$ : using the relation $c_{n} x=0$ and applying $\varphi$, we get $f_{n, 1} x+f_{n, 2} x^{\prime}=0$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(c_{n}\right)=a_{n} c_{n} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to calculate $\varphi(z)$, we apply $\varphi$ to the following equality:

$$
\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(c_{p-1}\right)=-z c_{p-2}+x^{2 p-2}+x^{\prime 2 p-2}-x^{p-1} x^{\prime p-1} .
$$

Since $\varphi\left(c_{p-1}\right)=c_{p-1}, \varphi(x)=x$ and $\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=x^{\prime}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
z c_{p-2}=\varphi(z) a_{p-2} c_{p-2} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The generator $z$ is free in $H^{*} B P(p, 3)$, i.e. $H^{*} B P(p, 3)=\mathbb{F}_{p}[z] \otimes\left(H^{*} B P(p, 3) /\langle z\rangle\right)$. Hence, (4.4) implies that $a_{p-2} \neq 0$ and $\varphi(z)=a_{p-2}^{-1} z+g$, where $g$ is an expression not involving $z$ (hence $g$ is decomposable), and such that $g c_{p-2}=0$.
We use the knowledge that $a_{p-2} \neq 0$ to check that $a_{n} \neq 0$ for $4 \leqslant n<p-2$ with an induction argument: assume $\varphi\left(c_{n}\right)=a_{n} c_{n}$ with $a_{n} \neq 0$ and $5 \leqslant n \leqslant p-2$, and compute $\varphi\left(c_{n-1}\right)$ :

$$
n z c_{n-1}=\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(c_{n}\right)=\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(\varphi\left(a_{n}^{-1} c_{n}\right)\right)=\varphi\left(a_{n}^{-1} \mathcal{P}^{1}\left(c_{n}\right)\right)=a_{n}^{-1} n \varphi(z) a_{n-1} c_{n-1} .
$$

This implies $z c_{n-1}=a_{n}^{-1} a_{n-1} \varphi(z) c_{n-1}$, and this can only happen if $a_{n-1} \neq 0$ and $\varphi(z)=$ $a_{n} a_{n-1}^{-1} z+g(g$ not involving $z)$.
From the expression $c_{3}=\mu X X^{\prime}$ we deduce that $\varphi\left(c_{3}\right)=a_{3} c_{3}$ with $a_{3}=c d$, where $c$ and $d$ were introduced at the beginning of the proof and are such that $\varphi(Y)=c Y$ and $\varphi\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=d Y^{\prime}$. Again

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 \mu X X^{\prime} z & =4 z c_{3} \\
& =\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(c_{4}\right) \\
& =\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(\varphi\left(a_{4}^{-1} c_{4}\right)\right) \\
& =\varphi\left(a_{4}^{-1} \mathcal{P}^{1}\left(c_{4}\right)\right) \\
& =a_{4}^{-1} 4 \varphi(z) a_{3} c_{3} \\
& =4 a_{4}^{-1} \mu c d \varphi(z) X X^{\prime} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

hence, $a_{3}=c d$ is also non-zero. Therefore, $c, d$ and $a_{n}$ for all $n \in\{3, \ldots, p-1\}$ are non-zero.
We now check that the coefficients $c$ and $d$ are equal: recall that $c_{2}$ was defined as $\lambda\left(x Y^{\prime}+x^{\prime} Y\right)$ with $\lambda$ non-zero. Then, applying $\mathcal{P}^{1}$ to $c_{3}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
3 z c_{2} & =\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(c_{3}\right) \\
& =\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(\varphi\left(a_{3}^{-1} c_{3}\right)\right) \\
& =a_{3}^{-1} \varphi\left(\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(c_{3}\right)\right) \\
& =a_{3}^{-1}\left(\varphi\left(3 z c_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =a_{3}^{-1} 3 \varphi(z) \varphi\left(c_{2}\right) \\
& =a_{3}^{-1} 3 \varphi(z) \lambda\left(d x Y^{\prime}+c x^{\prime} Y\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies $\lambda z\left(x Y^{\prime}+x^{\prime} Y\right)=a_{3}^{-1} \lambda \varphi(z)\left(d x Y^{\prime}+c x^{\prime} Y\right)$ and can be simplified to

$$
\begin{equation*}
z x Y^{\prime}+z x^{\prime} Y=d a_{3}^{-1} \varphi(z) x Y^{\prime}+c a_{3}^{-1} \varphi(z) x^{\prime} Y \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, as $z$ does not appear in any relation and $\varphi(z)=a_{p-2}^{-1} z+g$, (4.5) can be true only if $c=d$. In particular, $\varphi\left(c_{2}\right)=a_{2} c_{2}$ with $a_{2}=c \lambda \neq 0$.

Now we can assume that all the coefficients $a_{n}$ for $2 \leqslant n \leqslant p-1$ and $c$ and $d$ are equal to 1: as all are different from zero and $r^{p-1}=1$ if $r \in \mathbb{F}_{p} \backslash\{0\}, \varphi^{p-1}$ is the identity on $Y, Y^{\prime}$ and $c_{n}$. Use now that $\varphi$ is an isomorphism if and only if $\varphi^{p-1}$ is so. Therefore, at this point we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi(y)=y, \quad \varphi\left(y^{\prime}\right)=y^{\prime}, \quad \varphi(x)=x, \quad \varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=x^{\prime}, \\
& \varphi(Y)=Y, \quad \varphi\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=Y^{\prime}, \quad \varphi(X)=X, \quad \varphi\left(X^{\prime}\right)=X^{\prime},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi\left(c_{i}\right) & =c_{i} & \text { for } 2 \leqslant i \leqslant p-1 \\
\varphi\left(d_{i}\right) & =d_{i}+g_{i} & \text { for } 4 \leqslant i \leqslant p-1 \\
\varphi(z) & =z+g &
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g$ and all $g_{i}$ are expressions in $x, x^{\prime}, y, y^{\prime}, X, X^{\prime}, Y$ and $Y^{\prime}$ (Remarks 3.5 and 3.6). This implies that all generators but $d_{p}$ are in the image of $\varphi$.

The image of $d_{p}$, as it is in odd degree greater than 6 , must be

$$
\varphi\left(d_{p}\right)=a_{p} d_{p}+f_{p, 1} y+f_{p, 2} y^{\prime}+f_{p, 3} X+f_{p, 4} X^{\prime}
$$

with $a_{p} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$, and $f_{p, i}$ polynomials in $x$ and $x^{\prime}$. As $\beta\left(d_{p}\right)=0$, the Bockstein operation on $\varphi\left(d_{p}\right)$ must vanish, and this means that

$$
0=\beta\left(\varphi\left(d_{p}\right)\right)=f_{p, 1} x+f_{p, 2} x^{\prime}
$$

So this is a polynomial of degree $2 p$ in $x, x^{\prime}$ which must be zero. By Remark 3.3 there exists a polynomial $f_{p}$ in $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ such that $f_{p, 1}=f_{p} x^{\prime}$ and $f_{p, 2}=-f_{p} x$.

This implies that (recall $x y^{\prime}=x^{\prime} y$ ),

$$
f_{p, 1} y+f_{p, 2} y^{\prime}=f_{p}\left(x^{\prime} y-x y^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

and then

$$
\varphi\left(d_{p}\right)=a_{p} d_{p}+f_{p, 3} X+f_{p, 4} X^{\prime}
$$

As any expression on $x, x^{\prime}, X$ and $X^{\prime}$ is in the image, we have only to check that $a_{p} \neq 0$.
Applying $\mathcal{P}^{1}$ to the above expression for $\varphi\left(d_{p}\right)$ and using that $\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(d_{p}\right)=0$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =a_{p} \cdot 0+\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(f_{p, 3}\right) X+f_{p, 3} \mathcal{P}^{1}(X)+\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(f_{p, 4}\right) X^{\prime}+f_{p, 4} \mathcal{P}^{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(f_{p, 3}\right) X+\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(f_{p, 4}\right) X^{\prime}+f_{p, 3}\left(x^{p-1} X+z y\right)+f_{p, 4}\left(x^{\prime p-1} X^{\prime}-z y^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(f_{p, 3}\right) X+\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(f_{p, 4}\right) X^{\prime}+f_{p, 3} x^{p-1} X+f_{p, 4} x^{\prime p-1} X^{\prime}+z\left(f_{p, 3} y-f_{p, 4} y^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Again using the fact that $z$ is a free generator, we obtain $f_{p, 3} y-f_{p, 4} y^{\prime}=0$, and then, applying the Bockstein homomorphism, we get $f_{p, 3} x-f_{p, 4} x^{\prime}=0$, i.e. $f_{p, 3} x=f_{p, 4} x^{\prime}$.

From this we deduce that there exists a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}_{p}\left[x, x^{\prime}\right]$ such that $f_{p, 3}=x^{\prime} f$ and $f_{p, 4}=x f$.

Going back to the description of $\varphi\left(d_{p}\right)$ we find that

$$
\varphi\left(d_{p}\right)=a_{p} d_{p}+f_{p, 3} X+f_{p, 4} X^{\prime}=a_{p} d_{p}+x^{\prime} f X+x f X^{\prime}=a_{p} d_{p}+f\left(x^{\prime} X+x X^{\prime}\right)=a_{p} d_{p}
$$

where the last equality holds because $x^{\prime} X+x X^{\prime}=0$. Hence, we learn that $\varphi\left(d_{p}\right)=a_{p} d_{p}$.
To finish the proof we recall that $d_{p} x=x^{p-1} X$ and apply the homomorphism $\varphi$ :

$$
\varphi\left(d_{p} x\right)=\varphi\left(d_{p}\right) x=a_{p} d_{p} x=a_{p} x^{p-1} X=\varphi\left(x^{p-1} X\right)=x^{p-1} X
$$

Then we deduce that $a_{p} \neq 0$ and $\varphi$ is an isomorphism.
We now consider the case of $n>3$. Here the use of Bockstein operators is needed.
Theorem 4.3. Let $p$ be an odd prime and consider the notation of the generators and relations in $H_{\beta}^{*}(B P(p, n))$ as in Theorem 3.7.
(a) If $\varphi: H^{*}(B P(p, 4)) \rightarrow H^{*}(B P(p, 4))$ is a homomorphism of unstable algebras that fixes $y$ and $y^{\prime}$, then $\varphi$ is an isomorphism.
(b) If $n \geqslant 5$ and $\varphi: H_{\beta}^{*}(B P(p, n)) \rightarrow H_{\beta}^{*}(B P(p, n))$ is a homomorphism in $\mathcal{K}_{\beta}$ which fixes $y$ and $y^{\prime}$. Then $\varphi$ is an isomorphism.
Proof. We prove both results at the same time. Just observe that the Bockstein used in the proof is $\beta_{n-3}$, which is part of the $\bmod p$ Steenrod algebra when $n=4$.

Starting from $\varphi(y)=y$ and $\varphi\left(y^{\prime}\right)=y^{\prime}$ and using the Bockstein operator we reach $\varphi(x)=x$ and $\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=x^{\prime}$. On the other hand, there exist $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ such that $\varphi(u)=$ $a u+b y+c y^{\prime}$. From Remark 3.9 we know that $\beta_{n-3}(u)=y^{\prime} y$ and $\beta_{i}(u)=0$ for $i=$ $1, \ldots, n-4$. For the case $n=4$ we have

$$
\varphi(\beta(u))=\varphi\left(y y^{\prime}\right)=y y^{\prime}=\beta(\varphi(u))=a y^{\prime} y+b x+c x^{\prime}
$$

Hence, $a=1, b=c=0$ and $u \in \operatorname{Im} \varphi$. For $n>4$ we have in particular that $\beta(u)=0$,

$$
\varphi(\beta(u))=0=\beta(\varphi(u))=b x+c x^{\prime}
$$

and hence $b=c=0$. Applying now $\beta_{n-3}$ we find that

$$
\varphi\left(\beta_{n-3}(u)\right)=\varphi\left(y y^{\prime}\right)=y y^{\prime}=\beta_{n-3}(\varphi(u))=a y^{\prime} y
$$

and that $a=1$. In either case ( $n=4$ or $n>4$ ) we get $\left\langle u, y, y^{\prime}, x, x^{\prime}\right\rangle \leqslant \operatorname{Im} \varphi$.
Now consider the generator $c_{p-1}$. We can write

$$
\varphi\left(c_{p-1}\right)=a_{p-1} c_{p-1}+b x^{p-1}+c x^{\prime p-1}+g_{p-1}
$$

with $a_{p-1}, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ and $g_{p-1}$ not containing scalar multiples of the monomials $c_{p-1}, x^{p-1}$ and $x^{\prime p-1}$. Applying $\varphi$ to the equation $c_{p-1} x^{\prime}=-x^{\prime p}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
-x^{\prime p} & =a_{p-1} c_{p-1} x^{\prime}+b x^{p-1} x^{\prime}+c x^{\prime p}+g_{p-1} x^{\prime} \\
& =-a_{p-1} x^{\prime p}+b x^{p-1} x^{\prime}+c x^{\prime p}+g_{p-1} x^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then from Remark 3.8 we get $-1=-a_{p-1}+c$ and $b=0$. The same argument with $c_{p-1} x=-x^{p}$ instead gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
-x^{p} & =a_{p-1} c_{p-1} x+b x^{p}+c x^{\prime p-1} x+g_{p-1} x \\
& =-a_{p-1} x^{p}+b x^{p}+c x^{\prime p-1} x+g_{p-1} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Again by Remark 3.8 we get $-1=-a_{p-1}+b$ and $c=0$. We conclude that $b=c=0$, $a_{p-1}=1$ and $\varphi\left(c_{p-1}\right)=c_{p-1}+g_{p-1}$.

Next we deal with $c_{p-2}$ of degree $2(p-2)$ and $z$ of degree $2 p$. Their images are $\varphi\left(c_{p-2}\right)=a_{p-2} c_{p-2}+g_{p-2}$ and $\varphi(z)=a_{z} z+g_{z}$, with $a_{p-2}, a_{z} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$, and $g_{p-2}$ and $g_{z}$ not involving the monomials $c_{p-2}$ and $z$, respectively. Write the Steenrod power

$$
\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(c_{p-1}\right)=-z c_{p-2}+x^{2 p-2}+x^{2 p-2}-x^{p-1} x^{\prime p-1}
$$

as $\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(c_{p-1}\right)=-z c_{p-2}+f$, with $f=x^{2 p-2}+x^{\prime 2 p-2}-x^{p-1} x^{\prime p-1}$. Applying $\varphi$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi\left(\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(c_{p-1}\right)\right) & =\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(\varphi\left(c_{p-1}\right)\right), \\
\varphi\left(-z c_{p-2}+f\right) & =\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(c_{p-1}+g_{p-1}\right), \\
-\left(a_{z} z+g_{z}\right)\left(a_{p-2} c_{p-2}+g_{p-2}\right)+f & =-z c_{p-2}+f+\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(g_{p-1}\right), \\
-a_{z} a_{p-2} z c_{p-2}-a_{z} z g_{p-2}-a_{p-2} g_{z} c_{p-2}-g_{z} g_{p-2} & =-z c_{p-2}+\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(g_{p-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $g_{p-1}$ does not involve $c_{p-1}$ and the action of $\mathcal{P}^{1}$ on $u, y, y^{\prime}, x, x^{\prime}$ is determined by the axioms, we deduce that $\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(g_{p-1}\right)$ does not involve $z c_{p-2}$. Then from Remark 3.8 we have that $a_{z} a_{p-2}=1$ and both $a_{z}$ and $a_{p-2}$ are non-zero.

For the rest of the generators $c_{i}$ for $i=2,3, \ldots, p-3$ we can write $\varphi\left(c_{i}\right)=a_{i} c_{i}+g_{i}$, with $a_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ and $g_{i}$ not involving $c_{i}$. The Steenrod power $\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(c_{i+1}\right)=(i+1) z c_{i}$ then yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi\left(\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(c_{i+1}\right)\right) & =\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(\varphi\left(c_{i+1}\right)\right), \\
\varphi\left((i+1) z c_{i}\right) & =\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(\alpha_{i+1} c_{i+1}+g_{i+1}\right) \\
(i+1)\left(a_{z} z+g_{z}\right)\left(a_{i} c_{i}+g_{i}\right) & =(i+1) a_{i+1} z c_{i}+\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(g_{i+1}\right), \\
(i+1)\left(a_{z} a_{i} z c_{i}+a_{z} z g_{i}+a_{i} g_{z} c_{i}+g_{z} g_{i}\right) & =(i+1) a_{i+1} z c_{i}+\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(g_{i+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note again that there is no relation involving the generator $z$ and the relations involving $c_{i}$ are $c_{i} y=c_{i} y^{\prime}=c_{i} x=c_{i} x^{\prime}=c_{i} c_{j}=0$ for $j<2 p-2-i$. Also, the monomial $z c_{i}$ cannot appear in $z g_{i}, g_{z} c_{i}$ and $g_{z} g_{i}$ because $g_{i}$ does not contain $c_{i}$ and $g_{z}$ does not contain $z$. Moreover, $\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(g_{i+1}\right)$ does not involve $z c_{i}$ as $g_{i+1}$ does not involve $c_{i+1}$. We deduce that $(i+1) a_{z} a_{i}=(i+1) a_{i+1}$. As $a_{z} \neq 0$ and $a_{p-2} \neq 0$, an inductive argument shows that $a_{i} \neq 0$ for $i=2,3, \ldots, p-3$, and hence for all $i=2,3, \ldots, p-1$.

To finish we show that all the generators $c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots, c_{p-1}, z$ are in the image of $\varphi$. We start with $c_{2}=\left(\varphi\left(c_{2}\right)-g_{2}\right) / \alpha_{2}$. As $g_{2} \in\left\langle u, x, x, y, y^{\prime}\right\rangle \leqslant \operatorname{Im} \varphi, c_{2}$ is also in the image of $\varphi$. An inductive argument shows that $c_{i}=\left(\varphi\left(c_{i}\right)-g_{i}\right) / \alpha_{i}$ is in the image of $\varphi$ as $g_{i}$ belongs to $\left\langle u, x, x^{\prime}, y, y^{\prime}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots, c_{i-1}\right\rangle$. This argument also applies to show that $z \in \operatorname{Im} \varphi$.

Hence, $\varphi$ is an epimorphism. Because $H_{\beta}^{*}(B P(p, n))$ is finite in each dimension, $\varphi$ is an isomorphism.

Then, the following corollary is straightforward.
Corollary 4.4. $H_{\beta}^{*}(B P(p, n))$ for odd $p$ and $n \geqslant 3$ is weakly generated (Definition 2.3) by $y$ and $y^{\prime}$.

Proof. Let $\varphi$ be an endomorphism of $H_{\beta}^{*}(B P(p, n))$ which is an isomorphism on $\left\langle y, y^{\prime}\right\rangle$. Using the outer automorphism group of $P(p, n)$ that is described in [5, Lemma A.5], there is a morphism $f: B P(p, n) \rightarrow B P(p, n)$ such that the composition $f^{*} \circ \varphi$ fixes $y$ and $y^{\prime}$. Now use Theorems 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 to get the result.

Note that for any finite $p$-group there is a natural isomorphism $H^{1} P \cong P / \Phi(P)$, where $\Phi(P)$ stands for the Frattini subgroup of $P[6$, p. 173]. Therefore, Theorems 4.1-4.3 can be seen as a cohomological counterpart of the following group theoretical result.

Proposition 4.5. Let $P$ be a finite $p$-group and let $f: P \rightarrow P$ be a group morphism such that the induced morphism at the level of Frattini quotients $\tilde{f}: P / \Phi(P) \rightarrow P / \Phi(P)$ is an isomorphism. Then $f$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let $n$ be such that $P / \Phi(P)=(\mathbb{Z} / p)^{n}$ [6, Theorem 5.1.3]. Assume $f$ is not an isomorphism. Then $f(P) \leqslant H<P$ for some maximal subgroup $H<P$, and therefore $\tilde{f}(P / \Phi(P))<H / \Phi(P)=(\mathbb{Z} / p)^{n-1}<P / \Phi(P)$, that is, $\tilde{f}$ is not an isomorphism.

Now, we apply the results above to obtain the cohomology uniqueness of the classifying space $B P(p, n)$. We split this result into two corollaries because the structure of $P(p, 3)$ is essentially different from that of $P(p, n), n>4$.

Corollary 4.6. Let $p$ be an odd prime and let $X$ be a $p$-complete space such that $H^{*}(X) \cong H^{*}(B P(p, 3))$ as unstable algebras. Then $X \simeq B P(p, 3)$.

Proof. Consider the central extension

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / p \rightarrow P(p, 3) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{Z} / p \times \mathbb{Z} / p \rightarrow 0
$$

and denote by $y$ and $y^{\prime}$ the two generators of $H^{1}(\mathbb{Z} / p \times \mathbb{Z} / p)$ that are mapped by $\pi$ to the generators of the same name in $H^{1}(P(p, 3))$ (see Remark 3.4).

By the same argument used in the proof of Proposition 3.12 or by a direct computation using the cochains in Remark 3.4, we find that this central extension is classified by $y y^{\prime} \in H^{2}(\mathbb{Z} / p \times \mathbb{Z} / p)$, and it gives rise to the principal fibration

$$
B P(p, 3) \xrightarrow{B \pi} B \mathbb{Z} / p \times B \mathbb{Z} / p \xrightarrow{y y^{\prime}} B^{2} \mathbb{Z} / p .
$$

Consider the map $\pi_{X}: X \rightarrow B \mathbb{Z} / p \times B \mathbb{Z} / p$ that classifies the classes $y, y^{\prime} \in H^{1}(X)$. Then the composite

$$
X \xrightarrow{\pi_{X}} B \mathbb{Z} / p \times B \mathbb{Z} / p \xrightarrow{y y^{\prime}} B^{2} \mathbb{Z} / p
$$

is null-homotopic because of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 , and so $\pi_{X}$ lifts to $\varphi: X \rightarrow B P(p, 3)$, giving the commutative diagram

which implies that $\varphi^{*}$ fixes $y$ and $y^{\prime}$. Now apply Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 to $\varphi^{*}$.
Corollary 4.7. Let $p$ be an odd prime and let $X$ be a $p$-complete space.
(a) If $H^{*}(X) \cong H^{*}(B P(p, 4))$ as unstable algebras, then $X \simeq B P(p, 4)$.
(b) If $n \geqslant 5$ and $H_{\beta}^{*}(X) \cong H_{\beta}^{*}(B P(p, n))$ as objects in $\mathcal{K}_{\beta}$, then $X \simeq B P(p, n)$.

Proof. Consider the central extensions and notation in Proposition 3.12. For $i=$ $1, \ldots, n-4$ we have the short exact sequences

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / p^{i+1} \times \mathbb{Z} / p \times \mathbb{Z} / p \xrightarrow{\pi_{i}} \mathbb{Z} / p^{i} \times \mathbb{Z} / p \times \mathbb{Z} / p \rightarrow 0
$$

which are classified by $\beta_{i}\left(u_{i}\right) \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{i} \times \mathbb{Z} / p \times \mathbb{Z} / p\right)$ with $u_{i} \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{i}\right)$.
Now let $\pi_{1, X}$ be the map $\pi_{1, X}: X \rightarrow B \mathbb{Z} / p \times B \mathbb{Z} / p \times \mathbb{Z} / p$ that classifies the classes $u, y, y^{\prime} \in H^{1}(X)$, i.e. such that, in cohomology, $\pi_{1, X}^{*}$ maps $u_{1}, y$ and $y^{\prime}$ from $H^{1}(B \mathbb{Z} / p \times$ $B \mathbb{Z} / p \times \mathbb{Z} / p)$ to $u, y$ and $y^{\prime}$ from $H^{1}(X)$ respectively.

The composite

$$
X \xrightarrow{\pi_{1, X}} B \mathbb{Z} / p \times B \mathbb{Z} / p \times B \mathbb{Z} / p \xrightarrow{\beta\left(u_{1}\right)} B^{2} \mathbb{Z} / p
$$

is null-homotopic because $\beta(u)=0$ in $H^{*}(X)$ according to Remark 3.9. Hence, the map $\pi_{1, X}$ extends to a map $\pi_{2, X}$ which fits into the following commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
B \mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \times B \mathbb{Z} / p \times B \mathbb{Z} / p \\
\pi_{2, X} \stackrel{{ }^{2}}{ } \xrightarrow{\pi_{1, X}} B \pi_{1} \\
\longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} / p \times B \mathbb{Z} / p \times B \mathbb{Z} / p
\end{array}
$$

Note that in cohomology $B \pi_{1}$ maps $u_{1}, y$ and $y^{\prime}$ to $u_{2}, y$ and $y^{\prime}$ respectively. Hence, $\pi_{2, X}$ maps $u_{2}, y$ and $y^{\prime}$ to $u, y$ and $y^{\prime}$ respectively. Using inductively that all the higher Bockstein operators $\beta_{i}(u)$ vanish for $i=2, \ldots, n-4$, we build step by step a map

$$
\pi_{n-3, X}: X \rightarrow B \mathbb{Z} / p^{n-3} \times B \mathbb{Z} / p \times B \mathbb{Z} / p
$$

which in cohomology maps $u_{n-3}, y$ and $y^{\prime}$ to $u, y$ and $y^{\prime}$ respectively. To finish the proof we use the abelianization morphism from Proposition 3.12:

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / p \rightarrow P(p, n) \xrightarrow{\pi_{n-3}} \mathbb{Z} / p^{n-3} \times \mathbb{Z} / p \times \mathbb{Z} / p \rightarrow 0
$$

which is classified by $\beta_{n-3}\left(u_{n-3}\right)-y y^{\prime} \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{n-3} \times \mathbb{Z} / p \times \mathbb{Z} / p\right)$, where $u_{n-3}, y$ and $y^{\prime}$ are generators of $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{n-3} \times \mathbb{Z} / p \times \mathbb{Z} / p\right)$ that are mapped by $\pi_{n-3}$ to the generators $u, y$ and $y^{\prime}$ in $H^{1}(P(p, n))$.

Because $\beta_{n-3}(u)-y y^{\prime}=0$ in $H^{*}(X)$, the composite

$$
X \xrightarrow{\pi_{n-3, X}} B \mathbb{Z} / p^{n-3} \times B \mathbb{Z} / p \times B \mathbb{Z} / p \xrightarrow{\beta_{n-3}\left(u_{n-3}\right)-y y^{\prime}} B^{2} \mathbb{Z} / p
$$

is null-homotopic and we can lift $\pi_{n-3, X}$ to a map $\varphi$ that makes the following diagram commutative:


This shows that $\varphi^{*}$ fixes $y$ and $y^{\prime}$, and hence Theorem 4.3 gives the result.

## 5. Some applications to group theory

The techniques used in the proof of Corollaries 4.6 and 4.7 can be used to obtain a cohomological characterization of $P(p, n)$ as a complement for some $N \unlhd G$, for a super group $P(p, n) \leqslant G$. Recall that, given a group $G$ and a normal subgroup $N \unlhd G, K \leqslant G$ is a complement for $N$ if $G=N K$ and $N \cap K=1$, that is, if $G=N \rtimes K$.

Again, we consider the case $n=3$ separately.
Proposition 5.1. Let $p$ be an odd prime and let $G$ be a finite group such that $P(p, 3) \leqslant G$. Assume also that there exists $\psi: H^{*}(B P(p, 3)) \rightarrow H^{*}(B G)$ as unstable algebras such that $\left.(\operatorname{res} \circ \psi)\right|_{H_{\beta}^{1}(B P(p, 3))}$ is the identity. Then $P(p, 3)$ is a complement for some $N \unlhd G$.

Proof. As stated above, we work along the same lines as in the proof of Corollary 4.6. We begin by considering the map $B \pi_{G}: B G \rightarrow B \mathbb{Z} / p \times B \mathbb{Z} / p$ that classifies the classes $\psi(y), \psi\left(y^{\prime}\right) \in H^{1}(B G)$. This means that if we denote (as we did in Corollary 4.6) by $y$ and $y^{\prime}$ the two generators of $H^{1}(B \mathbb{Z} / p \times B \mathbb{Z} / p)$ that are mapped by $B \pi: B P(p, 3) \rightarrow$ $B \mathbb{Z} / p \times B \mathbb{Z} / p$ to the generators of the same name in $H^{1}(B P(p, 3))$ (see also Remark 3.4), then $B \pi_{G}^{*}(y)=\psi(y)$ and $B \pi_{G}^{*}\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\psi\left(y^{\prime}\right)$.

Moreover, $B \pi_{G}^{*}\left(y y^{\prime}\right)=B \pi_{G}^{*}(y) B \pi_{G}^{*}\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\psi(y) \psi\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\psi\left(y y^{\prime}\right)=\psi(0)=0$ (Theorems 3.1 and 3.2), and the composite

$$
B G \xrightarrow{B \pi_{G}} B \mathbb{Z} / p \times B \mathbb{Z} / p \xrightarrow{y y^{\prime}} B^{2} \mathbb{Z} / p
$$

is null-homotopic. Therefore, $B \pi_{G}$ lifts to $B \phi: B G \rightarrow B P(p, 3)$, giving the commutative diagram

which implies that $B \phi^{*}(y)=\psi(y)$ and $B \phi^{*}\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\psi\left(y^{\prime}\right)$, and

$$
(\operatorname{res} \circ B \phi)^{*}(y)=\left(\mathrm{res}^{*} \circ \psi\right)(y)=y \quad \text { and } \quad(\mathrm{res} \circ B \phi)^{*}\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\left(\mathrm{res}^{*} \circ \psi\right)(y)=y^{\prime}
$$

Now, applying Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 or Proposition 4.5 , we obtain that $\left.\phi\right|_{P(p, 3)}$ is an automorphism of $P(p, 3)$, that is, $P(p, 3)$ is a complement for $N=\operatorname{ker} \phi \unlhd G$.

We now proceed with the case $n>3$.
Proposition 5.2. Let $p$ be an odd prime and let $G$ be a finite group such that $P(p, n) \leqslant G$.
(a) If $n=4$ and there exists $\psi: H^{*}(B P(p, 4)) \rightarrow H^{*}(B G)$ as unstable algebras such that (res $\circ \psi)\left.\right|_{H_{\beta}^{1}(B P(p, 4 n))}$ is the identity, then $P(p, 4)$ is a complement for some $N \unlhd G$.
(b) If $n \geqslant 5$ and there exists $\psi: H_{\beta}^{*}(B P(p, n)) \rightarrow H_{\beta}^{*}(B G)$ a morphism in $\mathcal{K}_{\beta}$ such that $\left.($ res $\circ \psi)\right|_{H_{\beta}^{1}(B P(p, n))}$ is the identity, then $P(p, n)$ is a complement for some $N \unlhd G$.

Proof. We now follow the lines of the proof of Corollary 4.7 but start with the map $B \pi_{1, G}: B G \rightarrow B \mathbb{Z} / p \times B \mathbb{Z} / p \times B \mathbb{Z} / p$ that classifies the classes $\psi(u), \psi(y), \psi\left(y^{\prime}\right) \in$ $H^{1}(B G)$. This means that in cohomology this map carries the elements $u_{1}, y$ and $y^{\prime}$ from $H^{1}(B \mathbb{Z} / p \times B \mathbb{Z} / p \times B \mathbb{Z} / p)$ (defined in Proposition 3.12) to $\psi(u), \psi(y)$ and $\psi\left(y^{\prime}\right)$, respectively.

The arguments in Corollary 4.7 together with the fact that $\psi$ preserves relations and higher Bockstein operators show that there exists a map

$$
B G \xrightarrow{B \phi} B P(p, n)
$$

which satisfies $B \phi^{*}(y)=\psi(y), B \phi^{*}\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\psi\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ and $B \phi^{*}(u)=\psi(u)$. Hence, we also get the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\operatorname{res} \circ B \phi)^{*}(y) & =\left(\text { res }^{*} \circ \psi\right)(y)=y \\
(\text { res } \circ B \phi)^{*}\left(y^{\prime}\right) & =\left(\text { res }^{*} \circ \psi\right)(y)=y^{\prime} \\
(\text { res } \circ B \phi)^{*}(u) & =\left(\text { res*}^{*} \circ \psi\right)(u)=u
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, applying Proposition 4.5 or Theorem 4.3, we obtain that $\left.\phi\right|_{P(p, n)}$ is an automorphism of $P(p, n)$, that is, $P(p, n)$ is a complement for $N=\operatorname{ker} \phi \unlhd G$.
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