

distributions were similar between cities, although Calgary had more high severity conditions (15.0% v. 10.5%) and a higher admission rate (22.5% v. 21.4%). Calgary triage nurses placed more patients in high acuity triage categories (85.1% vs. 45.2%) and achieved higher sensitivity for severe illness (96.2% vs. 76.2%); however, they were less accurate (28.7% vs. 60.3%) and less specific (16.8% vs. 58.4%). The proportion of CP patients triaged into high acuity categories ranged from 79% to 87% across four Calgary hospitals and from 28% to 62% at five Vancouver hospitals. **Conclusion:** This study shows profoundly different triage categorization at different sites seeing similar patient populations. Triage nurses are taught to strive for high sensitivity, but there may be operational consequences if specificity drops too low and large numbers of non-severe patients are triaged into high acuity categories. It is not clear which approach is better but these data suggest CTAS should not be used to compare patient acuity or complexity across different hospitals or regions.

Keywords: quality improvement and patient safety, Canadian Triage and Acuity Scale, chest pain

LO63

Decision fatigue in the emergency department: how does emergency physician decision making change over an eight-hour shift?

B. Zheng, MD, E. S.H. Kwok, MD, MHA, MSc, M. Taljaard, PhD, M. Nemnom, MSc, I. G. Stiell, MD, MSc, University of Ottawa, Department of Emergency Medicine, Ottawa, ON

Introduction: Decision fatigue is a well-characterized phenomenon that has rarely been studied in the medical field. Emergency department (ED) physicians make many clinical decisions every shift. In this study, we examined ED physician decisions in computed tomography (CT) ordering, consultations, and discharges over time in an eight-hour shift. **Methods:** We performed a cohort study of adult patients presenting to two EDs of an academic, tertiary care hospital over a two-year period using the hospital administrative database. Patients triaged to the Urgent Care (minor acuity) area of the ED were excluded. Patients were analyzed based on the hour of the shift that they were initially assessed by an ED physician. For each hour, we evaluated the proportion of patients who had CTs, consultations, discharges, consultations not resulting in admission, returns within 72 hours of discharge, and median ED length of stay (LOS). Patients under the care of more than one ED physician (i.e. handovers) were analyzed as the time seen by the initial physician. Statistical significance of outcomes over time was assessed using random effects logistic regression. **Results:** 87,752 patients were included in the study period. 42,146 patients (48.0%) received consultations, of which, 29,347 (69.6%) were admitted. 45,470 patients (51.8%) were discharged without consultation, of which, 4102 (9.0%) returned within 72 hours. The median ED LOS for all non-consulted discharged patients was 4.9 hours. There was a statistically significant decline in the hourly rates of CT head and CT abdomen ordering as the shift progressed. CT head ordering declined significantly from 15.8% in the first hour to 12.2% in the last hour ($p < 0.0001$) while CT abdomen declined significantly from 9.6% to 7.6% ($p < 0.0001$). There were no significant differences in the hourly rates of consultations, consultations not resulting in admission, discharges, discharges returning within 72 hours, or ED LOS. **Conclusion:** ED physician decisions about patient disposition did not change in relation to hours into the shift. Interestingly, the rates of CT head and CT abdomen declined as the shift progressed. The lower CT ordering rates do not seem to be associated with any differences in patient disposition or ED LOS. In this large patient sample, we did not find evidence of decision fatigue among ED physicians.

Keywords: decision fatigue, computed tomography ordering

LO64

Variation in Alberta emergency department patient populations

B. R. Holroyd, MD, MBA, G. Innes, MD, MSc, A. Gauri, MSPH, S. E. Jelinski, PhD, DVM, M. J. Bullard, MD, J. A. Bakal, PhD, C. McCabe, PhD, P. McLane, MA, PhD, S. Dean, MA, PhD, University of Alberta, Alberta Health Services, Edmonton, AB

Introduction: Increasing pressures on the health care system, particularly in emergency departments (EDs), make it critical to understand changing ED case-mix, patient demographics and care needs, and resource utilization. Our objective is to assess Alberta (AB) ED volumes, utilization and case mix, stratified by ED type. This knowledge will help identify opportunities for system change and quality improvement. **Methods:** Data from Alberta Health Services administrative databases, including the National Ambulatory Care Reporting System, ED Admission/Discharge/Transfer data, and Comprehensive Ambulatory Care Classification System codes, were linked for all ED visits from 2010-17. Data were stratified by seven facility categories: tertiary referral (TR), regional referral (RR), community <5,000 inpatient discharges (CL), community >600 inpatient discharges (CM), community <600 inpatient discharges (CS), community ambulatory care (CA), and free-standing EDs (FS). **Results:** We analyzed 11,327,258 adult patient visits: 13% at TR, 34% at RR, 24% at CL, 16% at CM, 9% at CS, 1% at CA, and 3% at FS sites. Acuity was highest at TR and RR hospitals, with 76%, 63%, 25%, 26%, 22%, 12% and 55% of patients falling into CTAS levels 1-3, for TR, RR, CL, CM, CS, CA, and FS respectively. Admission rates were highest at TR and RR hospitals, (23%, 13%, 5%, 5%, 4%, 0% and 0%), as were left without being seen rates, (5%, 4%, 1%, 2%, 1%, 0% and 5%). The most common ICD-10 diagnoses were chest pain/abdominal pain in TR and RR centres, and IV (antibiotic) therapy in all levels of community and FS EDs. **Conclusion:** Acuity and case-mix are highly variable across ED categories. Acuity, admission rates and LWBS rates are highest in TR and RR centres. Administrative data can reveal opportunities for health system re-engineering, e.g. potentially avoidable IV antibiotic visits. Further investigation will clarify the type of ED care provided, variability in resource utilization by case-mix, and allocation, and will help identify the optimal metrics to describe ED case-mix.

Keywords: case-mix, emergency department, triage

LO65

Safety and satisfaction of a new program redirecting low-acuity emergency department patients to medical clinic: a prospective cohort study

J. Morris, MD, MSc, R. Daoust, MD, MSc, A. Courmoyer, MD, M. Marquis, MSc, J. Chauny, MD, MSc, A. Messier, MD, Université de Montréal, Montreal, QC

Introduction: Overcrowding in emergency departments (EDs) is a constant problem. One of the major factors contributing to this situation is the inappropriate ED use by patients with low-acuity problems. In order to reduce overuse, EDs have developed agreements with clinics to reorient low-acuity ambulatory patients toward them. These agreements often leave the burden of decision on the triage personnel as to which patients can be safely redirected. The aim of this study was to evaluate the safety of redirecting patients to nearby medical clinics and to evaluate their satisfaction with this program. **Methods:** In the ED of a tertiary care facility, a computer-based algorithm allowing triage personnel to reorient patients presenting with one of 52 medical complaints, was implemented in 2016. Our prospective cohort study was composed