

# ON THE BEHAVIOR OF AN ANALYTIC FUNCTION ABOUT AN ISOLATED BOUNDARY POINT

MAKOTO OHTSUKA\*

**Introduction.** Let  $D$  be an open set in the  $z$ -plane,  $C$  its boundary,  $z_0$  a point on  $C$ , and  $f(z)$  a one-valued meromorphic function in  $D$ . Given a set  $E \subset D + C$ , we denote the intersection of  $E$  with  $G_r = \{0 < |z - z_0| < r\}$  by  $E_r$ , and the set of values  $\{f(z); z \in D_r\}$  by  $f(D_r)$ . The *cluster set*  $S_{z_0}^{(D)}$  of  $f(z)$  at  $z_0$  in  $D$  is defined by  $\bigcap_r \overline{[f(D_r)]^a}$ , where  $[\ ]^a$  denotes the closure of the set in  $[\ ]$ , and the *range of values*  $R_{z_0}^{(D)}$  is defined by  $\bigcap_r f(D_r)$ . Further the cluster set  $S_{z_0}^{(E)}$  on  $E$  is defined by  $\bigcap_r \overline{[\bigcup_{z \in E_r} S_z^{(D)}]^a}$ , where  $S_z^{(D)}$  at an inner point  $z$  is put equal to  $f(z)$ . In the *theory of cluster sets* relations between  $S_{z_0}^{(D)}$ ,  $S_{z_0}^{(C)}$ ,  $R_{z_0}^{(D)}$  are pursued chiefly.<sup>1)</sup> Here we refer to the following two principal theorems under the assumption that  $z_0$  is non-isolated:

(I) (Brelot<sup>2)</sup>.  $(S_{z_0}^{(D)})^b \subset S_{z_0}^{(C)}$ , where  $(\ )^b$  denotes the boundary of the set in  $(\ )$ .

(II) (Kunugui [5]). Each component of  $S_{z_0}^{(D)} - S_{z_0}^{(C)}$ , with two possible exceptions, is contained in  $R_{z_0}^{(D)}$ , provided that  $D$  is a domain.<sup>3)</sup>

It is always assumed that  $z_0$  is *non-isolated* in these theorems, and the case when  $z_0$  is isolated is left to the well-known Picard's theorem.

Above the cluster sets are defined for a function which takes values in a plane. However, the definitions can be generalized to a function, which is defined in a plane domain and takes values on an *abstract Riemann surface*, and

---

Received November 7, 1951.

\* This work was done by the writer as a fellow of the Yukawa Foundation of Osaka University.

<sup>1)</sup> For various results and literatures, cf. [7].

<sup>2)</sup> See [2], Theorem in §6. The form of Brelot's theorem is different from (I), but the equivalency is proved as usual. Cf. [6], for instance.

<sup>3)</sup> This theorem can be proved also in the case where  $D$  is any open set as follows: Suppose that there exists a component  $\Omega$  of  $S_{z_0}^{(D)} - S_{z_0}^{(C)}$ , at least three points of which do not belong to  $R_{z_0}^{(D)}$ . Let  $w_0$  be such an exceptional value. Since  $w_0 \in S_{z_0}^{(D)}$ , we can choose  $\{z_n\}$ ,  $z_n \rightarrow z_0$ , such that  $f(z_n) \rightarrow w_0$ . Among the inverse images in  $D$  of the segments  $\{\overline{f(z_n)w_0}\}$  in  $\Omega$ , we can find an inverse image  $l$  in  $D$  terminating at  $z_0$ .  $f(z)$  has a limit  $w_1 \in \Omega$  as  $z \rightarrow z_0$  along  $l$ . Let  $D_1$  be the component of  $D$  which contains  $l$ , and  $C_1$  its boundary. Then  $S_{z_0}^{(D_1)}$  contains  $w_1$ , and  $S_{z_0}^{(D)} \supset S_{z_0}^{(D_1)}$ ,  $S_{z_0}^{(C)} \supset S_{z_0}^{(C_1)}$ ,  $R_{z_0}^{(D)} \supset R_{z_0}^{(D_1)}$ . The component  $\Omega_1$ , which contains  $w_1$ , of  $S_{z_0}^{(D_1)} - S_{z_0}^{(C_1)}$  includes  $\Omega$  by (I). Hence  $R_{z_0}^{(D_1)}$  does not contain at least three values in  $\Omega_1$ . This is contrary to (II).

some results are obtained (cf. [8], Chap. V, §1). In this note we shall *investigate the behavior of such an analytic function about an isolated boundary point by making use of the methods in the theory of cluster sets.*

1. Let  $D$  be a domain in the  $z$ -plane,  $z_0$  its isolated boundary point,  $\mathfrak{R}$  an abstract Riemann surface in the sense of Weyl-Radó, and  $f(z)$  an analytic function mapping  $D$  into  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Setting  $\{0 < |z - z_0| < r\} = G_r$  and  $D \cap G_r = D_r$ , we denote the set of values  $\{f(z); z \in D_r\}$  by  $\mathfrak{D}_r$ . The cluster set  $S_{z_0}^{(D)}$  of  $f(z)$  in  $D$  at  $z_0$  is defined by  $\bigcap_r \mathfrak{D}_r^{\mathfrak{a}}$ , where  $\mathfrak{D}_r^{\mathfrak{a}}$  is the closure taken relatively to  $\mathfrak{R}$  of  $\mathfrak{D}_r$ , and the range of values  $R_{z_0}^{(D)}$  is defined by  $\bigcap_r \mathfrak{D}_r$ .<sup>4)</sup>

We begin with the following lemma:

LEMMA. *Suppose that the cluster set  $S_{z_0}^{(D)}$  is not empty. Then  $S_{z_0}^{(D)}$  consists of either a point on  $\mathfrak{R}$  or  $\mathfrak{R}$  itself.*

*Proof.* Suppose that the assertion is not true. Then there is a neighborhood  $N$  on  $\mathfrak{R}$  of a boundary point  $P_0$  of  $S_{z_0}^{(D)}$  such that  $S_{z_0}^{(D)} \not\subset N^{\mathfrak{a}}$ . Let  $\Delta: |t| < 1$  be a local parameter circle, corresponding to  $N$  and with  $t=0$  as the image of  $P_0$ . Consider the inverse image  $D_1$  in  $D$  of  $N$ , and denote the composed function  $t(f(z))$  in  $D_1$  by  $t(z)$ . Since  $P_0 \in S_{z_0}^{(D)}$ , we can find a sequence  $\{z_n\}$  tending to  $z_0$  such that  $f(z_n) \rightarrow P_0$ . Hence  $z_0$  is a boundary point of  $D_1$ . Further  $z_0$  is not isolated, because there is a sequence  $\{z'_n\}$ ,  $z'_n \rightarrow z_0$ , outside  $D_1$  such that  $f(z'_n)$  tends to a certain point of  $S_{z_0}^{(D)}$  outside  $N$ . Thus  $D_1$  is an open subset of  $D$ , with  $z_0$  as its non-isolated boundary point. The cluster set of  $t(z)$  on the boundary of  $D_1$  at  $z_0$  consists of points on  $|t|=1$  but does not contain  $t=0$ , whereas this point belongs to the boundary of the cluster set of  $t(z)$  in  $D_1$  at  $z_0$ . This contradicts (I) in the introduction.

2. Let us suppose first that  $\mathfrak{R}$  is of genus finite.  $\mathfrak{R}$  is then conformally equivalent to a subsurface of a certain closed Riemann surface  $\mathfrak{R}$ . The transformed function, which takes values on  $\mathfrak{R}$ , of  $f(z)$  will be denoted by  $F(z)$ . We shall use notations  $\underline{S}_{z_0}^{(D)}$  and  $\underline{R}_{z_0}^{(D)}$  to represent the cluster set and the range of values of  $F(z)$  respectively. Since  $\underline{S}_{z_0}^{(D)}$  is non-empty, it consists of a point on  $\mathfrak{R}$  or of  $\mathfrak{R}$  itself by the above lemma.

In case  $\underline{S}_{z_0}^{(D)}$  consists of one point on  $\mathfrak{R}$ , the image  $\mathfrak{D}_r$  on  $\mathfrak{R}$  of  $D_r$  converges to an inner point of  $\mathfrak{R}$  or to a parabolic ideal boundary component of  $\mathfrak{R}$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$ .<sup>5)</sup>

The case in which  $\underline{S}_{z_0}^{(D)} = \mathfrak{R}$  will be investigated in details in the sequel. We shall denote the genus of  $\mathfrak{R}$  by  $p$ .

*Case:*  $p = 0$ . We suppose that  $\mathfrak{R} - \underline{R}_{z_0}^{(D)}$  contains at least three points,

<sup>4)</sup> Notice that  $f(z)$ ,  $S_{z_0}^{(D)}$  and  $R_{z_0}^{(D)}$  take values on a Riemann surface here, though the same notations as in the introduction are used.

<sup>5)</sup> As for the definition of a parabolic ideal boundary component, see [8], Chap. III, §5.

say,  $\underline{P}_1, \underline{P}_2, \underline{P}_3$ . Since  $\underline{P}_1 \in \underline{S}_{z_0}^{(D)}$ , there is a sequence  $\{z_n\}$  tending to  $z_0$  such that  $F(z_n) \rightarrow \underline{P}_1$ . Connect every  $F(z_n)$  with  $\underline{P}_1$  by a curve  $L_n$  such that  $L_n$  approaches  $\underline{P}_1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . For a sufficiently large number  $n_0$  the inverse image  $l_{n_0}$  with  $z_{n_0}$  as its starting point must lie near  $z_0$  and hence terminate at  $z_0$ , because  $\{F(z); z \in l_n\} \subset L_n \rightarrow \underline{P}_1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . A part  $D_0$  of  $D$ , near  $z_0$  and cut by  $l_{n_0}$ , can be regarded as an angular domain with the opening  $2\pi$ .  $F(z)$  tends to a value  $\underline{P}_0 \in L_{n_0}$  as  $z \rightarrow z_0$  on  $l_{n_0}$ . Since  $F(z) \neq \underline{P}_1, \underline{P}_2, \underline{P}_3$ , near  $z_0$ ,  $F(z)$  tends to  $\underline{P}_0$  uniformly as  $z \rightarrow z_0$  in  $D_0$  by Lindelöf-Iversen's theorem [3]. Thus  $\underline{S}_{z_0}^{(D)} = \{\underline{P}_0\}$ , and a contradiction is lead. Therefore when  $\mathfrak{H}$  is of genus zero and  $\underline{S}_{z_0}^{(D)} = \mathfrak{H}$ , then  $\underline{R}_{z_0}^{(D)}$  contains all points of  $\mathfrak{H}$  with two possible exceptions. This fact is none other than Picard's theorem.

*Case:*  $\underline{p} = 1$ . Suppose that  $\underline{R}_{z_0}^{(D)} \neq \underline{S}_{z_0}^{(D)} = \mathfrak{H}$ , and take a point  $\underline{P} \in \mathfrak{H} - \underline{R}_{z_0}^{(D)}$ . In the mapping of the universal covering surface  $\mathfrak{H}^\infty$  of  $\mathfrak{H}$  onto the finite whole  $w$ -plane,  $\underline{P}_1$  corresponds to an enumerably infinite number of points in the plane. Similarly as in the preceding case we get a curve  $l$  terminating at  $z_0$  such that  $F(z)$  tends to a value  $\underline{P}_0$  on  $\mathfrak{H}$  as  $z \rightarrow z_0$  along  $l$ . In the angular domain  $D_0$  cut by  $l$ , any branch  $w(z)$  of the composed function  $w(F(z))$  becomes one-valued regular by monodromy theorem. It tends to respective definite limits along both sides of  $l$  and does not take near  $z_0$  the  $w$ -values corresponding to  $\underline{P}_1$ . Hence  $w(z)$  tends to a certain value uniformly in  $D_0$  by Lindelöf-Iversen's theorem. This shows  $\underline{S}_{z_0}^{(D)} = \{\underline{P}_0\}$ , contrary to the assumption that  $\underline{S}_{z_0}^{(D)} = \mathfrak{H}$ . Thus, when  $\mathfrak{H}$  is of genus one and  $\underline{S}_{z_0}^{(D)} = \mathfrak{H}$ , then  $\underline{R}_{z_0}^{(D)} = \mathfrak{H}$ .

*Case:*  $\underline{p} \geq 2$ . On mapping  $\mathfrak{H}^\infty$  onto  $|w| < 1$  it is shown from  $\underline{S}_{z_0}^{(D)} = \mathfrak{H}$  as above that  $\underline{R}_{z_0}^{(D)} = \mathfrak{H}$ .  $\mathfrak{H}$  is made of planar character by  $\underline{p}$  disjoint simple closed curves  $\{C_i\}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, \underline{p}$ ). By connecting infinitely many samples along the opposite shores of  $\{C_i\}$ , we obtain a Schottky covering surface  $\overline{\mathfrak{H}}$ , of planar character and having no relative boundary, over  $\mathfrak{H}$ .  $\overline{\mathfrak{H}}$  is mapped conformally onto a domain outside a perfect set  $F$  in the  $w$ -plane and any image of  $C_i$  is a closed curve. For any  $\underline{P}_1 \in C_1$  there exists a sequence  $\{z_n\}$  tending to  $z_0$  such that  $F(z_n) = \underline{P}_1$ . We may suppose that on  $C_1$  there is no image of a double point of  $F(z)$ . We denote by  $C'_1$  a conjugate curve, which intersects  $C_1$  merely at  $\underline{P}_1$  and on which no image of a double point lies. Let  $l_n$  be the inverse image through  $z_n$  of  $C_1$ . If no  $l_n$  terminates at  $z_0$ , there exists a number  $n_0$  such that every  $l_n$  for  $n \geq n_0$  is a simple closed curve around  $z_0$ , because disjoint inverse images of  $C_1$  can not cluster in  $D$  and no image is a closed curve surrounding a compact domain in  $D$ . Consider the inverse image  $l'_{n_0}$  of  $C'_1$ , which starts from  $z_{n_0}$  and runs inside  $l_{n_0}$ . A domain near and inside  $l_{n_0}$  corresponds to one side of  $C_1$  on  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Therefore  $l'_{n_0}$  can not intersect  $l_{n_0}$  again and hence must terminate at  $z_0$ . Thus the inverse image through  $z_n$  of  $C_1$  or  $C'_1$  terminates at

$z_0$  for any large  $n$ . Without loss of generality we may suppose that an image  $l$  of  $C_1$  terminates at  $z_0$ . In the angular domain  $D_0$  cut by  $l$ , any branch  $w(z)$  of the composed function  $w(F(z))$  becomes one-valued regular. Its cluster sets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  on the both sides of  $l$  at  $z_0$  lie either on one and the same image  $\Gamma$  of  $C_1$  or on two images  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  of  $C_1$  respectively. In the former case  $S_1 \cap S_2$  is not empty and the cluster set  $S$  of  $w(z)$  at  $z_0$  in  $D_0$  coincides with  $S_1 \cup S_2$  on account of (I), (II), because  $w(z)$  does not take values of the perfect set  $F$  whose points lie both outside and inside  $\Gamma$ . Hence  $\underline{S}_{z_0}^{(D)} \subset C_1$ , but this contradicts the assumption:  $\underline{S}_{z_0}^{(D)} = \mathfrak{R}$ . The latter case is impossible too by (I), (II), because  $S$  is a continuum but every component of the complement of  $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$  contains points of  $F$ . Hence it does not arise that  $\underline{S}_{z_0}^{(D)} = \mathfrak{R}$  for  $\mathfrak{R}$  of genus  $\underline{p} \geq 2$ .

We have considered so far the case when the genus of the original  $\mathfrak{R}$  is finite. Finally we suppose that  $\mathfrak{R}$  is of genus infinite. If there is  $r > 0$  such that  $\mathfrak{D}_r$  is of genus finite, the foregoing discussions apply. Consequently we suppose that every  $\mathfrak{D}_r$  is of genus infinite. We can then take a mutually non-homotopic disjoint infinite sequence of loop cuts  $\{C_n\}$ ,  $C_n \subset \mathfrak{D}_{1/n}$ , such that  $C_n$  does not divide  $\mathfrak{R}$  and approaches the ideal boundary of  $\mathfrak{R}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . As in the preceding case we find an inverse image, which terminates at  $z_0$ , of a certain  $C_n$  or its conjugate loop cut  $C'_n$ . The cluster set of  $f(z)$  along it is contained in  $C_n$  or  $C'_n$  and hence non-empty. Accordingly by Lemma in § 1  $\underline{S}_{z_0}^{(D)} = \mathfrak{R}$ . By considering the Schottky covering surface of  $\mathfrak{R}$  a contradiction will be lead as before.

We now summarize the results in the following:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f(z)$  be a function, which is defined in a plane domain  $D$  with an isolated boundary point  $z_0$  and takes values on an abstract Riemann surface  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Then either the image of the ring domain  $G_r: 0 < |z - z_0| < r$  contained in  $D$  converges to an inner point of  $\mathfrak{R}$  or to a parabolic ideal boundary component of  $\mathfrak{R}$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$ , or the range of values of  $f(z)$  in  $D$  at  $z_0$  is conformally equivalent to a sphere with two possible exceptions or to a torus.*

It is easy to find functions which realize these cases.

3. When  $\mathfrak{R}$  is of genus finite, Theorem 1 can be proved also by Ahlfors' theory of covering surfaces [1]. We shall give an outline of the proof.

Since there exists a one-valued non-constant meromorphic function on  $\mathfrak{R}$  of § 2,  $\mathfrak{R}$  is conformally equivalent to a subsurface of a closed surface  $\mathfrak{R}_\sigma$ , which covers the Riemann sphere  $\sigma$  touching the  $w$ -plane at  $w = 0$  and with diameter of length 1. Denoting the composed function  $w(f(z))$  by  $w(z)$ , we consider the Riemann surface  $\mathfrak{R}_w$  of the inverse function of  $w(z)$ . If  $z = 0$  is removable for  $w(z)$ , the image on  $\mathfrak{R}_\sigma$  of  $G_r$  converges to a point on  $\mathfrak{R}_\sigma$ . The image on  $\mathfrak{R}$  of  $G_r$  converges then to a point or to a parabolic ideal boundary component of  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

Hence suppose that  $z=0$  is an essential singularity of  $w(z)$ . Similarly as for Riemann surfaces of parabolic type, it is seen that  $\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_w$  is regularly exhaustible. Regard now  $\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_w$  as a covering surface over  $\mathfrak{R}_\sigma$  and denote it by  $\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_\sigma$ . Then  $\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_\sigma$  is still a regularly exhaustible covering surface over  $\mathfrak{R}_\sigma$ , because the closed surface  $\mathfrak{R}_\sigma$  covers  $\sigma$  only in finite times.

On the other hand, if the genus of  $\mathfrak{R}_\sigma$  is  $q \geq 2$ , Ahlfors' fundamental inequality gives

$$0 = \rho^+ \geq (2q - 2)S(r) - hL(r),$$

where the usual notations are used; especially,  $S(r)$  is the average covering number over  $\mathfrak{R}_\sigma$  of the part of  $\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_\sigma$  corresponding to  $D - G_r^a$ . Hence

$$\frac{L(r)}{S(r)} \geq \frac{2q - 2}{h} > 0,$$

which contradicts the fact that  $\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_\sigma$  is regularly exhaustible.

Next suppose that  $\mathfrak{R}_\sigma$  is of genus one. If there is a number  $r_0 > 0$  such that the part  $\overline{\mathfrak{R}}'_\sigma$  of  $\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_\sigma$  corresponding to  $G_{r_0}$  does not cover a point  $P_0$  of  $\mathfrak{R}_\sigma$ , regard  $\overline{\mathfrak{R}}'_\sigma$  as a covering surface over  $\mathfrak{R}'_\sigma = \mathfrak{R}_\sigma - \{P_0\}$ . Applying Ahlfors' inequality to them, there follows  $L(r)/S(r) \geq 1/h > 0$ , which contradicts the regular exhaustibility of  $\overline{\mathfrak{R}}'_\sigma$ . As is known, Picard's theorem is proved by the same method.

It is not comprehensible to me, however, how such a method can be utilized in the case when  $\mathfrak{R}$  is of genus infinite.

4. In [8], Chap. III, § 6, the following theorem was proved:

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be an abstract Riemann surface with universal covering surface  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty$  of hyperbolic type. In the mapping of  $\mathfrak{R}^\infty$  onto  $U: |z| < 1$ , the parabolic ideal boundary components of  $\mathfrak{R}$  and the classes of parabolic fixed points, equivalent with respect to a Fuchsian group, on  $\Gamma: |z| = 1$  correspond to each other in a one-to-one manner.*

The proof in [8] was different from the usual one given for a plane domain (e.g., [4], pp. 31-34). But once Theorem 1 is established, Theorem 2 can be proved in the usual way.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] L. Ahlfors: Zur Theorie der Überlagerungsflächen, *Acta Math.*, **65** (1935), pp. 157-194.
- [2] M. Brelot: Sur l'allure à la frontière des fonctions harmoniques, sousharmoniques ou holomorphes, *Bull. Soc. Roy. Liège*, (1939), pp. 468-477.
- [3] F. Iversen: Recherches sur les fonctions inverses des fonctions méromorphes, Thèse de Helsingfors, (1914).
- [4] G. Julia: Leçons sur la représentation conforme des aires multiplement connexes, Paris (1934).

- [5] K. Kunugui: Sur un problème de M. A. Beurling, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo, **16** (1940), pp. 345-350.
- [6] K. Noshiro: On the singularities of analytic functions, Jap. Journ. Math., **17** (1940), pp. 37-96.
- [7] K. Noshiro: A theorem on the cluster sets of pseudo-analytic functions, Nagoya Math. Journ., **1** (1950), pp. 83-89.
- [8] M. Ohtsuka: Dirichlet problems on Riemann surfaces and conformal mappings, Nagoya Math. Journ., **3** (1951), pp. 91-137.

*Mathematical Institute,  
Nagoya University*